

$^{98}\text{Mo}(\text{p},\text{p}')$ , $(\text{p},\text{p})$     1992Pi08,1990Pi14

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen, Balraj Singh		NDS 164, 1 (2020)	15-Feb-2020

Includes  $(\text{p},\text{p}'\gamma)$ ,  $(\text{p},\text{p}'\text{ ce})$ ,  $(\text{pol p},\text{p})$ .

**1992Pi08, 1990Pi14:** E=30.7 MeV proton beam was produced from the KVI cyclotron. Target was 97% enriched  $^{98}\text{Mo}$  with thickness of the order of 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Scattered particles were momentum-analyzed with the KVI QMG/2 magnetic spectrograph (FWHM=15 keV) and detected by a multiwire drift chamber backed by a scintillator. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  from 7.5° to 37.5°. Deduced levels, J, π, L-transfers, transition strengths, deformation parameters from coupled-channel analysis and IBA-model analysis. Uncertainty on cross sections is 10% for absolute and 5% for relative values. The data are reported for octupole excitations by **1990Pi14** and those for hexadecapole transitions by **1992Pi08**.

**2014He12:**  $(\text{p},\text{p}'\gamma)$ , E(p)=6.7 MeV, pulsed (every 82.47 ns) beam from ATLAS-ANL facility. Target=98.7% enriched  $^{98}\text{Mo}$  3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick. Measured scattered protons and E0 conversion electrons using an annular silicon detector. Gamma rays were detected using Gammasphere array with 100 HPGe Compton-suppressed detectors. Deduced upper limit for two-photon emission branch for 735, E0 transition. Comparison with previous results for two-photon emissions for E0 transitions in  $^{16}\text{O}$ ,  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  and  $^{90}\text{Zr}$ , and with theoretical estimates.

Others:

**1972Aw03:** E=14.7 MeV. FWHM=60 keV, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ , DWBA and coupled-channel calculations, data for 15 levels.

**1971Lu07:** E=15 MeV. FWHM=50 keV, coupled-channel calculations, data for 11 levels. Integrated cross sections are given for all levels.

Others (dealing with data for first few states):

**1997Ah08:** (pol p,p) E=65 MeV. Analyzed  $\sigma(\theta)$ , deduced optical-model parameters.

**1996De23:** E=18, 25.6 MeV. Analyzed  $\sigma(\theta)$  data.

**1995Wa07:** E=12-26 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ .

**1994Ri01:** E=120, 160, 200 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ .

**1992Ke07:** reanalysis of (p,p') data of **1971Lu07** and **1972Aw03**.

**1987Wa27:** E=18 MeV. Measured angle-integrated proton spectra, Hauser-Feshbach calculations.

**1985Fl01:** (p,p) E=2-7 MeV. Measured excitation function.

**1983Ce02, 1982Ce04:** E=22.3 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ , DWBA and coupled-channel analysis. Data for two 2<sup>+</sup> and first 3<sup>-</sup> states.

Additional information 1.

**1982Sa19:** (pol p,p) E=65 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  and Ay(θ).

**1975RaY:** E=12, 17 MeV.

**1975Bu04:** E=12.5 MeV. FWHM=50 keV, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ , data for six states.

**1973InZY:** E=14.5 MeV. (p,p'γ) data for 9 levels.

**1972Bu18:** E=8.16 MeV. (p,p' ce), measured ce(t) for 735 level.

**1971AnZV:** (p,p' ce), ce(t) data for 735 level.

**1971Hi08** (also **1969Hi02**): E=11-14.2 MeV. Measured excitation function for g.s. and 2<sup>+</sup>.

**1970Co01** (also **1971CoYY**): E=6-8.7 MeV. (p,p' ce) data for 735 E0.

**1970Ke03:** E=6-8 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  data for three states.

Analysis of (p,p) data: **1997Ro22** (E=8.5-25 MeV), **1983An21**, **1982Gu20** (E=3-10 MeV).

Analysis of (pol p,p) data at E=65 MeV: **1997Ah08**, **1998Do16**.

