

⁹⁷Mo(n,n'γ) 1989Ab19

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 111, 525 (2010)	19-Nov-2009

E(n)=1.2-3.2 MeV from ³H(p,n)³He reaction. Measured Eγ, Iγ, excit.

⁹⁷Mo Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	Comments
0.0		
480.5 4	3/2	
658.2 3	7/2	J ^π : 7/2 preferred but 5/2, 9/2 not ruled out.
679.7 3	1/2	
718.8 4	5/2	J ^π : from 237.93 excit: 5/2 preferred but 3/2, 7/2 not ruled out; from 718.8γ excit: 5/2 preferred but 7/2 not ruled out.
720.93 19	3/2	
888.3 11	1/2	J ^π : from 406.68γ excit.
1025.0 [#] 4		
1093.1 3	5/2,7/2	J ^π : adopted J ^π =3/2 ⁺ .
1117.2 [#] 4		
1264.5 6	5/2	J ^π : 5/2 preferred but 7/2 not ruled out.
1268.5 4	7/2,9/2	J ^π : from 549.15γ excit.
1269.0 2		
1284.6 4	7/2,9/2	J ^π : adopted J ^π =3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ .
1319.9 6	3/2	
1409.4 5	5/2	J ^π : adopted J ^π =11/2 ⁺ .
1437.2 5	3/2	J ^π : adopted J ^π =11/2 ⁻ .
1515.8 4	7/2,9/2,11/2	J ^π : adopted J ^π =9/2 ⁺ .
1545.2 5	1/2	J ^π : J=7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺ ,+11/2 ⁺ from γ excit in (α,nγ) reaction.
1547.2 11	1/2	
1566.6 4	7/2,9/2	
1630.1 7	5/2,7/2,9/2	
1700.7 9	1/2	
1724.1 4	5/2	J ^π : 5/2 preferred but 3/2, 7/2 not ruled out.
1727.6 4	5/2,7/2	
1761.9 5	9/2	
1785.0 [@] 5	5/2,7/2	J ^π : from 1128.43γ excit.
1790.3 5	1/2,3/2	
1848.1 6	5/2,7/2	
1930.9 7	3/2,5/2	
1960.4 13	7/2,9/2	
1986.1 8	3/2,5/2,7/2	
1989.4 6	3/2,5/2	J ^π : 3/2,5/2 preferred, but 7/2 cannot be ruled out.
2000.8 4	3/2,5/2	
2033.7 10	1/2,3/2	
2049.8 4	7/2,9/2	
2055.0 9	5/2,7/2	
2092.1 9	1/2,3/2,5/2	
2152.5 6	3/2,5/2,7/2	
2279.5 7	3/2,5/2	
2331.2 12	5/2,7/2	
2365.6 9	9/2,11/2	
2377.5 11	5/2,7/2	
2409.8 10	5/2,7/2,9/2	
2511.3 6	5/2,7/2	J ^π : adopted J ^π =9/2 ⁺ .
2560.7 11		J ^π : J≥9/2 from γ excit.
2646.4 2		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{97}\text{Mo}(n,n'\gamma)$ **1989Ab19** (continued) ^{97}Mo Levels (continued)E(level)[†]

2649.2 15

2905.5 14

[†] From 1989Ab19.[‡] J values given are from exit of deexciting γ 's, which can differ from adopted value (see Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset).# From excit of deexciting γ the level is probably a doublet.[@] The two γ 's which are proposed for this level, give a very poor fit to E(level) (1783.5 4 and 1786.6 6). The 758.5 γ , but not the 1128.4 γ , has been seen in other reactions deexciting a level at 1782.95. Therefore, in adopted gammas, the 758.5 γ is placed to deexcite the 1782.95-keV level while the 1128.4 γ is assigned to the level seen at 1789.5 keV in (d,p) reaction. Since both γ 's seem quite weak in this data set (fig. 1, 1989Ab19), the nonobservation of the 666.10 γ does not seem significant (evaluator). $\gamma(^{97}\text{Mo})$

