

^{97}Rh ε decay (30.7 min) 1974Oh07,1975Pi05

Type	Author	History	
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
		NDS 111, 525 (2010)	19-Nov-2009

Parent: ^{97}Rh : E=0.0; $J^\pi=9/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=30.7$ min 6; $Q(\varepsilon)=3520$ 40; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0 ^{97}Ru Levels

Level scheme is that proposed by 1974Oh07 and is based on coincidence work and energy fit. Levels have been added at 2760 (1975Pi05) and 2966 (1981Gr20). Additional levels have been proposed by 1975Pi05 at 2800.5 and 3101.4 keV, and by 1981Gr20 at 2037.0, 2050.0, 2663.4, 2966.2, 2999.5 and 3101.2 keV. However, the existence of these levels is not supported by coincidence work, and each accommodates only one previously unassigned γ .

E(level) [†]	$J^\pi\ddagger$	Comments
0.0	5/2 ⁺	
189.19 10	3/2 ⁺	
421.54 5	7/2 ⁺	
840.18 7	7/2 ⁺	
878.76 7	(9/2) ⁺	
1199.02 17	(11/2) ⁺	
1229.42 8	9/2 ⁺	
1543.01 19	(7/2,9/2,11/2 ⁺)	
1619.6 3	(11/2) ⁺	
1932.32 13	7/2 ⁺	
1990.08 22	(7/2)	
1998.6 3	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	
2150.9 5	7/2 ⁺	
2185.7? 6		E(level): level proposed by 1974Oh07, based on deexciting γ 's and coincidence work. However, neither 1975Pi05 nor 1981Gr20 observed these gammas.
2591.4 4	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	
2754.7 4	7/2 ⁺	
2760.4 5	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	
2766.2 5	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	
2914.5 10		
2966.4 6	7/2 ⁺	
3368.8 6	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	

[†] From a least squares fit to $E\gamma$.[‡] From Adopted Levels. ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+\ddagger$	I $\varepsilon\ddagger$	Log ft	I($\varepsilon+\beta^+$) ^{†‡}	Comments
(1.5×10 ² 4)	3368.8	0.18 6	4.4 3	0.18 6	$\varepsilon K=0.832$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.135$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.033$ 3	
(5.5×10 ² 4)	2966.4	0.27 9	5.50 16	0.27 9	$\varepsilon K=0.8613$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.1122$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.02659$ 12	
(6.1×10 ² 4)	2914.5	0.22 15	5.7 3	0.22 15	$\varepsilon K=0.8620$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.1115$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.02642$ 10	
(7.5×10 ² 4)	2766.2	0.49 13	5.52 13	0.49 13	$\varepsilon K=0.8636$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.11029$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.02608$ 6	
(7.6×10 ² 4)	2760.4	0.45 12	5.56 13	0.45 12	$\varepsilon K=0.8637$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.11025$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.02607$ 6	
(7.7×10 ² 4)	2754.7	0.70 13	5.38 9	0.70 13	$\varepsilon K=0.8637$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.11021$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.02606$ 6	
(9.3×10 ² 4)	2591.4	1.13 15	5.35 7	1.13 15	$\varepsilon K=0.8648$ 2; $\varepsilon L=0.10935$ 14; $\varepsilon M+=0.02582$ 4	
(1.33×10 ³ # 4)	2185.7?	0.0026 14	0.90 22	5.77 11	0.90 22	av $E\beta=140$ 13; $\varepsilon K=0.8639$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.10782$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.02542$ 5
(1.37×10 ³ 4)	2150.9	0.007 3	1.62 20	5.53 6	1.63 20	av $E\beta=155$ 13; $\varepsilon K=0.8626$ 15; $\varepsilon L=0.1076$ 3;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 ^{97}Rh ε decay (30.7 min) 1974Oh07,1975Pl05 (continued)

 ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^+ [†]	I ε^{\ddagger}	Log ft	I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$) ^{†‡}	Comments
(1.52×10^3 4)	1998.6	0.022 6	1.17 15	5.77 6	1.19 15	$\varepsilon M+=0.02536$ 7 av $E\beta=221$ 13; $\varepsilon K=0.850$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.1058$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02492$ 13
(1.53×10^3 4)	1990.08	0.011 4	0.52 12	6.13 10	0.53 12	av $E\beta=225$ 13; $\varepsilon K=0.849$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.1056$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02488$ 14
(1.59×10^3 4)	1932.32	0.11 2	3.5 3	5.33 5	3.6 3	av $E\beta=250$ 13; $\varepsilon K=0.841$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.1045$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.02461$ 17
(1.90×10^3 4)	1619.6	0.087 15	0.57 8	6.28 7	0.66 9	av $E\beta=385$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.753$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.0931$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.0219$ 4
(1.98×10^3 4)	1543.01	0.12 3	0.56 13	6.32 11	0.68 16	av $E\beta=419$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.721$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.0891$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.0210$ 4
(2.29×10^3 4)	1229.42	1.2 1	2.2 2	5.85 5	3.4 3	av $E\beta=557$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.567$ 16; $\varepsilon L=0.0699$ 19; $\varepsilon M+=0.0164$ 5
(2.32×10^3 4)	1199.02	0.55 6	0.95 11	6.23 6	1.50 16	av $E\beta=571$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.551$ 16; $\varepsilon L=0.0679$ 19; $\varepsilon M+=0.0160$ 5
(2.64×10^3 4)	878.76	3.0 3	2.6 3	5.91 6	5.6 6	av $E\beta=715$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.399$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.0491$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.0116$ 4
(2.68×10^3 4)	840.18	4.5 5	3.6 4	5.78 5	8.1 8	av $E\beta=732$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.383$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.0471$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.0111$ 4
(3.10×10^3 4)	421.54	49.1 7	19.1 7	5.184 25	68.2 4	av $E\beta=923$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.243$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.0298$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.00701$ 24 E(decay): $E\beta+=2.12$ 9 in coin with 421.5 γ (1974Oh07).
(3.33×10^3 4)	189.19					I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$): GTOL upper limit (method 1): 0.41.

[†] Deduced from $I\gamma$ balance in level scheme with $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ to g.s.=0.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

Existence of this branch is questionable.

^{97}Rh ε decay (30.7 min) 1974Oh07, 1975Pl05 (continued)

γ (⁹⁷Ru)

I γ normalization: $\Sigma (I\gamma \text{ to g.s.}) = 100$ and $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+ \text{ to g.s.}) = 0$.

1974Oh07: measured: t, E γ , E β , I γ , I(ce), $\gamma\gamma$, $\beta\gamma$, C(ce)(t). Detectors:Ge(Li) for γ with 2.5 keV FWHM at 1332 keV, Si(Li) for β , ce with 2.1 keV FWHM at 624 keV. Source: $^{96}\text{Ru}(p,\gamma)$, $^{101}\text{Ru}(p,5n)$ reactions.

1975PI05: measured: t , $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $I(\text{ce})$, $\gamma\gamma$. Detector: Ge(Li) for γ , Si(Li) for ce. Source: $^{96}\text{Ru}(\text{d},\text{n})$, ^{97}Pd decay.

For additional unassigned gammas, see ^{97}Rh ε decay (46.2 min).