 $^{98}\text{Mo}$  Levels

B(E4)(W.u.) values given under comments are deduced by **1992Pi08** from the average of isoscalar matrix elements from their (p,p') and (d,d') data if both are available, unless otherwise noted. %EWSR is also from **1992Pi08**.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	L <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0	0 <sup>+</sup>		0	
736 10	0 <sup>+</sup>	21.8 ns 9	(0)	E(level): from <b>1971Lu07</b> , 740 in <b>1975Bu04</b> . L: from <b>1975Bu04</b> . T <sub>1/2</sub> : from ce(t) data ( <b>1972Bu18</b> ). Others: 22 ns 2 ( <b>1971AnZV</b> ), <b>1970Co01</b> .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{98}\text{Mo}(\text{p},\text{p}'),(\text{p},\text{p}) \quad 1992\text{Pi08,1990Pi14 (continued)}$  $^{98}\text{Mo}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	L <sup>†</sup>	$\beta_L$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
787 2	2 <sup>+</sup>	2	0.180 14	Strength parameter for 735 E0=0.0273 25 ( <a href="#">1971AnZV</a> ). $\beta_L$ : from reanalysis (by <a href="#">1992Ke07</a> ) of data from <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> or 0.175 13 of data from <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> . Others: 0.172 ( <a href="#">1990Pi14</a> ), 0.154 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ), 0.16 ( <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> ), 0.17 ( <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> ). B(E2)(W.u.)=21.5 32 or 22.7 34 (reanalysis by <a href="#">1992Ke07</a> of data from <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> and <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> ), 21 6 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ).
1433 2		2	0.052	$\beta_L$ : from <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> . B(E2)(W.u.)=1.0 3 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ).
1510 2		4	-0.023	$\beta_L$ : other: 0.045 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ), B(E2)(W.u.)=1.6 5 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ). B(E4)(W.u.)=1.47 36, %EWSR=0.042.
1760 2		2	0.03	$\beta_L$ : from <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> . B(E2)(W.u.)=0.7 ( <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> ).
1871 2		2		
2018 2		3	0.210 16	$\beta_L$ : from reanalysis (by <a href="#">1992Ke07</a> ) of data from <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> or 0.205 26 of data from <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> . Others: 0.197 ( <a href="#">1990Pi14</a> ), 0.20 ( <a href="#">1972Aw03,1971Lu07</a> ), 0.19 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ). B(E3)(W.u.)=30 5 or 32 5 (reanalysis by <a href="#">1992Ke07</a> of data from <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> and <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> ), 27 8 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ). $\gamma$ -ray branching ratios given by <a href="#">1973InZY</a> from (p,p'γ) are: 2018γ/1230γ/259γ=20/70/10.
2209 2		0		
2224 2		4	-0.037	$\beta_L$ : other: 0.07 ( <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> ). <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> give $\beta_2=\beta_4=0.06$ for a 2208 group ( $J^\pi=2^+,4^+$ ). B(E4)(W.u.)=3.44 24 ( <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> ), 1.6 5 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ); %EWSR=0.144.
2240 2		4	+0.017	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.87 18, %EWSR=0.037.
2333 2		2		
2334 2		4	-0.056	The analysis assumes overlapping 2333 and 2334 levels ( <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> ). L, $\beta_L$ : <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> give L=6, $\beta_6=0.11$ for a 2343 group. <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> give $\beta_4=0.09$ . B(E4)(W.u.)=8.1 18 ( <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> ), 7.1 20 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ); %EWSR=0.037.
2350 2		2		
2369 2		2		
2419 2		2		
2450? 10		(4)	0.04	E(level),L, $\beta_L$ : from <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> only. B(E4)(W.u.)=1.6. It is possible that 2450 is the composite of 2419 and 2484 levels from <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> .
2484 2		3		
2509 2		1		
2525 5		(1)		$\beta_L$ : <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> and <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> give L=3 for a 2530 group. $\beta_3=0.06$ ( <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> ), 0.05 ( <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> ). B(E3)(W.u.)=3.2 ( <a href="#">1971Lu07</a> ), but <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> suggest a doublet near this energy (2525 and 2537).
2537 5		(1)		
2560 5				$J^\pi=2^-$ is suggested ( <a href="#">1990Pi14</a> ) from cross section data. The population of this unnatural parity state is probably through a two-step process.
2574 5		4	-0.042	B(E4)(W.u.)=8.3 10 ( <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> ), 5.1 15 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ); %EWSR=0.404.
2600? 10		3	0.07	E(level),L, $\beta_L$ : from <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> only. It may be a composite of 2574 and 2621 levels from <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> .
2621 5		5	0.016 <sup>#</sup>	E(level): <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> report a 6 <sup>+</sup> level at 2690.
2680 5		(4,5)		E(level): <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> report a 6 <sup>+</sup> level at 2690.
2699 5		(4)		
2733 5		2		
2796 5		5	0.012 <sup>#</sup>	
2810 5		2		
2837 5		6		
2855 5		4	-0.015	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.60 19 ( <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> ), 1.6 5 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ); %EWSR=0.032.
2906 5		4	-0.027	$\beta_L$ : 0.04 ( <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> ). B(E4)(W.u.)=3.84 55, %EWSR=0.211.
2920 5		2		
2963 5		3	0.014 <sup>#</sup>	
2978 5		(4)	+0.042	$\beta_L$ : 0.05 for a 3000 10 group ( <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> ).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{98}\text{Mo}(\text{p},\text{p}'),(\text{p},\text{p}) \quad 1992\text{Pi08,1990Pi14 (continued)}$ 
 $^{98}\text{Mo}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	L <sup>‡</sup>	$\beta_L^{\dagger}$	Comments
			B(E4)(W.u.)=5.27 78, %EWSR=0.296.
3022 5	5	0.082 <sup>#</sup>	
3049 5	4	-0.018	E(level): <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> report a 4 <sup>+</sup> level at 3020 with B(E4)(W.u.)=6.4 19. B(E4)(W.u.)=1.50 17, %EWSR=0.086.
3060? 10	5	0.08	E(level),L, $\beta_L$ : from <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> only. It may be a composite of 3049 and 3067 from <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> . $J^\pi$ : <a href="#">1992Pi08</a> suggest (2 <sup>+,2-</sup> ).
3067 5	(6)		
3096 5	2		
3106 5	(3)		
3125 5	2		
3152 5	2		
3167 5	4	+0.016	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.73 9, %EWSR=0.044.
3214 5	3	0.023 <sup>#</sup>	B(E3)(W.u.)=1.2 4 ( <a href="#">1975Bu04</a> ).
3263 5	1		
3276 5	(3,4)	-0.014	$\beta_L$ : for L=4. B(E4)(W.u.)=0.52 10, %EWSR=0.031.
3305 5	5	0.023 <sup>#</sup>	
3328 5	4	-0.014	B(E4)(W.u.)=1.37 19, %EWSR=0.086.
3344 5	2		
3389 5	2		
3401 5	4	-0.016	B(E4)(W.u.)=1.11 22, %EWSR=0.071.
3421 5	4	-0.028	B(E4)(W.u.)=1.95 16, %EWSR=0.126.
3464 5	(4)	-0.011	$\beta_L$ : 0.04 ( <a href="#">1972Aw03</a> ). B(E4)(W.u.)=0.62 12, %EWSR=0.041.
3485 5	2		
3499 5	(4)	-0.014	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.52 10, %EWSR=0.035.
3524 5	(6)		
3560 5	4	+0.028	B(E4)(W.u.)=2.00 26, %EWSR=0.135.
3598 5	4	+0.010	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.29 3, %EWSR=0.019.
3626 5	4	-0.015	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.96 17, %EWSR=0.066.
3639 5	4	-0.0065	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.61 18, %EWSR=0.042.
3664 5	4	-0.019	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.98 11, %EWSR=0.068.
3682 5	4	-0.010	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.62 13, %EWSR=0.043.
3710 5	5	0.010 <sup>#</sup>	
3724 5	4	-0.016	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.71 7, %EWSR=0.050.
3737 5	4	-0.013	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.52 8, %EWSR=0.036.
3757 5	5	0.015 <sup>#</sup>	
3778 5	4	+0.016	B(E4)(W.u.)=0.80 13, %EWSR=0.057.
3793 5	5	0.022 <sup>#</sup>	
3824 5			
3847 5			
3993 5	5		
4117 5	(4,5)		

