

<sup>96</sup>Ru( $\alpha$ ,pn $\gamma$ ) 1983Be63

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen, Balraj Singh		NDS 164, 1 (2020)	15-Feb-2020

1983Be63 (also 1985Be06): E=30-55 MeV alpha beams were produced from the Buenos Aires Synchrocyclotron. Target was 97% enriched <sup>96</sup>Ru.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with two coaxial detectors (FWHM=2.3 and 3 keV). Measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma(\theta)$ . Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ . 1983Be63 also report  $\gamma$  data from <sup>99</sup>Ru(d,3n $\gamma$ ).

All data are from 1983Be63, unless otherwise noted.

Note that the level scheme here (from 841 level) as proposed in 1983Be63 is based on the assumption of the 841 $\gamma$  proceeding to the (2)<sup>+</sup> ground state, while level energies and spins in Adopted Levels are based on the placement of 841 $\gamma$  to a level at E=56 with  $J^\pi=(5^+)$  proposed by 2014Ku04 based on a significantly-extended level scheme measured with the <sup>75</sup>As(<sup>28</sup>Si,2p3n $\gamma$ ) reaction, and therefore are higher by 56 keV and 3 units, respectively.

<sup>98</sup>Rh Levels

E(level)	J $^\pi$	Comments
0.0?	(2) <sup>+</sup>	The ground state would not be seen if 841 $\gamma$ proceeds to the isomer.
106.8?† 3	(3) <sup>+</sup> †	
112.5?† 3	1 <sup>+</sup> †	
174.4?† 3	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> )†	
841.3‡ 3	(4 <sup>+</sup> )‡	
1567.1‡ 5	(6 <sup>+</sup> )‡	
2561.4‡ 6	(8 <sup>+</sup> )‡	
3541.3‡ 6	(10 <sup>+</sup> )‡	
3805.0 7		

† Level suggested (by evaluators) from unplaced  $\gamma$  rays in 1983Be63 compared with <sup>98</sup>Pd  $\epsilon$  decay results.

‡ From 1983Be63, based on  $\gamma(\theta)$  data and the placement of 841 $\gamma$  to (2)<sup>+</sup> ground state. Level energies and spins in Adopted Levels are based on the placement of 841 $\gamma$  to a level at E=56 with  $J^\pi=(5^+)$  and are higher by 56 keV and 3 units, respectively.

$\gamma(^{98}\text{Rh})$

$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. @	Comments
67.7#& 3		174.4?	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> )	106.8?	(3) <sup>+</sup>		
<sup>x</sup> 89.2							$E_\gamma$ : unresolved with 89.7 $\gamma$ in <sup>99</sup> Ru (1985Be06).
106.8#& 3		106.8?	(3) <sup>+</sup>	0.0?	(2) <sup>+</sup>		
112.5#& 3		112.5?	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0?	(2) <sup>+</sup>		
<sup>x</sup> 116.8 3							
174.4#& 3		174.4?	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0?	(2) <sup>+</sup>		
<sup>x</sup> 206.4 3							
<sup>x</sup> 226.3 3							
<sup>x</sup> 234.7 3							
263.7 3	5 2	3805.0		3541.3	(10 <sup>+</sup> )		
<sup>x</sup> 302.2‡							
<sup>x</sup> 303.7‡							
<sup>x</sup> 343.6 3							$E_\gamma$ : other: 346.6 from 1985Be06.
<sup>x</sup> 514.4 3							
<sup>x</sup> 663.0&							$E_\gamma$ : unresolved with 660.6 $\gamma$ in <sup>98</sup> Pd (1983Be63,1985Be06).
725.8 3	62 10	1567.1	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	841.3	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	Q	$A_2=+0.36$ 6; $A_4=-0.15$ 7

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{96}\text{Ru}(\alpha, \text{pn}\gamma)$  1983Be63 (continued) $\gamma(^{98}\text{Rh})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	Comments
841.3 3	100 10	841.3	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0?	(2) <sup>+</sup>	Q	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.30 4; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.13 5 1983Be63 deduced that 841 $\gamma$ mainly proceeds to the g.s. based on their argument that for each 841 $\gamma$ there were 3.0 $\epsilon$ decays of g.s. but only 0.21 decay of $^{98}\text{Rh}$ 3.6-min isomer, from intensity balance of prompt and delayed intensities. However, this argument does not take into account that the isomer decays mostly to the g.s. by %IT=89 reported in 1978Ki17 in $^{98}\text{Pd}$ $\epsilon$ decay. In Adopted Gammas, this $\gamma$ has been placed to a level at 56 keV with $J^\pi=(5)^+$ , proposed by 2014Ku04 in $^{75}\text{As}(^{28}\text{Si}, 2\text{p}3\text{n}\gamma)$ based on a more detailed level scheme.
979.9 3	15 4	3541.3	(10 <sup>+</sup> )	2561.4	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	Q	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.38 10; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.20 15
994.3 3	30 8	2561.4	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	1567.1	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	Q	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.29 6; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.12 10

<sup>†</sup> Read from Fig.1 of 1983Be63 for  $E\alpha=40$  MeV, unless otherwise noted. Values from 1985Be06 are read from their spectrum in Fig.1 for  $E\alpha=45$  MeV and are the same as those in 1983Be63, unless otherwise noted. Placements here are from 1983Be63 assuming 841 $\gamma$  proceeds to the ground state, while a placement of 841 $\gamma$  to a level at 56 keV has been adopted in Adopted Gammas.

<sup>‡</sup> Unresolved 302.2+303.7 doublet (1983Be63,1985Be06).

# Placements are suggested by evaluators based on those of  $\gamma$  rays of similar energies in  $^{98}\text{Pd}$   $\epsilon$  decay. These  $\gamma$  rays are unplaced by 1983Be63 and their presence in  $^{96}\text{Ru}(\alpha, \text{pn}\gamma)$  reaction may be (at least partly) due to  $\epsilon$  decay of  $^{98}\text{Pd}$  formed through  $^{96}\text{Ru}(\alpha, 2\text{n}\gamma)$  reaction.

@ Stretched quadrupole ( $\Delta J=2$ , most likely E2) from  $\gamma(\theta)$  (1983Be63).

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{96}\text{Ru}(\alpha, \text{pn}\gamma) \quad ^{1983}\text{Be63}$ 

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 

## Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - - -→  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

