#### Recent Developments in SAMMY



Goran Arbanas and Nancy Larson Oak Ridge National Laboratory

**CSWEG and USNDP Meetings** 

November 4-7, 2008 National Nuclear Data Center

**Brookhaven National Laboratory** 



Managed by UT-Battelle for the Department of Energy

## Outline

#### > SAMMY 8.0.0 released to RSICC

- > RSICC release date: December 1, 2008 (tentative)
- > Outline of new features
- Data Covariance Matrices (DCM)
  - > A concise method for storing and communicating DCM
  - > Unexpected consequences of using DCM

## SAMMY 8.0.0 new options summary

- Energy dependent v(E) for η computations
- Target thickness a piece-wise linear function of radius
  - Only for transmission
- Self-Shielding Multiple scattering module revised;
  - new input options [NL08]
- Tabulated values input for "double-plus" scattering corrections
- The Gaussian width in the "simple" resolution function may be a linear function of energy.
- Input Resonance parameters:  $(E_{\lambda}, \Gamma_{\lambda} \equiv 2P\gamma_{\lambda}^2) \leftrightarrow (\sqrt{E_{\lambda}}, \gamma_{\lambda})$ useful for resonances very near threshold (numerical difficulties)



# **DCM: storage and communicating**

- Explicit off-diagonal DCM is very large ~(# data points)<sup>2</sup>
  - Large storage requirements
  - matrix operations, inversion, burdensome.
- A solution: express DCM in terms of its three components:
  - <u>Diagonal</u> CM of the measured quantity, e.g. counts ("v")
  - Data Reduction Parameter (DRP) CM, <u>small</u>, ("m")
  - DRP sensitivity matrix ("g")
- Benefits
  - Matrix operations in terms of components much more efficient
  - Only DCM components need to be stored; much smaller.
- <u>N. Larson ORNL/TM-2008/104:</u>
  - http://www.ornl.gov/sci/nuclear\_science\_technology/nuclear\_data/sammy/pdf/Concise\_DCM.pdf
- Also, Section IV.D.3 of SAMMY Manual



 $V = v + gmg^{T}$ 

4 Managed by UT-Battelle for the Department of Energy

#### **DCM: unexpected consequences**

- RPI team found that a conventional DCM yields bad fits
- ORNL team investigated the effects of various forms of DCM on fitting
- One of the conclusions:
  - DCM created from theoretical, rather than experimental cross sections, is advantageous:
    - Consistent with the derivation of Bayes' Eq.'s
    - Gives better fits than a respective conventional DCM
  - Conventional DCM may give unexpected results in Bayes Eq.'s
    - Although a legitimate DCM for many applications, the conventional DCM is not consistent with the derivation of Bayes' Eq.'s.
- <u>N. Larson and G. Arbanas, "Unexpected Consequences of Fitting Resolved-Resonance Data Using an Off-Diagonal Data Covariance Matrix"</u>, (available in draft form).
- Theory: Section IV.D.1 of SAMMY Manual



 $\Gamma_1$  from Table 2 of the "Unexpected Consequences..." paper

