


Resonance Evaluations at ORNL

L. Leal, R. Sayer, and H. Derrien

Nuclear Data Group

Oak Ridge National Laboratory



CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008

Resonance Evaluations Including Covariance Complete at ORNL

Isotopes	Resonance Parameters	Covariance
W isotopes (^{182}W , ^{183}W , ^{184}W , ^{186}W)	Conversion of MLBW into RM	SAMMY Retroactive
^{19}F	Data Evaluation with SAMMY (LRF=7)	Obtained with the RR evaluation
^{55}Mn	Data Evaluation with SAMMY (resolved and unresolved)	Obtained with the RR evaluation

Resonance Evaluations Including Covariance Complete at ORNL

Isotopes	Resonance Parameters	Covariance
Cl isotopes (³⁵ Cl, ³⁷ Cl)	Data Evaluation with SAMMY (LRF=7)	Obtained with the RR evaluation
K isotopes (³⁹ K, ⁴¹ K)	Data Evaluation with SAMMY	Obtained with the RR evaluation
⁴⁸Ti isotopes	Conversion of MLBW into RM	SAMMY Retroactive

Resonance Evaluations in Progress at ORNL


Isotopes	ORELA measurements	SAMMY evaluation
Cr isotopes	Transmission and Capture measurements for ^{53}Cr and natural Cr;	Resonance parameters and covariance for ^{50}Cr, ^{52}Cr, ^{53}Cr, ^{54}Cr
Ni isotopes	Capture measurements for ^{58}Ni and ^{60}Ni	Resonance parameters and covariance for ^{58}Ni, ^{60}Ni
^{48}Ti isotopes	Transmission and Capture measurements	Resonance parameters and covariance

Resonance Parameter Covariance Evaluation at ORNL

**L. Leal, R. Sayer, H. Derrien,
G. Arbanas, and D. Wiarda**

Nuclear Data Group

Oak Ridge National Laboratory



CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008

Covariance Evaluations Complete at ORNL

Isotopes	Resonance Parameters	Covariance
U isotopes (²³³ U, ²³⁵ U, ²³⁸ U)	Done at ORNL	SAMMY retroactive ²³³ U, ²³⁵ U
²³⁹Pu	Done at ORNL	Obtained with the RR evaluation
⁴⁸Ti isotopes	Conversion of RP into RM	SAMMY Retroactive

Covariance Evaluations Complete at ORNL

Isotopes	Measurements	Covariance
W isotopes (¹⁸² W, ¹⁸³ W, ¹⁸⁴ W, ¹⁸⁶ W)	Measurements at ORNL	SAMMY retroactive
³⁵Cl and ³⁷Cl	Done at ORNL	Obtained with the RR evaluation
³⁹K and ⁴¹K	Done at ORNL	Obtained with the RR evaluation
¹⁹F isotopes	Conversion of RP into RM	SAMMY Retroactive

^{19}F Resonance Evaluation at ORNL

**L. Leal, D. Wiarda, K.
Guber, and H. Derrien**

Nuclear Data Group

Oak Ridge National Laboratory



CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008

^{19}F Evaluation


Features:

- Evaluation performed up to 1 MeV with 2 s-wave, 5 p-wave, 17 d-wave, and 7 f-wave resonance for a total of 31 resonance.
- Inelastic Channels: 109.9 (1/2⁻) keV and 197.2 (5/2⁺) keV
- Reich-Moore formalism was used.
- LRF=7 ENDF format used for resonance parameters representation
- AMPX (POLIDENT) used to process RM with inelastic channels
- Resonance Parameter Covariance generated


Experimental Data Bank

- **Three Transmission Data Measurements of Larson *et al.* made at ORELA 80 meters flight path with sample thicknesses 0.13093 at/b, 0.016886 at/b, and 0.024184 at/b, respectively in the energy range 5 eV to 20 MeV**
- **One Capture measurement done at ORELA 40 meters flight path performed by Guber *et al.* up to 700 KeV**
- **Inelastic Cross Section Measurements Performed by Broder *et al.* at Obninsk up to 1 MeV**


Transmission




Total and Capture Cross Sections




Inelastic Cross Sections




^{19}F Covariance Evaluation



^{19}F Covariance Evaluation



^{19}F Covariance Evaluation



^{48}Ti Resonance Evaluation at ORNL

L. Leal, H. Derrien

Nuclear Data Group

Oak Ridge National Laboratory




CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008

^{48}Ti evaluation

- Address criticality safety of the Actinide Removal Process (ARP) facility at the Savannah River Site
- Monosodium Titanate (MST, NaHTi_2O_2) is added to the diluted salt solution to adsorb soluble radionuclides including uranium and plutonium
- Existing ENDF/B-VII.0 Titanium cross sections and uncertainties used in the ARP criticality calculations were investigated
- New uncertainty evaluations were done for Titanium using the ORNL computer code SAMMY


