# Reactor Neutrino Detection via Elastic Scattering

WoNDRAM Meeting 6/24/2021 Michael Smy, UC Irvine





### Neutrino-electron Elastic Scattering

- \* well-defined cross section 🙂
- \* recoil electrons point in neutrino direction  $\bigcirc$
- \* sensitive to all active flavors  $\bigcirc$
- \* higher energy signal than coherent scattering (MeV vs. keV)  $\bigcirc$
- \* small cross section  $\bigcirc$
- \* difficult to reconstruct neutrino energy 🙁
- \* no delayed coincidence signature 😕



### Neutrino-electron Elastic Scattering

- \* well-defined cross section 🙂
- \* recoil electrons point in neutrino direction 🙂
- \* sensitive to all active flavors  $\bigcirc$
- \* higher energy signal than coherent scattering (MeV vs. keV)  $\bigcirc$
- \* small cross section 🙁
- \* difficult to reconstruct neutrino energy 🙁
- \* no delayed coincidence signature 🙁







\*hadronic uncertainty is the main theory error: 0.2-0.4%

Courtesy Oleksandr Tomalak, University of Kentucky

#### Neutrino-Electron Elastic Scattering Cross Section \* substantially smaller cross section than IBD



less different at lower energy

 very directional differential cross section above 4 MeV

 problem: multiple Coulomb scattering of recoil electrons: limits Cherenkov detector "pointing" and background discrimination



#### Possible Reasons to Use Electron ES

5

detect near or below IBD threshold

- \* probe electroweak physics
- search for non-zero neutrino magnetic moment or milli-charge
- \* oscillation measurements?
- directional remote monitoring of reactors (this talk)
  - need huge detectors (small cross section)
  - need recoil electron directional reconstruction





\* perhaps LArTPC can do a lot better than this

#### **Radioactive Backgrounds**

- \* lots of detectors have electrons that can serve as target for the signal ...
- ...but all have different radioactive background
- \* choose here: water target (either water Cherenkov or water-based liquid scintillator)
- \* ... as it can make use of directionality in large detectors





## Radioactive Backgrounds: From the Detector Materials

\*<sup>214</sup>Bi is a Radon daughter and is therefore found everywhere

★<sup>208</sup>Tl (and <sup>40</sup>K) are produced by the detector boundaries; not much will be in the water (or scintillator) ⇒remove by self-shielding

 calculate required radiopurity for a given detector size
 similar for scintillator (but should consider α's as well)
 liquid noble gases is a different case, however



## **Cosmogenic Radioactive Backgrounds**

- ✤ basically just <sup>16</sup>O spallation for water (or <sup>12</sup>C for scintillator)
- most of the spallation from showering muons, in particular hadronic showers
- FLUKA simulations in water by Super-K and Shirley Li/John Beacom
  use neutron tagging to measure hadronic showers
  more data will come from Super-K-Gd





# **Cosmogenic Radioactive Backgrounds: Depends on µ Flux**

11

- IBD background assumes 20% mistag rate of neutrons
- assumed depth is the same as Super-K
- detector wall materials subdominant by self-shielding, PMTs are omitted
- \* Rn (<sup>214</sup>Bi) is the main problem
- need to reduce contamination from SNO equivalent to 0.01 of SNO
- \* maybe using tight water flow control, similar to Super-K?

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Water Flow Control in Super-K

SK-IV

SK-V

40 60 80 100120140160180200220

40 60 80 100120140160180200220

0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

0.3

0.2

0.1

r<sup>2</sup> [m<sup>2</sup>]

r<sup>2</sup> [m<sup>2</sup>]

<u>ב</u> 15 א

Event/day/bi

\* when Super-K fixed the water leak in 2018, water piping was also upgraded

- using carefully chosen injection and draining points as well as temperature control of injection water, convective cells are suppressed
- \* sometimes you need hydrodynamic data, not nuclear data!

0.2 5 0 0.15 0.1 -10 -10 convective cell 0.05 -15 -15 20 40 60 80 100120140160180200220 20 3.5-4.0 MeV 3.5-4.0 MeV r<sup>2</sup> [m<sup>2</sup>] <u>ا</u> 15 10 5 0 0.3 -5 0.2 -10 convective cel -15 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 4.0-4.5 MeV r<sup>2</sup> [m<sup>2</sup>] 4.0-4.5 MeV

E 15

10

Michael Smy, UC Irvine

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Liquid Argon TPCs

- \* no reactor IBDs (high threshold for anti-neutrinos)
- \* detailed tracking may result in superior pointing
- similar detector mass as water possible (within a factor of two or so)
- \* similar number of electrons/g (20/(40g/mol) compared to 10/ (18g/mol))
- \* may need a different detector design than DUNE to get the best tracking and low energy threshold, high signal/noise (e.g. two-phase and/or pixel readout); would probably need some R&D
- \* need to know detector performance for ~2-8 MeV electrons, radioactivity (e.g. <sup>39</sup>Ar or <sup>42</sup>Ar, Radon daughters, neutron captures, cosmogenic)
- \* some data exists (to estimate DUNE solar neutrino sensitivity)

#### Summary

\*not the easiest way to detect reactor neutrinos from a distance!

- main advantage: directional detection (although coherent scattering may be able to this also)
- needs huge detectors

\*realistically, this means either water (or water-based) detectors or liquid Argon TPCs (no IBD background there!)

\*a lot of the required data already exists for water; (I don't know how much data exists for LArTPCs, but some does)