<u>$E_i(\text{level})$</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ^\dagger</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>
480.5	3/2	480.5 4	100	0.0	
658.2	7/2	658.2 3	100	0.0	
679.7	1/2	679.7 3	100	0.0	
718.8	5/2	237.8 3	24.0	480.5	3/2
		719.28 19	76.0	0.0	
720.93	3/2	720.83 19	100	0.0	
888.3	1/2	406.68 22	71.8	480.5	3/2
		889.3 10	28.2	0.0	
1025.0		1025.0 [‡] 4	100	0.0	
1093.1	5/2,7/2	1093.1 3	100	0.0	
1117.2		1117.2 [‡] 4	100	0.0	
1264.5	5/2	1264.5 6	100	0.0	
1268.5	7/2,9/2	549.2 3	30.4	718.8	5/2
		1269.04 ^{a‡} 20	69.6 ^a	0.0	
1269.0		1269.04 ^{a‡} 20	100 ^a	0.0	
1284.6	7/2,9/2	803.78 19	32.6	480.5	3/2
		1284.8 4	67.4	0.0	
1319.9	3/2	839.3 4	100	480.5	3/2
1409.4	5/2	751.2 3	100	658.2	7/2
1437.2	3/2	320.0 3	100	1117.2	
1515.8	7/2,9/2,11/2	796.7 3	7.8	718.8	5/2
		857.60 21	13.8	658.2	7/2
		1516.1 4	78.3	0.0	
1545.2	1/2	428.0 4	100	1117.2	
1547.2	1/2	1066.6 11	100	480.5	3/2
1566.6	7/2,9/2	1566.6 4	100	0.0	
1630.1	5/2,7/2,9/2	909.03 22	29.6	720.93	3/2
		911.19 22	20.2	718.8	5/2
		1149.0 4	30.5	480.5	3/2
		1630.8 11	19.7	0.0	
1700.7	1/2	1700.7 9	100	0.0	
1724.1	5/2	1724.1 4	100	0.0	
1727.6	5/2,7/2	1009.0 4	31.4	718.8	5/2
		1727.4 2	68.6	0.0	
1761.9	9/2	1040.4 [@] 4	41.9	720.93	3/2
		1762.5 6	58.1	0.0	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{97}\text{Mo}(n,n'\gamma)$ **1989Ab19** (continued) $\gamma(^{97}\text{Mo})$ (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	E_f	J_f^π	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	E_f	J_f^π
1785.0	5/2,7/2	758.53 [#] 22	29.4	1025.0		2152.5	3/2,5/2,7/2	2152.5 6	100		0.0
		1128.4 [#] 5	70.6	658.2	7/2	2279.5	3/2,5/2	2279.5 7	100		0.0
1790.3	1/2,3/2	1790.2 5	100	0.0		2331.2	5/2,7/2	2331.2 12	100		0.0
1848.1	5/2,7/2	1190.1 5	57.2	658.2	7/2	2365.6	9/2,11/2	2365.6 9	100		0.0
		1848.0 6	42.8	0.0		2377.5	5/2,7/2	2377.5 11	100		0.0
1930.9	3/2,5/2	1251.3 6	100	679.7	1/2	2409.8	5/2,7/2,9/2	2409.8 10	100		0.0
1960.4	7/2,9/2	1481.0 4	41.3	480.5	3/2	2511.3	5/2,7/2	2511.3 6	100		0.0
		1959.3 10	58.7	0.0		2560.7		1900.9 11	49.3	658.2	7/2
1986.1	3/2,5/2,7/2	1986.1 8	100	0.0				2562.2 10	50.7		0.0
1989.4	3/2,5/2	1508.9 4	100	480.5	3/2	2646.4		1621.5 12	100	1025.0	
2000.8	3/2,5/2	1281.9 ^{&} 3	100	718.8	5/2	2649.2		1240.1 8	40.7	1409.4	5/2
2033.7	1/2,3/2	2033.7 10	100	0.0				2649.0 20	59.3		0.0
2049.8	7/2,9/2	2049.8 4	100	0.0		2905.5		1641.1 9	36.7	1264.5	5/2
2055.0	5/2,7/2	2055.0 9	100	0.0				2905.2 11	63.3		0.0
2092.1	1/2,3/2,5/2	2092.1 9	100	0.0							

[†] Branching ratio from each level given.

[‡] The excit cannot be fitted with a single J assignment, the γ is assumed to be a doublet.

[#] See comment on 1785.0 level in levels table.

[@] γ placed deexciting the 1697.9 level in adopted gammas.

[&] γ placed deexciting the 1939.93 level in ($\alpha,n\gamma$) and ($^3\text{He},2n\gamma$) experiments.

^a Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

$^{97}\text{Mo}(n,n'\gamma)$ 1989Ab19

Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level
@ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

