## <sup>248</sup>Cm SF decay 2001Ur01,2004Ur06

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 111, 525 (2010)	19-Nov-2009

Parent: <sup>248</sup>Cm: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=3.48 \times 10^5$  y 6; %SF decay=?

<sup>248</sup>Cm-From 1998Ak04 (Adopted Levels).

2001Ur01,2004Ur06 (also 2005PiZX): measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$  using EUROGAM-2 spectrometer comprised of 52 large Ge detectors in anti-Compton shields including 24 four-crystal (CLOVER) detectors and 4 LEPS detectors.

All data are from 2001Ur01 unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>97</sup> Sr	Levels
------------------	--------

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	Comments
0.0 167.1 2 308.1 3	$\frac{1/2^+}{3/2^+}$ $\frac{7}{2^+}$	$J^{\pi}$ : from Adopted Levels.
522.2 2 585.0 <sup>&amp;</sup> 2	$(1/2^+)$ $3/2^+$	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : deduced by 2001Ur01 from observed branching ratios; $\pi$ from 1990Lh02 ( <sup>97</sup> Rb $\beta^{-}$ decay).
600.6 2 644.7 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 2	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 3/2 <sup>-</sup>	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : deduced by 2001Ur01 from observed branching ratios; $\pi$ from 1990Lh02 ( <sup>97</sup> Rb $\beta^{-}$ decay).
687.0 <sup>@</sup> 2	5/2+	$\beta_2 = 0.34 \ 2 \ (2001 \text{Ur}01)$ $\beta_2$ : deduced from $Q_0 = 3.05 \ 15$ eb for band based on $5/2^+$ , $\alpha = +1/2$ .
713.7 <mark>b</mark> 2	5/2-	
771.3 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 2	7/2-	$\beta_2 = 0.32 \ 2 \ (2001 \text{Ur}01)$ $\beta_2$ : deduced from $Q_0 = 2.80 \ 15$ eb for band based on $3/2^-$ , $\alpha = -1/2$ .
822.5 <sup>&amp;</sup> 3	7/2+	
830.7 <sup>#C</sup> 3	(9/2+)	$\beta_2 = 0.441 \ 26 \ (2004 \text{Ur06})$ $\beta_2$ : deduced from $Q_0 = 3.86 \ 23$ eb for $\nu 9/2[404]$ isomer band. $J^{\pi}$ : from similarity to $9/2[404]$ , 1038.8 isomeric level In ${}^{99}\text{Zr}$ (2003 Ur01).
946.4 <sup>b</sup> 3	(9/2-)	
992.3 <sup>@</sup> 4	9/2+	
995.0 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 3	$11/2^{-}$	
1036.0 <sup>#C</sup> 4	$(11/2^+)$	
1198.0 <sup><b>x</b></sup> 4	$11/2^{+}$	
1276.0 <sup>mc</sup> 4	$(13/2^+)$	
1278 I 1383.4 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 5	(15/2) $15/2^{-}$	
1435.2 <sup>@</sup> 5	$13/2^+$	
1548.4 <sup>#c</sup> 5	$(15/2^+)$	
1707.7 <sup>&amp;</sup> 5	$15/2^{+}$	
1852.8 <sup>#c</sup> 5	$(17/2^+)$	
1906.2 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 6	19/2-	
2010.3 <sup>(a)</sup> 6	$17/2^{+}$	
2188.3 <sup>#C</sup> 6	$(19/2^+)$	
2345.7 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6	$(19/2^+)$	
$2559.7^{\circ} 6$	$23/2^{-}$	
3333.7 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 7	$(21/2^{+})$ $(27/2^{-})$	

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma'$ s, assuming  $\Delta(E\gamma)=0.3$  keV for each  $\gamma$  ray.

<sup>±</sup> ADOPTED by 2004Ur06 for  $\nu$ 9/2[404] isomer band (based on band structure) and by 2001Ur01 for the other levels; these values

#### <sup>248</sup>Cm SF decay 2001Ur01,2004Ur06 (continued)

## <sup>97</sup>Sr Levels (continued)

can differ from those adopted In this evaluation - see the Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset.

