

⁹⁸Ru(p,n γ) 1993ViZZ,1994SiZZ

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen, Balraj Singh		NDS 164, 1 (2020)	15-Feb-2020

1993ViZZ (also 1994SiZZ,2001SeZY): E=6.0-9.2 MeV. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin.

1970As08: E=5.7-7.6 MeV. Measured E γ , excitation function. Hauser-Feshbach analysis of excitation function data.

Level scheme is based on list of levels and γ rays given by 1994SiZZ and 1993ViZZ, respectively.

⁹⁸Rh Levels

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	Comments
0.0	(2) ⁺	J π : Hauser-Feshbach analysis suggests J=3 ⁺ (1970As08), but this is inconsistent with M1 γ from 1 ⁺ (112 level).
106.8 2	(3) ⁺	
112.4 2	1 ⁺	
174.6 3	(2) ⁺	
214.1 2		
291.3 2		
403.5? 3		
415.5? 3		
441.8?		Level proposed by 1994SiZZ, but none of the γ rays given by 1993ViZZ fits this level. This level is not included in the Adopted dataset.

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies, unless otherwise noted.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

γ (⁹⁸Rh)

E γ [†]	I γ [†]	E _i (level)	J π _i	E _f	J π _f	E γ [†]	I γ [†]	E _i (level)	E _f	J π _f
67.7 2	17 3	174.6	(2) ⁺	106.8	(3) ⁺	^x 234.9 4	9 2			
^x 95.2 2	19 3					^x 236.3 4	10 2			
106.8 2	100	106.8	(3) ⁺	0.0	(2) ⁺	291.3 2	43 5	291.3	0.0	(2) ⁺
112.4 2	70 8	112.4	1 ⁺	0.0	(2) ⁺	^x 304.9 4	9 2			
174.6 3	26 4	174.6	(2) ⁺	0.0	(2) ⁺	^x 311.2 4	14 3			
184.4 4	6 2	291.3		106.8	(3) ⁺	^x 375.6 3	15 3			
201.4 [‡] 4	14 3	415.5?		214.1		^x 388.9 5	18 4			
214.1 2	40 6	214.1		0.0	(2) ⁺	403.5 [‡] 3	21 4	403.5?	0.0	(2) ⁺

[†] From 1993ViZZ. 1970As08 report one γ ray with E γ =104 2.

[‡] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{98}\text{Ru}(p,n\gamma)$ 1993ViZZ,1994SiZZ

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- \longrightarrow $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- \longrightarrow $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- \longrightarrow $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- \dashrightarrow γ Decay (Uncertain)