ENDF/B-VII capture covariance data processed with ERRORJ



Titanium Data

Isotope Name	Abundance (%)	σ_{γ} (thermal)	$\delta\sigma_{\gamma}/\sigma_{\gamma}$ (%)
^{46}Ti	8.25	0.59 ± 0.18	30.5
^{47}Ti	7.44	1.63 ± 0.04	2.4
^{48}Ti	73.72	8.32 ± 0.16	1.9
^{49}Ti	5.41	1.87 ± 0.04	2.2
^{50}Ti	5.18	0.18 ± 0.03	16.7


^{48}Ti Covariance Matrix Generated with SAMMY Processed with ERRORJ



^{55}Mn *Resonance Evaluation at ORNL*

H. Derrien and L. Leal
Nuclear Data Group

Oak Ridge National Laboratory



CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008

^{55}Mn Resonance Evaluation

Status of the analysis of recent neutron transmission and capture cross sections in the energy range below 120 keV

- **Experimental data base**

New Data:

ORELA neutron transmission, Harvey et al. 1988

GELINA neutron capture, Shillebeeckx et al. 2006

ORELA neutron capture, Guber et al. 2007

Old data for evaluation in thermal range:

Total cross section, Rainwater et al. 1947

Total cross sections, Cote et al. 1964

Capture cross section, Widder et al. 1975


Correction applied to the data from preliminary SAMMY analysis:

Residual background between resonances in ORELA and GELINA capture data


Part could be due to d-wave contribution and to direct capture

Under investigation


SAMMY fit of ORELA total (upper), ORELA capture (middle) and GELINA capture (lower) cross section from 60 keV to 80 keV



SAMMY fit of ORELA total (upper), ORELA capture (middle) and GELINA capture (lower) cross section from 100 keV to 120 keV



44-group covariance processed with PUFF-IV for the total



$^{35,37}\text{Cl}$ Resonance Evaluation at ORNL

R. Sayer
Nuclear Data Group

Oak Ridge National Laboratory





CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008

$^{35,37}\text{Cl}$ Resonance Parameter and Covariances


- **File 32 generated for 10^{-5} eV to 1.2 MeV. (RADCOP code)**
- **^{35}Cl : Proton exit channel taken into account: LRF = 7**
- **^{37}Cl : LRF = 3, LCOMP = 1 (expanded format).**
- **Uncertainties and correlations verified against master SAMMY covariance (binary) file.**
- **44- and 238-group uncertainties from PUFF-IV and SAMMY agree.**
- **Complete ENDF files submitted to NNDC.**

SAMMY fit of the ORNL Transmission data for Natural Cl



50,52,53,54Cr Resonance Evaluation at ORNL

L. Leal and H. Derrien
Nuclear Data Group
Oak Ridge National Laboratory




CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008


Cr isotope evaluation

- Transmission and capture cross section measurements done at ORELA for ^{53}Cr and natural Cr for energy below 500 keV (Guber);
- Early high resolution transmission measurements done by Harvey at ORELA above 100 keV for all Cr isotopes;
- Evaluation performed with SAMMY;
- Preliminary resolved resonance parameters determined for all Cr isotopes;


^{52}Cr Resonance Evaluation




^{52}Cr Resonance Evaluation



^{53}Cr Resonance Evaluation



^{53}Cr Resonance Evaluation



^{60}Ni Resonance Evaluation at ORNL

H. Derrien and L. Leal
Nuclear Data Group
Oak Ridge National Laboratory



CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008

Motivation for a New Evaluation

- New capture measurements available from ORELA;
- Possibility of extending the resolved energy range up to 800 keV from the analysis of Brusegan very resolution transmission measurement;
- Need for cross section accuracy improvement for criticality safety purpose;
- Inclusion of parameter covariance

EXPERIMENTAL DATA BASE

- New ORELA capture cross section measurement in the neutron energy range 10 eV to 700 keV by Guber et al.(2008). TOF at 40 m;
- GELINA very high resolution transmission measurement in the neutron energy range from 500 keV by Brusegan et al.(1994); results of REFIT analysis published by Brusegan et al. at Gatlinburg Conference. TOF at 350 m;
- ORELA high resolution transmission measurements by Harvey et al.(1975-1980) analyzed by Perey et al.(1982); resonance parameters used for ENDF/B-VI in the energy range up to 450 keV. TOF at 80 m; Li-glass detector and NE-110 detector;
- New value of the thermal capture cross section measured by Raman et al. and published in 2004 (Phys. Rev. C 70, 044318)

SAMMY ANALYSIS OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA

- Bayes sequential fit of:
 - Harvey et al. transmission, $n=0.0293$ at/b, 10 eV to 150 keV
 - Harvey et al. transmission, $n=0.0837$ at/b, 10 eV to 150 keV
 - Harvey et al. transmission, $n=0.0744$ at/b, 20 keV to 800 keV
 - Brusegan et al. transmission, $n=0.0744$ at/b, 500 keV to 800 keV
 - Guber et al. capture, 5 eV to 700 keV.
- About 150000 experimental data points
Preliminary results: global χ^2 1.22

SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS


- 456 resonances in the energy range 0 to 800 keV
 - 60 s-wave resonances
 - 396 p- and d- wave resonances
- Tentative of identification the p- and d-wave resonances in the energy range 0 to 450 keV:
 - s-wave 30 resonances $S_0 = 2.42 \times 10^{-4}$ $\langle \Gamma_\gamma \rangle = 1118$ meV
 - p-wave 118 resonances $S_1 = 0.632 \times 10^{-4}$ $\langle \Gamma_\gamma \rangle = 679$ meV
 - d-wave 113 resonances $S_2 = 0.889 \times 10^{-4}$ $\langle \Gamma_\gamma \rangle = 586$ meV

Comparison between the average capture cross sections calculated from the present resonance parameters and those from ENDF/B-VII. A decrease of the cross section is observed.