- <sup>#</sup> Level from 2004Ur06. <sup>@</sup> Band(A): Band based on  $5/2^+$ ,  $\alpha = +1/2$ .
- <sup>&</sup> Band(a): Band based on  $3/2^+$ ,  $\alpha = -1/2$ . <sup>*a*</sup> Band(B): Band based on  $3/2^-$ ,  $\alpha = -1/2$ .
- <sup>b</sup> Band(b): Band based on  $5/2^-$ ,  $\alpha = +1/2$ .
- <sup>*c*</sup> Band(C):  $\nu 9/2[404]$  isomer band.

## $\gamma(^{97}\mathrm{Sr})$

Identification of new transitions In <sup>97</sup>Sr was achieved by gating on known transitions In this nucleus and In the complementary barium fragments.

$E_{\gamma}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^{\#}$	Comments
44.3 48.5	6 2 5 2	644.7 995.0	$\frac{3/2^{-}}{11/2^{-}}$	600.6 946.4	$(3/2^+)$ $(9/2^-)$			
57.6	30 5	771.3	7/2-	713.7	5/2-	M1+E2	0.26 +9-12	$\alpha(\exp)=1.2 \ 3$ $A_2=-0.06 \ 2; \ A_4=+0.01 \ 2$ Mult.: M1+E2 from $\alpha(\exp)$ for 57.6 $\gamma$ ; compatible with D(+Q) for 57.6 $\gamma$ and $\Delta J=2 \ Q, \ E2$ for 223.8 $\gamma$ from 57.6 $\gamma$ -223.8 $\gamma$ any correlation.
59.4	12 5	644.7	3/2-	585.0	3/2+	D		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.13 4; A <sub>4</sub> =+0.03 3 Mult.: compatible with D for 59.4 $\gamma$ and $\Delta$ J=2 Q, E2 for 223.8 $\gamma$ from 59.4 $\gamma$ -223.8 $\gamma$ any correlation.
69.0	25 5	713.7	$5/2^{-}$	644.7	3/2-	M1+E2	1.00 +65-39	$\alpha(\exp)=2.2\ 8$
84.2	15 <i>3</i>	771.3	$7/2^{-}$	687.0	$5/2^{+}$	E1		$\alpha(\exp)=0.09 \ 3$
102.0	62	687.0	$5/2^{+}$	585.0	$3/2^{+}$	M1+E2	0.88 +61-41	$\alpha(\exp)=0.5\ 2$
113.0	62	713.7	$5/2^{-}$	600.6	$(3/2^+)$			
123.0	42	644.7	$3/2^{-}$	522.2	$(1/2^+)$			
126.6	52	771.3	7/2-	644.7	3/2-	Q		A <sub>2</sub> =+0.15 6; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.2 5 Mult.: compatible with ΔJ=2 Q, E2 for 126.6γ and ΔJ=2 Q, E2 for 223.8γ from 126.6γ-223.8γ αny correlation.
128.8	92	713.7	5/2-	585.0	$3/2^{+}$	E1		$\alpha(\exp)=0.05 \ 3$
135.4	10 <i>3</i>	822.5	7/2+	687.0	5/2+	(E2(+M1))		$\alpha(\exp)=0.6\ 2$ Mult., $\delta$ : $\alpha(\exp)$ is outside the range $\alpha(M1)=0.07 - \alpha(E2)=0.34$ , whence the tentative multipolarity.
141.0	19 <i>3</i>	308.1	$7/2^{+}$	167.1	$3/2^{+}$	Q		Mult.: see comment on $167.1\gamma$ .
167.1	100 5	167.1	3/2+	0.0	1/2+	D		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.09 2; A <sub>4</sub> =0.00 2 Mult.: compatible with $\Delta$ J=1 D for 167.1 $\gamma$ and $\Delta$ J=2 Q, E2 for 141.0 $\gamma$ from 167.1 $\gamma$ -141.0 $\gamma$ an $\gamma$ correlation.
175.0	42	946.4	$(9/2^{-})$	771.3	$7/2^{-}$			
$205.6^{@}$		1036.0	$(11/2^+)$	830.7	$(9/2^+)$			
223.8	39 <i>3</i>	995.0	$11/2^{-1}$	771.3	7/2-	Q		Mult.: see comments on 57.6 $\gamma$ , 59.4 $\gamma$ , 126.6 $\gamma$ and 388.4 $\gamma$ .
232.7 237.5	5 2 5 2	946.4 822.5	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 7/2 <sup>+</sup>	713.7 585.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q		$A_2 = +0.10 2$ ; $A_4 = -0.04 3$ Mult.: compatible with $\Delta J = 2$ Q, E2 for

