98 Rb β^- decay (115 ms) 2002Lh01,1984Be50

History Author Citation

Literature Cutoff Date Full Evaluation Jun Chen, Balraj Singh NDS 164, 1 (2020) 15-Feb-2020

Parent: ${}^{98}\text{Rb}$: E=0.0; $J^{\pi}=0^{(-)}$; $T_{1/2}=115$ ms 6; $Q(\beta^{-})=12054$ 16; $\%\beta^{-}$ decay=100.0

The sources of 98 Rb studied contained both 114-ms and 96-ms isomers. Combined γ -ray data were given by 2002Lh01, 1984Be50, 1980Sc13 and 1980JuZY.

2002Lh01: source of ⁹⁸Rb was produced from the fission of ²³⁸U by 600-MeV protons at the ISOLDE facility, followed by on-line mass separation. γ rays were detected with a planar and a large coaxial Ge detectors; β particles were detected with a BaF₂ scintillator. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\beta\gamma(t)$. Deduced levels, J, π , $T_{1/2}$, β -decay branching ratios, logft. Comparisons with theoretical calculations.

1984Be50: source of ⁹⁸Rb was produced by thermal fission of ²³⁵U followed by on-line separation by the OSTIS separator at ILL in Grenoble. γ rays were detected with two Ge(Li) detectors. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$. Deduced levels, J, π , γ -ray mixing ratios. Systematics of neighboring isotones.

1980Sc13, 1980JuZY (also 1982Ka03): 98Rb was produced from thermal ionization and separated by the on-line mass separator OSTIS. γ rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors and decay and conversion electrons were detected with Si(Li) detectors. Measured Ey, Iy, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma$ (t), E(ce), I(ce), (ce) γ -coin, β -ce(t). Deduced levels, J, π , $T_{1/2}$, transition strengths. Comparisons with theoretical calculations. Complete details and level scheme are given by 1980JuZY.

2016Pa03: isobaric separated radioactive ion beam of ⁹⁸Rb was produced in U(p,F),E=500 MeV reaction at TRIUMF-ISAC facility. Measured E γ , I γ , I(ce), $\beta\gamma$ -, β -ce-, $\gamma\gamma$ -, γ (ce)- and ce(ce)-coincidences using 8π array of 20 Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors for γ rays, ten plastic scintillators for β detection, and a set of five Si(Li) detectors (PACES) for conversion electrons. Data collection cycle was: 3 s of background count, 15 s of ⁹⁸Rb beam implantation on a tape, 15 s of off-beam counting time, and 1 s to roll the implanted tape out of the vacuum chamber to avoid counting of long-lived activities. Deduced absolute intensities of the 71.2- and 144.2-keV transitions, E0 strength of 215-keV transition. Comparison with two-state mixing model for interpretation of $\rho^2(E0)$ value and deduction of mixing of the two low-lying 0^+ and 2^+ states and deformation

1979Az01: measured β -ce(t). Deduced half-lives of the first 2^+ and 4^+ states.

T_{1/2} (⁹⁸Rb isotope): 2015Pr03, 2011Ni01, 2003Be05, 1993Ru01, 1987PfZX, 1986ReZU, 1986Wa17, 1983Re10, 1981En05, 1980Sc13, 1981Re05, 1979Pe17, 1979Ri09, 1979Pe01, 1979En02, 1978Wo09, 1976AmZW, 1976Ru01, 1974Ro15, 1971Tr02, 1970KIZZ, 1967Kl06.

γ, γγ: 1987Ma58, 1979Bo26, 1979Pe17, 1977Wo07.

 $\beta \gamma$ and Q(β ⁻): 1992Pr03, 1988GrZX (and 1982Pa24), 1987Ma58, 1985IaZZ (also 1984IaZZ), 1984BlZN, 1982Br23, 1979Pe17. $\gamma\gamma(\theta,H)$: 1989Wo05.

 $\gamma \gamma$ (t): 1987Oh05.

 $\beta \gamma \gamma$ (t): 1989Ma47 (also 1989Ma38,1990Wo01).

See detailed comments and γ -ray data from the combined activities in 98 Rb β ⁻ decay (96 ms).

