

$^{70}\text{Zn}(^{36}\text{S},\alpha 4\gamma)$ **2000Ti07**

Type	History		
Full Evaluation	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
	Jun Chen, Balraj Singh	NDS 164, 1 (2020)	15-Feb-2020

2000Ti07: E=130 MeV ^{36}S beam was produced from the Vivitron accelerator at IReS, Strasbourg. Target was made of two stacked self-supporting Zn foils (79% enriched in ^{70}Zn), 440 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ thick each. γ rays were detected with the EUROGAM-2 spectrometer. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(\text{DCO})$, $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ -coin. Deduced levels, J , π , band structures, γ -ray multipolarities. Comparisons with theoretical calculations using the Nilsson-Strutinsky cranking formalism.

The level scheme (high spin and energy region) proposed by [2000Ti07](#) differs significantly from that proposed by [1998Kh01](#) in $^{65}\text{Cu}(^{36}\text{S},\text{p}2\gamma)$. Only in the low-energy region, the two level schemes are in agreement. About 35 γ rays out of a total of about 65 γ rays and a large number of levels in [2000Ti07](#) were not reported by [1998Kh01](#). The ordering of some of the main cascades is also different in the two studies. The level scheme in [2000Ti07](#) is adopted by evaluators (see comments in Adopted Levels).

All data are from [2000Ti07](#).

 ^{98}Ru Levels

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	E(level) [†]	J [‡]	E(level) [†]	J [‡]	E(level) [†]
0.0 [#]	0 ⁺	4003.9 ^{&} 6	10 ⁺	6594.3 [@] 8	15 ⁻	14478.9 10
652.8 [#] 3	2 ⁺	4675.8 [@] 6	11 ⁻	6597.0 ^a 6	(15 ⁺)	14615.0 10
1398.7 [#] 5	4 ⁺	4827.0 ^a 6	(11 ⁺)	6872.7 ^{&} 7	16 ⁺	14821 4
2223.9 [#] 5	6 ⁺	4918.2 ^{&} 6	12 ⁺	7626.6 [@] 8	17 ⁻	15000 4
2547.8 5	(6 ⁺)	4991.3 6	(12 ⁺)	8009.2 7	(17)	15415 4
3128.6 [#] 6	8 ⁺	5222.0 ^a 6	(12 ⁺)	8452.6 [@] 9	19 ⁻	15503.0 11
3192.4 ^{&} 6	8 ⁺	5524.3 [@] 7	13 ⁻	9933.4 [@] 9	21 ⁻	17241 4
3286.4 [@] 6	(7 ⁻)	5628.8 ^a 6	(13 ⁺)	11009.4 10	22 ⁻	17595 5
3540.8 6	(8 ⁺)	5822.4 ^{&} 6	14 ⁺	11407.5 [@] 10	23 ⁻	19895 5
3581.5 5	(8 ⁺)	6124.6 ^a 6	(14 ⁺)	12285.1 [@] 10	25 ⁻	
3853.8 [@] 5	9 ⁻	6263.8 7	(14 ⁺)	14288 4		

[†] From least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] From [2000Ti07](#), based on measured $\gamma\gamma(\text{DCO})$ and $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$.

Band(A): g.s. band.

@ Band(B): Band based on (7⁻).

& Band(C): Band based on 8⁺.

^a Band(D): Band based on (11⁺).

 $\gamma(^{98}\text{Ru})$

E $_{\gamma}$ [†]	I $_{\gamma}$ [†]	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$
193.6 [#] 5	2.0 5	5822.4	14 ⁺	5628.8 (13 ⁺)	
272.2 [#] 5	2.0 5	3853.8	9 ⁻	3581.5 (8 ⁺)	
275.7 5	4 1	6872.7	16 ⁺	6597.0 (15 ⁺)	
295.1 [#] 10	<1	3581.5	(8 ⁺)	3286.4 (7 ⁻)	
302.2 [#] 10	<1	6124.6	(14 ⁺)	5822.4 14 ⁺	
303.9 [#] 10	1.0 5	5222.0	(12 ⁺)	4918.2 12 ⁺	
312.7 [#] 5	2.0 5	3853.8	9 ⁻	3540.8 (8 ⁺)	
323.9 [#] 10	<1	2547.8	(6 ⁺)	2223.9 6 ⁺	
333.2 [#] 10	1.0 5	6597.0	(15 ⁺)	6263.8 (14 ⁺)	
395.0 [#] 10	1.0 5	5222.0	(12 ⁺)	4827.0 (11 ⁺)	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{70}\text{Zn}({}^{36}\text{S},\alpha 4n\gamma)$ 2000Ti07 (continued) **$\gamma(^{98}\text{Ru})$ (continued)**