Average Capture Cross Sections from B7 and from the ORNL evaluation (Preliminary results)

Energy Range (keV)	ENDF/B-VII.0 (mb)	ORNL (mb)	Deviation (%)
0.010 – 0.10	61.07	52.22	17
0.1 – 1.00	17.62	14.65	20
1.00 – 5.0	34.79	33.53	4
5.0 – 20.0	68.33	70.40	-3
20.0 – 27.0	23.71	22.56	5
27.0 – 38.0	26.76	21.56	24
38.0 – 47.0	17.08	15.75	9
47.0 – 70.0	17.17	14.98	15
70.0 – 100.0	15.47	13.31	16
100.0 – 150.0	14.23	12.45	14
150.0 – 200.0	11.58	8.62	34
200.0 – 250.0	9.58	8.02	20
250.0 – 300.0	9.56	8.65	10
300.0 – 350.0	11.28	9.83	15
350.0 – 400.0	10.37	8.28	25
400.0 – 450.0	8.84	8.07	9


^{60}Ni Resonance Evaluation




^{60}Ni Resonance Evaluation



^{60}Ni Resonance Evaluation



Tungsten "Resonance Evaluation"



**Luiz Leal
Herve Derrien
Roberto Capote
Ivo Kodeli
Andre Trkov**


Oak Ridge National Laboratory

CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008

182W

	ENDF	NEW	Mughabghab
RRR	$10^{-5} - 4.5 \times 10^3$ (MLBW)	$10^{-5} - 1.2 \times 10^4$ (RM)	-
σ_0	20.55	20.71	19.9 ± 0.3
I_γ	597.16	628.33	600 ± 60


^{182}W Covariance



183W

	ENDF	NEW	Mughabghab
RRR	$10^{-5} - 7.65 \times 10^2$ (MLBW)	$10^{-5} - 2.2 \times 10^3$ (RM)	-
σ_0	10.01	10.11	10.4 ± 0.2
I_γ	356.32	334.73	355 ± 30


^{183}W Covariance



184W

	ENDF	NEW	Mughabghab
RRR	$10^{-5} - 2.65 \times 10^3$ (MLBW)	$10^{-5} - 1.5 \times 10^4$ (RM)	-
σ_0	1.75	1.70	1.7 ± 0.1
I_γ	16.56	16.22	14.7 ± 1.5


^{184}W Covariance



186W


	ENDF	NEW	Mughabghab
RRR	$10^{-5} - 3.2 \times 10^3$ (MLBW)	$10^{-5} - 1.5 \times 10^4$ (RM)	-
σ_0	38.1	38.06	38.1 ± 0.5
I_γ	518.92	481.74	480 ± 15
$K_0 = I_\gamma / \sigma_0$ (measurements) 12.59 ± 0.23			

^{186}W Covariance



^{239}Pu Resonance Evaluation at ORNL

H. Derrien and L. Leal
Nuclear Data Group
Oak Ridge National Laboratory



CSEWG, Nov 4-6, 2008

Motivation for a New Evaluation

- Existing resonance evaluation is divided into three disjoint resonance parameter set as 1.0×10^{-5} eV to 1 keV, 1 keV to 2 keV, 2 keV to 2.5 keV;
- Cross section mismatch at the energy boundaries;
- Not easy to generate uncertainty for the whole energy region (zero correlation);
- Solve long standing problem for thermal benchmark (use of the TSURFER cross section adjustment code)

EXPERIMENTAL DATA

Reference	Energy Range (eV)	Facility	Measurement
Bollinger et al. (1956)	0.01 – 1.0		Total Cross Section
Gwin et al. (1971)	0.01 – 0.5	ORELA	Fission and Absorption at 25.6 m
Gwin et al. (1976)	1.0 – 100.0	ORELA	Fission and Absorption at 40.0 m
Gwin et al. (1984)	0.01 – 20.0	ORELA	Fission at 8 m
Weston et al. (1984)	9.0 – 2500.0	ORELA	Fission at 18.9 m
Weston et al. (1988)	100.0 – 2500.0	ORELA	Fission at 86 m
Weston et al. (1993)	0.02 – 40.0	ORELA	Fission at 18.9 m
Wagemans et al. (1988)	0.002 – 20.0	GELINA	Fission at 8 m
Wagemans et al. (1993)	0.01 – 1000.0	GELINA	Fission at 8 m
Harvey et al. (1985)	0.7 – 30.0	ORELA	Transmission at 18 m
Harvey et al. (1985)	30.0 – 2500.0	ORELA	Transmission at 80 m

^{239}Pu Resonance Evaluation

< 5 >