This decay scheme is from division of the decay scheme for combined source in 2002Lh01 (see Table 3 of 2002Lh01). The decay scheme is considered as incomplete due to a large gap between neutron threshold (S(n)=5913 5) and the excitation energy of highest observed level (Pandemonium effect).

⁹⁸Sr Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	$T_{1/2}^{\ddagger}$	Comments
0.0	0+	0.653 s 2	β_2 =0.38 <i>I</i> from two-level mixing analysis (2016Pa03).
144.5 <i>1</i>	2+	2.78 ns 8	$T_{1/2}$: 2.80 ns 8 ($\beta \gamma \gamma(t)$,1989Ma47,1989Ma38), 2.74 ns 12 ($\gamma \gamma(t)$,1987Oh05), 4 ns 1
			$(\beta\text{-ce}(t), 1980\text{Sc}13), 3.6 \text{ ns } 4 (1979\text{Az}01, \beta\text{-ce}(t)).$
			g factor=0.38 7 ($\gamma \gamma(\theta, H)$,1989Wo05).
			Mixing of the first two 2 ⁺ states is 1.3% (2016Pa03).
215.5 <i>I</i>	0_{+}	22.9 ns 17	$T_{1/2}$: 21.2 ns 17 ($\gamma\gamma(t)$,2002Lh01), 25 ns 2 (β -ce(t),1980Sc13), 23 ns 3 (1979Az01, β -ce(t)).
			$\beta_2 = -0.23$ 2 from two-level mixing analysis (2016Pa03).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{^{98}}$ Rb-E,J^{π},T_{1/2}: From 98 Rb Adopted Levels.

 $^{^{98}}$ Rb-Q(β⁻): From 2017Wa10.

⁹⁸Rb β⁻ decay (115 ms) 2002Lh01,1984Be50 (continued)

⁹⁸Sr Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	$\mathrm{J}^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2} ‡	Comments				
			Mixing of the first two 0 ⁺ states is 8.6% (2016Pa03).				
871.27 <i>16</i> 1224.2 <i>4</i>	(2^+) $(0^+,1)$	8.6 ps <i>14</i>	J^{π} : (0 ⁺ ,1,2) suggested from decay modes and comparison with model calculations (1984Be50, 2002Lh01).				
1539.2 <i>4</i> 1600.8 <i>4</i> 1745.1? <i>5</i>	(2 ⁺) (2 ⁺)		(150.12630, 200221.01).				
1838.0 3	(3+)	7.5 ns <i>15</i>	J^{π} : (3 ⁺) from re-analysis by 2002PfZX of $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ data in 1984Be50 with configuration= $\nu9/2$ [404] $\otimes\nu3/2$ [411], K^{π} =3 ⁺ ; also proposed by 2002Lh01 based on arguments of hindrances of γ transitions, β feedings and band head of a possible K=3 band. Note that 1984Be50 give J=2 based on their $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ data and a 1837 γ to 0 ⁺ ground state. But the 1837 γ was not observed by 2002Lh01. It is also pointed out by 2002Lh01 that J=3 cannot be rejected by $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ of the 1693-144 cascade in 1984Be50. $T_{1/2}$: 7.1 ns 8 from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (2002Lh01).				
1922.2? 5			11/2. 7.1 lis o Holli yy(t) (2002Ello1).				
1964.06 <i>21</i>	$(1,2^+)$						
2124.17 23	$(1^+, 2, 3, 4^+)$		J^{π} : 2002Lh01 suggest (2 ⁻) from model calculations with configuration= $v9/2[404] \otimes v5/2[532]$.				
2237.4? <i>5</i> 2289.0? <i>4</i>							
2316.04 23	(2+)		J^{π} : $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1984Be50) consistent with J=2 and 2002Lh01 suggest (1,2). Note that (2 ⁺) is inconsistent with the possible strong β^- feeding feeding as also given in 2002Lh01, which could imply that this level could be β^- fed mostly by the decay of (3 ⁺) isomer in ⁹⁸ Rb rather than by the decays of both parent states equally as assumed by 2002Lh01, if the (2 ⁺) assignment can be confirmed.				
2804.3 <i>3</i> 3290.4 <i>4</i> 3622.6 <i>5</i>	$(1,2^+)$ $(1,2^+)$ $(1,2^+)$		assumed by 200221101, if the (2) assignment can be estimated.				
5913+x	· , ,		E(level): x<6141 17 from Q(β^-) (for ⁹⁸ Rb decay)-S(n)(⁹⁸ Sr), where Q(β^-)=12054 16 and S(n)=5913 5 from 2017Wa10.				