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	Comments
398.1 3	14 2	11407.5	23 ⁻	11009.4	22 ⁻	M1	DCO=0.63 9; pol=-0.29 25
406.7 5	2.0 5	5628.8	(13 ⁺)	5222.0	(12 ⁺)		
412.0 [#] 10	1.0 5	3540.8	(8 ⁺)	3128.6	8 ⁺		
441.4 [#] 10	1.0 5	6263.8	(14 ⁺)	5822.4	14 ⁺		
472.4 [#] 10	1.0 5	6597.0	(15 ⁺)	6124.6	(14 ⁺)		
495.8 [#] 10	1.0 5	6124.6	(14 ⁺)	5628.8	(13 ⁺)		
567.4 [#] 10	1.0 5	3853.8	9 ⁻	3286.4	(7 ⁻)		
635.0 [#] 10	1.0 5	6263.8	(14 ⁺)	5628.8	(13 ⁺)		
637.5 [#] 10	1.0 5	5628.8	(13 ⁺)	4991.3	(12 ⁺)		
652.8 1	100 5	652.8	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	DCO=0.98 14; pol=+0.23 13
661.3 [#] 5	2.0 5	3853.8	9 ⁻	3192.4	8 ⁺		
710.6 [#] 10	1.0 5	5628.8	(13 ⁺)	4918.2	12 ⁺		
725.4 1	50 3	3853.8	9 ⁻	3128.6	8 ⁺	E1	DCO=0.63 9; pol=+0.27 15
745.9 1	100 5	1398.7	4 ⁺	652.8	2 ⁺	E2	DCO=1.01 14; pol=+0.36 14
774.6 [#] 5	4 1	6597.0	(15 ⁺)	5822.4	14 ⁺		
^x 800 [#] 1	15 2						
811.4 5	9 2	4003.9	10 ⁺	3192.4	8 ⁺	E2	DCO=1.11 16; pol=+0.5 4
822.0 1	55 3	4675.8	11 ⁻	3853.8	9 ⁻	E2	DCO=1.15 16; pol=+0.5 4
							DCO and POL for 822.0+826.0.
823.1 [#] 10	1.0 5	4827.0	(11 ⁺)	4003.9	10 ⁺		
825.1 1	100 5	2223.9	6 ⁺	1398.7	4 ⁺	E2	DCO=1.08 19; pol=+0.29 22
826.0 1	35 2	8452.6	19 ⁻	7626.6	17 ⁻	E2	DCO=1.15 16; pol=+0.50 24
							DCO and POL for 822.0+826.0.
831.0 [#] 5	8 2	5822.4	14 ⁺	4991.3	(12 ⁺)		
848.5 1	52 3	5524.3	13 ⁻	4675.8	11 ⁻	E2	DCO=1.09 15; pol=+0.38 25
875.4 3	12 2	4003.9	10 ⁺	3128.6	8 ⁺	E2	DCO=1.04 19; pol=+0.29 36
877.6 3	11 2	12285.1	25 ⁻	11407.5	23 ⁻	E2	DCO=1.02 14; pol=+0.5 4
888.1 5	2.0 5	15503.0		14615.0			
904.3 3	11 2	5822.4	14 ⁺	4918.2	12 ⁺	E2	DCO=0.98 14; pol=+1.3 7
							DCO and POL for doublet 904.7+904.3.
904.7 1	83 4	3128.6	8 ⁺	2223.9	6 ⁺	E2	DCO=0.98 14; pol=+1.3 7
							DCO and POL for doublet 904.7+904.3.
914.3 3	15 2	4918.2	12 ⁺	4003.9	10 ⁺	E2	DCO=1.16 16; pol=+1.3 8
968.2 [#] 10	<1	6597.0	(15 ⁺)	5628.8	(13 ⁺)		
968.5 3	15 2	3192.4	8 ⁺	2223.9	6 ⁺	E2	DCO=1.08 15; pol=+0.7 4
987.4 3	11 2	4991.3	(12 ⁺)	4003.9	10 ⁺	(E2)	pol=+0.8 7
993.0 10	<1	3540.8	(8 ⁺)	2547.8	(6 ⁺)		
^x 995 [#] 1	20 3						
1024.0 5	3 1	15503.0		14478.9			
1032.3 1	47 3	7626.6	17 ⁻	6594.3	15 ⁻	E2	DCO=1.14 16; pol=+0.39 22
1033.7 [#] 10	<1	3581.5	(8 ⁺)	2547.8	(6 ⁺)		
1050.3 5	8 2	6872.7	16 ⁺	5822.4	14 ⁺	E2	DCO=0.94 14; pol=+1.4 12
1062.6 [#] 10	<1	3286.4	(7 ⁻)	2223.9	6 ⁺		
1070.0 1	49 3	6594.3	15 ⁻	5524.3	13 ⁻	E2	DCO=1.00 14; pol=+0.6 4
1076.0 3	15 2	11009.4	22 ⁻	9933.4	21 ⁻		
1136.5 [#] 5	4 1	8009.2	(17)	6872.7	16 ⁺	D	DCO=0.64 10
1149.1 [#] 10	<1	2547.8	(6 ⁺)	1398.7	4 ⁺		
1206.4 [#] 10	1.0 5	6124.6	(14 ⁺)	4918.2	12 ⁺		
1218.1 [#] 5	2.0 5	5222.0	(12 ⁺)	4003.9	10 ⁺		
^x 1230 [#] 1	20 3						