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

## <sup>248</sup>Cm SF decay **2001Ur01,2004Ur06** (continued)

# $\gamma(^{97}\text{Sr})$ (continued)

Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
							237.5 $\gamma$ and $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 for 375.5 $\gamma$ from 237.5 $\gamma$ -375.5 $\gamma$ any correlation.
239.7 <sup>@</sup>		1276.0	$(13/2^+)$	1036.0	$(11/2^+)$		$I_{\gamma}$ : see comment on 445.4.
272.4 <sup>@</sup> 283	2 1	1548.4 1278	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> ) (13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1276.0 995.0	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 11/2 <sup>-</sup>		$I_{\gamma}$ : see comment on 512.1 $\gamma$ .
304.4 <sup>@</sup>		1852.8	$(17/2^+)$	1548.4	$(15/2^+)$		$I_{\gamma}$ : see comment on 576.7 $\gamma$ .
305.3	18 <i>3</i>	992.3	9/2+	687.0	5/2+	Q	Mult.: see comments on 442.9 $\gamma$ and 687.0 $\gamma$ .
332		1278	$(13/2^{-})$	946.4	(9/2-)		
335.5 <sup>@</sup>		2188.3	$(19/2^+)$	1852.8	$(17/2^+)$		
355.3	42	522.2	$(1/2^+)$ 11/2 <sup>+</sup>	167.1	$3/2^+$	0	Multi- see comments on 227 5ec and 500 7ec
379.0	4 2	687.0	$5/2^+$	308.1	$7/2^+$	Q	What. see comments on $237.5\gamma$ and $509.7\gamma$ .
388.4	25 3	1383.4	$15/2^{-}$	995.0	$11/2^{-}$	Q	$A_2 = +0.09 \ I; A_4 = -0.02 \ I$
						-	Mult.: compatible with $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 for 388.4 $\gamma$ and $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 for 223.8 $\gamma$ from 388.4 $\gamma$ -233.8 $\gamma$ $\alpha n\gamma$ correlation.
417.9	15 3	585.0	$3/2^+$	167.1	$3/2^+$		
433.5	02 92	1435.2	$(3/2^+)$ $13/2^+$	107.1	$\frac{3}{2}^{+}$	0	$A_{2}=+0.09$ <i>l</i> : $A_{4}=+0.01.2$
112.9	2	1100.2	10/2	<i>,,,</i>	7/2	×	Mult.: compatible with $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 for 442.9 $\gamma$ and $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 for 305.3 $\gamma$ from 442.9 $\gamma$ -305.3 $\gamma$ an $\gamma$ correlation.
445.4 <sup>@</sup>		1276.0	$(13/2^+)$	830.7	$(9/2^+)$		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(445.4)/I_{\gamma}(239.7)=1.0 \ 4/3.0 \ 2 \ (2004Ur06).$
477.3	10 2	644.7	3/2-	167.1	3/2+	0	
509.7	8 2	1707.7	15/2+	1198.0	11/2+	Q	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.07 3; A <sub>4</sub> =0.02 3 Mult.: compatible with $\Delta$ J=2 Q, E2 for 509.7γ and $\Delta$ J=2 Q, E2 for 375.5γ from 509.7γ-375.5γ αnγ correlation.
512.1 <sup>@</sup>		1548.4	$(15/2^+)$	1036.0	$(11/2^+)$		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(512.1)/I_{\gamma}(272.4)=1.0 \ I/1.8 \ 2 \ (2004Ur06).$
519.9	19 2	687.0	5/2+	167.1	3/2+	D	$A_2 = -0.06 I$ ; $A_4 = +0.01 2$ Mult.: compatible with $\Delta J = 1$ D for 519.9 $\gamma$ and sum of $\Delta J = 2$ Q, E2 transitions (probably 305.3 $\gamma$ +442.9 $\gamma$ ) from 519.9 $\gamma$ sum on $\gamma$ correlation
522 0 <sup>@</sup>		830.7	$(9/2^+)$	308.1	7/2+		$F_{\rm eff}$ the 522 $\gamma$ is complex mixed with impurity lines
522.4	52	522.2	$(1/2^{+})$	0.0	$1/2^+$		Ly. the 5227 is complex, mixed with impurity mics.
522.8	15 <i>3</i>	1906.2	19/2-	1383.4	$15/2^{-}$	Q	$A_2 = +0.08 2; A_4 = 0.00 2$
							Mult.: compatible with $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 for 522.8 $\gamma$ and sum of $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 transitions (probably 233.8 $\gamma$ +388.4 $\gamma$ ) from 522.8 $\gamma$ -sum $\alpha$ n $\gamma$ correlation.
575.1	52	2010.3	$17/2^{+}$	1435.2	$13/2^{+}$	Q	$A_2 = +0.11 \ 3; \ A_4 = -0.05 \ 4$
							Mult.: compatible with $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 for 575.1 $\gamma$ and sum of $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 transitions (probably $305.3\gamma+442.9\gamma$ ) from 575.1 $\gamma$ -sum $\alpha$ n $\gamma$ correlation.
576.7 <sup>@</sup>		1852.8	$(17/2^+)$	1276.0	$(13/2^+)$		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(576.7)/I_{\gamma}(304.4)=1.0 \ 1/1.2 \ 1 \ (2004Ur06).$
584.9	30 5	585.0	3/2+	0.0	1/2+		
600.6	10 3	600.6	$(3/2^+)$	0.0	$1/2^+$		
638.0 644.5	$\frac{3I}{213}$	2345.7 644.7	$(19/2^{+})$ $3/2^{-}$	1/0/./	15/2* 1/2+		
653.5	82	2559.7	$\frac{3/2}{23/2^{-}}$	1906.2	$\frac{1}{2}$ 19/2 <sup>-</sup>	0	$A_2 = +0.07 2$ ; $A_4 = +0.02 2$
					,		Mult.: compatible with $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 for 653.5 $\gamma$ and sum of $\Delta J=2$ Q, E2 transitions (probably 233.8 $\gamma$ +388.4 $\gamma$ ) from 575 1 $\gamma$ -sum one correlation
687.0	2 1	687.0	5/2+	0.0	1/2+	Q	$A_2$ =+0.10 3; $A_4$ =+0.04 3 Mult.: compatible with $\Delta$ J=2 Q, E2 for 687.0 $\gamma$ and $\Delta$ J=2 Q,
702.0	21	2712.3	$(21/2^{+})$	2010.3	$17/2^{+}$		E2 101 505.57 ITOIL 087.07-505.57 $\alpha$ my correlation.
774.0	3 1	3333.7	$(27/2^{-})$	2559.7	23/2-		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