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ From least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

β^- radiations

 β feedings and associated log ft values are considered as approximate (by evaluators) since several arbitrary assumptions have been made by 2002Lh01 in dividing and separating transitions amongst the two isomers. 2002Lh01 also state that there are unplaced transitions, although, these are not listed in the paper. There could be also a significant amount of unobserved transitions due to Pandemonium effect.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger\ddagger}$	Log ft	Comments		
$(3\times10^3^{@}\ 3)$	5913+x	14.3 9		$Iβ^-$: %β ⁻ n=14.3 9 for the decay of the ⁹⁸ Rb g.s. and/or isomer.		
(8431 16)	3622.6	4.1	5.6	av E β =3880.6 77		
(8764 16)	3290.4	8	5.4	av E β =4040.5 77		
(9250 16)	2804.3	4.7	5.7	av E β =4274.2 77		
(9738 16)	2316.04	30	5.0	av E β =4508.9 77		
				E(decay): 10050 240 from βγ (1982Pa24). Others: 8600 110 (1979Pe17), 10203 60 (1984BIZN), 10026 150 (1982Br23), 9950 30 (1985IaZZ,1984IaZZ).		

[‡] From the Adopted Levels. Values from this dataset are given in comments.

$^{98}{ m Rb}\,\beta^-$ decay (115 ms) 2002Lh01,1984Be50 (continued)

β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger \ddagger}$	Log ft	Comments		
(9765 [#] <i>16</i>)	2289.0?	4.2	5.9	av E β =4521.9 77		
(9817 [#] <i>16</i>)	2237.4?	1.7	6.3	av E β =4546.7 77		
(10090 16)	1964.06	11	5.5	av E β =4677.9 77		
(10132 [#] <i>16</i>)	1922.2?	2.2	6.2	av E β =4698.0 77		
(10309 [#] <i>16</i>)	1745.1?	2.1	6.3	av E β =4783.0 77		
(10830 16)	1224.2	4.7	6.0	av E β =5032.7 77		
(11839 <i>16</i>)	215.5	14	5.8	av E β =5515.3 77		

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ From I(γ +ce) balance at each level (deduced by evaluators). Values are approximate. ‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays. $^{\#}$ Existence of this branch is questionable. $^{@}$ Estimated for a range of levels.

Note that two γ rays with the same E γ =167.092 keV 10 are listed in the curved-crystal measurements by 1979Bo26, one assigned to ¹⁴⁰Xe decay and the other to ⁹⁸Rb decay. As there is no γ line observed near 167 keV in the decay of ⁹⁸Rb, while there is a fairly strong γ ray near this energy in the decay of ¹⁴⁰Xe, evaluators believe that there is a print error in 1979Bo26, and that the listed precise gamma energy corresponds to only the decay of ¹⁴⁰Xe.