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

⁷⁰Zn(³⁶S, α 4n γ) 2000Ti07 (continued) γ (⁹⁸Ru) (continued)

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	I_{γ}^{\dagger}	E_i (level)	J_i^{π}	E_f	J_f^{π}	Mult. @	Comments
^x 1287 [‡] 1	6 2						
1357.6 [#] 10	<1	3581.5	(8 ⁺)	2223.9	6 ⁺		
^x 1404 [‡] 1	6 2						
1474.0 3	15 2	11407.5	23 ⁻	9933.4	21 ⁻	E2	DCO=1.17 25; pol=+0.56 31
1480.8 1	30 2	9933.4	21 ⁻	8452.6	19 ⁻	E2	DCO=1.08 23; pol=+0.50 25
1738 1	1.0 5	17241		15503.0			
2003 [#] 1	2.0 5	14288		12285.1	25 ⁻		
2180 1	1.0 5	17595		15415			
2193.6 5	5 1	14478.9		12285.1	25 ⁻		
2330.0 [#] 5	4 1	14615.0		12285.1	25 ⁻		
2536 [#] 1	1.0 5	14821		12285.1	25 ⁻		
2654 ^{#&} 1	1.0 5	19895		17241			
2715 [#] 1	2.0 5	15000		12285.1	25 ⁻		
3130 [#] 1	2.0 5	15415		12285.1	25 ⁻		

[†] 2000Ti07 state that uncertainties are $\Delta E_{\gamma}=0.1$ keV and $\Delta I_{\gamma}=5\%$ for strong and/or well-resolved transitions rising to 1 keV and 50% for weak lines. According to that, evaluators have assigned $\Delta E_{\gamma}=0.1$ keV and $\Delta I_{\gamma}=5\%$ for $I_{\gamma}>25$, 0.3 keV and 15% for $I_{\gamma}>10$, 0.5 keV and 25% for $I_{\gamma}>1$, 1.0 keV and 50% for weak lines, and $\Delta E_{\gamma}=1$ keV when E_{γ} stated to nearest keV.

[‡] 800-995-1230-1287-1404 cascade are seen in coin with γ rays in two bands in the level scheme, but exact placement of this cascade as proposed by 1998Kh01 in ⁶⁵Cu(³⁶S,p2n γ) could not be established and confirmed by 2000Ti07.

[#] γ not reported by 1998Kh01 in ⁶⁵Cu(³⁶S,p2n γ).

@ From 2000Ti07, based on measured $\gamma\gamma$ (DCO) and γ (lin pol).

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{70}\text{Zn}(^{36}\text{S},\alpha 4n\gamma) \quad 2000\text{Ti07}$

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - - → γ Decay (Uncertain)