### <sup>248</sup>Cm SF decay 2001Ur01,2004Ur06 (continued)

#### $\gamma(^{97}Sr)$ (continued)

<sup>†</sup> Relative intensities from 2001Ur01. 2004Ur06 report branching ratios for  $\gamma$ 's of  $\nu$ 9/2[404] isomer band (see table comments).

<sup>‡</sup> Deduced by evaluator from angular correlation and total conversion coefficients (from intensity balance) measured by 2001Ur01 (see comments In  $\gamma$  table). For angular correlations the following typical values are used: A<sub>2</sub>=+0.102, A<sub>4</sub>=+0.009 for stretched Q – stretched Q (for 4-2-2 like cascade); A<sub>2</sub>=-0.071, A<sub>4</sub>=0 for stretched D – stretched Q (for 3-2-2 like cascade); A<sub>2</sub>=+0.250, A<sub>4</sub>=0 for pure D – stretched Q (2-2-0 like cascade); A<sub>2</sub>=-0.022, A<sub>4</sub>=+0.003 for stretched Q – pure Q (4-2-2 like cascade). All stretched Q  $\gamma$ 's adopted here are E2's In the Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset.

# Estimated by evaluator from  $\alpha(\exp)$  values reported by 2001Ur01.

<sup>@</sup> From 2004Ur06 (v9/2[404] ISOMER BAND).

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



 $^{97}_{38}{
m Sr}_{59}$ 



## <sup>248</sup>Cm SF decay 2001Ur01,2004Ur06



<sup>97</sup><sub>38</sub>Sr<sub>59</sub>