$\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I_{γ} ‡@	E_i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult.#	α <mark>&</mark>	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
71.0 <i>I</i>	0.9 3	215.5	0+	144.5	2+	E2	3.55		$\alpha(K)=2.86; \ \alpha(L)=0.579; \ \alpha(M)=0.0979; \ \alpha(N)=0.01098; \ \alpha(O)=0.000348$ I _y : from I _y =2.1 2 in 2002Lh01 for combined source (96 ms and 115 ms) and I _y =1.18 <i>16</i> (deduced by evaluators) in 98 Rb β^- decay (96 ms). See comments for I(71y) in 98 Rb β^- decay (96 ms). Mult.: ce(K)/ce(L)+=5.3 8 (1980Sc13).
144.5 1	≈20	144.5	2+	0.0	0+	E2	0.262		K- and L-conversion lines detected in coin with 656 γ (2016Pa03). $\alpha(K)$ =0.226; $\alpha(L)$ = 0.0315; $\alpha(M)$ =0.00507; $\alpha(N)$ =0.000627; $\alpha(O)$ =3.01×10 ⁻⁵ I _{γ} : from Σ I(γ +ce to 144 level) \approx 25, including uncertain transitions. Note that total I γ =100 for combined source, so I γ \approx 80 is allocated to 144 γ in ⁹⁸ Rb β ⁻ decay (96 ms). Mult.: ce(K)/ce(L)+=6.0 4 (1980Sc13). K- and L-conversion lines detected in coin with 656 γ (2016Pa03). I γ (per 100 decays of ⁹⁸ Rb)=34 3 (1987Ma58). Other: 51 2 (1982Kr11,1980JuZY).
192.1 <i>4</i> 215.5	<0.8	2316.04 215.5	(2 ⁺) 0 ⁺	2124.17 0.0	(1 ⁺ ,2,3,4 ⁺) 0 ⁺	E0		3.3 11	ce(K)/(γ +ce)=0.90 I ; ce(L)/(γ +ce)=0.10 I E $_{\gamma}$: from level energy difference. Mult.: α (K)exp>100, ce(K)/ce(L)=8.7 δ (K/L(theory)=9.1) (1980Sc13), ce(L)/ce(K)=0.14 I (2016Pa03). I(γ +ce): from ce(K)(215)/ce(K)(71 γ)=1.14 J (1980Sc13), K/L(theory)=9.1, α (K)(71 γ)=2.86 J and α (total)(71 γ)=3.55 J (from BrIcc), I J (71 J)=0.9 J . Total intensity=10.0 from 1984Be50 for combined source. K- and L-conversion lines detected in coin with 656 J (2016Pa03). Measured I(215,E0)/[I(J +ce)(71,E2)]=0.72 J (2016Pa03). Deduced J (20)=0.053 J (2016Pa03). Using an alternative method, 2016Pa03 deduce J (20)=0.049 J 7.

From ENSDF

[†] Values are taken from 98 Rb β^- decay (96 ms) dataset based on γ -ray data of combined activities mostly from 2002Lh01, unless otherwise noted.

[‡] From combined γ -ray intensity data of 2002Lh01. For transitions that are in both activities (96 ms and 115 ms), intensities have been divided (with upper limits given) by evaluators based on intensity balances. For obtaining branching ratios used in Adopted Gammas, original values of combined sources in 2002Lh01 are used. See 98 Rb β^- decay (96 ms) for details and also values for combined sources from other references.

[#] From Adopted Levels. Assignments of multipolarities are supported by ce data (1980Sc13) and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1984Be50), given in comments. Values of mixing ratios deduced by 1984Be50 are given in comments and found to be inconsistent with quoted A₂ and A₄ coefficients in some cases, for which the adopted δ values given here are from evaluator's estimate based on A₂ and A₄ values of 1984Be50.

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈ 2.52 .

[&]amp; Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

98 Rb β^- decay (115 ms) 2002Lh01,1984Be50 Legend Decay Scheme $\begin{array}{l} I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max} \\ I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max} \end{array} \label{eq:equation:equ$ Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$ γ Decay (Uncertain) Coincidence 115 ms 6 Coincidence (Uncertain) $Q_{\beta} = 12054 16$ $\%\beta^{-}=100$ $^{98}_{37}{ m Rb}_{61}$ $I\beta^-$ Log ft14.3 5.6 3622.6 4.1 8 5.4 3290.4 4.7 5.7 2804.3 30 5.0 2316.04 _2289.0 _2237.4 _2124.17 4.2 5.9 1.7 6.3 $(1,2^+)$ 1964.06 11 5.5 1922.2 1838.0 2.2 6.2 7.5 ns 15 2.1 1745.1 6.3 $\frac{(2^+)}{(2^+)}$ 1600.8 1539.2 $(0^+,1)$ 4.7 6.0 1224.2 97.4 126.8 (20) 125.8 (20) 120.8 (20) (2^{+}) 871.27 8.6 ps 14 13.58 8.80 17.08.80 18.00.80 215.5 14 5.8 22.9 ns 17 144.5 2.78 ns 8 0.0 0.653 s 2 $^{98}_{38}\mathrm{Sr}_{60}$