⁹⁷Rh ε decay (30.7 min) 1974Oh07,1975Pl05 (continued) $\gamma(^{97}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}h}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
1197.9 5	0.49 10	1619.6	(11/2) ⁺	421.54	7/2 ⁺	
1228.7 7	1.43 23	1229.42	9/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	
1272.9 9	0.39 8	2150.9	7/2 ⁺	878.76	(9/2) ⁺	
^x 1301.7 ^{&f} 9	0.10 ^{&} 6					
1310.1 6	1.5 2	2150.9	7/2 ⁺	840.18	7/2 ⁺	E_γ, I_γ : from 1974Oh07; 1975Pl05 suggests that this γ is a doublet, with $E\gamma=1310$ and 1312 keV with about equal intensity, but only one γ is placed in the level scheme.
^x 1312 ^c 1	1.0 ^d 4					
^x 1322.5 ^{&f} 8	0.4 ^{&} 1					
1345.1 ^{&} 10	0.2 ^{&} 1	2185.7?		840.18	7/2 ⁺	
1391.9 ^{&} 7	0.4 ^{&} 1	2591.4	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	1199.02	(11/2) ⁺	
^x 1415.1 ^{&f} 9	0.2 ^{&} 1					
^x 1434.7 6	0.64 12					
^x 1469.3 ^{cg} 10	0.2 ^c 1					
1511.0 4	0.74 13	1932.32	7/2 ⁺	421.54	7/2 ⁺	
1577.1 4	0.85 13	1998.6	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	421.54	7/2 ⁺	
^x 1615.0 ^c 10	0.2 ^d 1					
^x 1708.4 ^{&f} 8	0.2 ^{&} 1					
1712.9 5	0.55 11	2591.4	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	878.76	(9/2) ⁺	
^x 1722.7 ^{cg} 13	0.20 ^c 15					
1730.4 ^c 13	0.2 ^d 1	2150.9	7/2 ⁺	421.54	7/2 ⁺	
1742.5 ^c 13	0.3 ^d 1	1932.32	7/2 ⁺	189.19	3/2 ⁺	
1751.2 6	0.56 12	2591.4	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	840.18	7/2 ⁺	
1764.3 ^{&} 9	0.6 ^{&} 2	2185.7?		421.54	7/2 ⁺	
^x 1813.4 ^g 5	0.6 2					
1876.5 5	0.4 [#] 1	2754.7	7/2 ⁺	878.76	(9/2) ⁺	E_γ, I_γ : doublet, γ is assigned to both 30.7 min and 46.2 min activities.
1881.4 ^c 9	0.2 ^d 1	2760.4	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	878.76	(9/2) ⁺	
1888.0 6	0.2 [#] 1	2766.2	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	878.76	(9/2) ⁺	E_γ : 1975Pl05 and 1981Gr20 assign this γ to 46.2 min decay.
1920.0 ^c 14	0.15 ^d 10	2760.4	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	840.18	7/2 ⁺	
1925.1 ^e 7	0.45 14	2766.2	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	840.18	7/2 ⁺	
1931.7 6	0.71 15	1932.32	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	
1962.4 ^c 15	0.1 ^d 1	2150.9	7/2 ⁺	189.19	3/2 ⁺	
^x 1978.2 ^{&f} 9	0.2 ^{&} 1					
1989.2 6	0.26 8	1990.08	(7/2)	0.0	5/2 ⁺	
^x 2050 ^c 1	0.10 ^d 7					
2152.1 ^k 6	<1.4	2150.9	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	E_γ : this γ assigned to 46.2 min decay by 1974Oh07.
2185.7 ^{&} 8	0.4 ^{&} 2	2185.7?		0.0	5/2 ⁺	
2338.9 5	0.25 6	2760.4	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	421.54	7/2 ⁺	

⁹⁷Rh ε decay (30.7 min) 1974Oh07,1975Pi05 (continued) $\gamma(^{97}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger h}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
2492.9 10	0.3# 2	2914.5		421.54	7/2 ⁺	
2563.7 ^a	0.14 ^a	2754.7	7/2 ⁺	189.19	3/2 ⁺	
2753.8# 8	0.2@ 1	2754.7	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	
2777.0# 10	0.08@ 5	2966.4	7/2 ⁺	189.19	3/2 ⁺	
^x 2788.9&f 10	0.10& 6					
^x 2800.8 ^e 7	0.25 7					
^x 2843.8&f 9	0.10& 7					
2947.2 6	0.24 8	3368.8	7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	421.54	7/2 ⁺	
^x 3000.1&f 9	0.10& 5					
^x 3101.6 8	0.20 6					
^x 3303.6&f 10	0.10& 5					
^x 3400.8&f 10	0.10& 5					
^x 3441.4&f 10	0.10& 5					
^x 3494.5&f 10	0.10& 8					

5

[†] Weighted average of measurements given by 1974Oh07 and 1975Pi05, unless otherwise noted. 1981Gr20 does not quote uncertainties of measurements and in general, the values quoted agree exactly with those of 1975Pi05.

[‡] Weighted average of measurements by 1974Oh07, 1975Pi05 and 1981Gr20, unless otherwise noted.

[#] From 1974Oh07.

[@] Unweighted average of measurements by 1974Oh07 and 1981Gr20.

[&] From 1974Oh07, not observed by 1975Pi05 or 1981Gr20.

^a From 1981Gr20, not observed by 1974Oh07 or 1975Pi05.

^b Total intensity of the doublet divided according to the intensity ratio in 1974Oh07.

^c From 1975Pi05.

^d Average of measurements by 1975Pi05 and 1981Gr20, not observed by 1974Oh07.

^e γ not observed by 1981Gr20, Iy is the average of measurements by 1974Oh07, 1975Pi05.

^f Assignment to g.s. or ms activity uncertain.

^g Assigned to g.s.? activity by 1975Pi05.

^h For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.7463.

ⁱ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^j Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

^k Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{97}\text{Rh} \epsilon$ decay (30.7 min) 1974Oh07,1975Pl05

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- ↔ γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 decays through this branch
 @ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