<sup>†</sup> From [1992Pi08](#), unless otherwise stated. [1992Pi08](#) give a combined list of energies (see table 1 in [1992Pi08](#)) from (p,p') and (d,d') and it is assumed that level for which  $\beta_L$  is not given in column #4 of Table 3 ([1992Pi08](#)) is not populated in (p,p'), even though it is listed in Table 1 of [1992Pi08](#).

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> From [1990Pi14](#).

$^{98}\text{Mo}(\text{p},\text{p}'),(\text{p},\text{p}) \quad 1992\text{Pi}08,1990\text{Pi}14$  (continued) $\gamma(^{98}\text{Mo})$ 

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	Comments
735	736	$0^+$	0	$0^+$	E0	Branching ratio for two photon emission: $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/\Gamma < 0.0001$ at 95% confidence level ( <a href="#">2014He12</a> ). Two methods were used, one based on direct population of 735, $0^+$ state, and the second based on population of 735, $0^+$ level through 1024 $\gamma$ from 1758, $2^+$ level.
787 1024	787 1760	$2^+$	0 736	$0^+$		

<sup>†</sup> From [2014He12](#). $^{98}\text{Mo}(\text{p},\text{p}'),(\text{p},\text{p}) \quad 1992\text{Pi}08,1990\text{Pi}14$ Level Scheme