Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

LLNL Nuclear Data Processing Codes



Bret Beck, Dave Brown, Frank Daffin, Chris Hagmann, Sofia Quaglioni and Neil Summers

This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

Outline

- The menageries
- Refactoring
- Future work
- FUDGE (For Updating Data and Generating Endl)
 - Data management
 - Modifying
 - Plotting
 - Processing

Motto: All for one (format) and one for all (FUDGE)



Interim LLNL Processing



Recently moved processing into FUDGE, in part to remove the menagerie of codes



The code menagerie – not as portable as python

C, C++ and FORTRAN codes for proce	essing, etc.
ENDLUURtoPDB	endepC++
bdflsFile.so	endlret
bdfls_info	fudge2dThin.so
cendlret	fudgeConvolutions.so
checkMCF_PDBFile4residualZA	getInfoFromMCFCrayFile
checkNDFFile4residualZA	getInfoFromMCFPDBFile
cmcf_pdbupdate	getInfoFromNDFCrayFile
cmcfbin	mcf_GetDates
cmcfupdate	mcf_IsCrayOrPDB
cndfbin	mcf_add_zalist
cndfexplode	mcfgen
cndfgen	ndfFile.so
cndfupdate	ndf_GetDates
create	ndf_table
crossSectionAdjustForHeatedTarget.so	ndfgen
cross_ChangeDate	nuclearLLNLMisc.so
endep	tart_ChangeDates
endep.com endlmod.com mcf_IsCray.com mcf_IsPDB.com	

Goal: Convert most of this coding to FUDGE/python

egdlmcf.pl

zacis.pl

ndfmod.com

mcfmod.com



The format menagerie – not portable between labs, etc.



What we are doing to remove the menageries



Prior rewriting of processing codes



Refactoring fudge and the processing



Code refactoring summary

- Have FUDGE handle most of the processing directly
 - Use python when speed is not an issue
 - Fast code development
 - Simpler code with well designed classes
 - Use C or C++ for computationally intensive tasks
 - Heating cross sections: completed
 - Calculating transfer matrices
 - Completed for ENDL
 - $-\sim 3/4$ done for extra ENDF data types
 - Cross sections, resonance region parameters to point-wise
 - URR probability tables
 - Currently use NJOY



Data format refactoring summary

- Have "one" format for evaluated, MC and deterministic
 - About six months ago I realized that evaluated, MC and deterministic can be put into one format with some simple changes to the formats I was developing
 - xml vs HDF5
 - For python, best format is probably xml
 - For speed, may also want an HDF5 format for MC and deterministic data
 - − Converting: xml ⇔ HDF5
- Should also include experimental (i.e., exfor)



Post-Doc expanding to support reading/converting of ENDF data







Future work

- For deterministic finish processing ENDF outgoing particle data types (evaporation models, etc.)
- Add particle database
 - Mass, spin, parity, level structure (Neil Summers), etc.
- We have stimulus money (5 years worth) for a Post-Doc to
 - expand format to support ENDF evaluated data types
 - add web-based visualization
- Deterministic multi-temperature data
 - Currently done off line by me with legacy codes, very fragile
- Evaluate HDF5 option, mainly for speed
- Develop XML schema
- Continue collaborating with SLAC people to implement in GEANT
- Longer term: May put most MC processing into access routines
 - Allow user to pick group boundaries on the fly

