

# Status of WPEC SG32 Assessment of the Unresolved Resonance Treatment for Cross Section and Covariance Representation

**Luiz C. Leal**

**Nuclear Data & Criticality Safety Group  
Nuclear Science & Technology Division**



***Cross Section Evaluation Working Group  
November 4, 2009***

# SG32: Assessment of the Unresolved Resonance Treatment for Cross Section and Covariance

**Coordinator:** L. Leal (ORNL)

**Monitor:** K. Shibata (JAEA)

**Timeframe:** 2008—2011

## Contributors

T. Kawano (LANL), M. Herman (BNL), P. Talou (LANL), A. (Skip) Kahler (LANL), R. MacFarlane (LANL), D. Cullen (LLNL), C. Lubitz (KAPL), T. Sutton (KAPL), M. Dunn (ORNL), S. Goluoglu (ORNL), G. Noguere (CEA), O. Bouland (CEA), C. de Saint Jean (CEA), D. Bernard (CEA), J. Sublet (CEA), P. Ribon (CEA), I. Kodeli (OECD), I. Sirakov (IRMM), R. Capote (IAEA), M. Ishikawa (JAEA), O. Iwamoto (JAEA), G. Chiba (JAEA), S. Chiba (JAEA), and others

# SG32: Assessment of the Unresolved Resonance Treatment for Cross Section and Covariance

**Objective: Assess the unresolved resonance treatment for cross section and covariance representation**

- I. To assess existing methodology based on the Single-Level Breit-Wigner Formalism;
  - Generate URR parameters for  $^{235}\text{U}$  and  $^{238}\text{U}$
  - Process  $^{235}\text{U}$  and  $^{238}\text{U}$  (AMPX and NJOY) and generate self-shielding factors for several temperatures
  - Compare results with calculations using resolved resonance formalisms
- II. To evaluate other existing formalisms for treating the unresolved resonance region;
- III. To implement new formalism and test
  - Make recommendation for ENDF format using more rigorous treatment for URR

## SG32: Assessment of the Unresolved Resonance Treatment for Cross Section and Covariance

- **SLBW formalism in the URR**

Is it good enough?    Yes ( ☒ )                      No ( ☐ )

- **Self-shielding is important in the URR**

$^{235}\text{U}$                       Yes ( ☐ )                      No ( ☐ )

$^{238}\text{U}$                       Yes ( ☐ )                      No ( ☐ )

$^{239}\text{Pu}$                       Yes ( ☐ )                      No ( ☐ )

## SG32: Assessment of the Unresolved Resonance Treatment for Cross Section and Covariance

- **SLBW formalism in the URR**

Is it good enough?    Yes (    )                      No ( ☒ )

- **Self-shielding is important in the URR**

$^{235}\text{U}$                       Yes (    )                      No ( ☒ )

$^{238}\text{U}$                       Yes ( ☒ )                      No (    )

$^{239}\text{Pu}$                       Yes ( ☒ )                      No (    )

# SG32: Assessment of the Unresolved Resonance Treatment for Cross Section and Covariance

- **URR data representation**

**LSSF=0**

**LSSF=1**

**Which one is the better representation?**

- **Sublet publication: Processing codes indicates ambiguity in the ENDF interpretation of the URR data**
- **Ribon CALENDF code incorporates SLBW, MLBW and RM formalism in the URR**