

⁹⁶Pd ε decay 1985Ry02

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. Abriola(a), A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 109, 2501 (2008)	1-Apr-2008

Parent: ⁹⁶Pd: E=0.0; J^π=0⁺; T_{1/2}=122 s 2; Q(ε)=3.45×10³ 15; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

Measured: γ, γγ, γ[±] (1985Ry02,1982Ku15,1980Ar11).

α: [Additional information 1.](#)

⁹⁶Rh Levels

E(level)	J ^π †	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	6 ⁺	9.90 min 10	%ε+%β ⁺ =100
52.00 10	3 ⁺	1.51 min 2	
176.7 3	(2 ⁺)		
775.50 25	(2 ⁺)		
939.1 3	1 ⁺		
1185.5? 10			
1275.3 3	1 ⁺		
1564.6 4	1 ⁺		
1802.1 4	1 ⁺		

† Adopted values.

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ †	Iε [†]	Log ft	I(ε+β ⁺) [†]	Comments
(1.65×10 ³ 15)	1802.1	0.20 21	4.6 5	4.11 11	4.8 5	av Eβ=281 66; εK=0.83 4; εL=0.104 5; εM+=0.0247 12
(1.89×10 ³ 15)	1564.6	0.6 4	4.8 5	4.21 11	5.4 4	av Eβ=384 66; εK=0.76 6; εL=0.095 8; εM+=0.0227 19
1149 50	1275.3	10 3	29 4	3.55 12	39.6 13	av Eβ=511 67; εK=0.64 8; εL=0.079 10; εM+=0.0189 22 E(decay): from β ⁺ /ε+β ⁺ =0.257 28 (from I _γ and I _γ ±) (1985Ry02).
(2.26×10 ³ 15)	1185.5?	0.09 10	0.2 2	5.7 5	0.3 3	av Eβ=551 67; εK=0.59 8; εL=0.074 10; εM+=0.0176 22
(2.51×10 ³ 15)	939.1	23 4	28 5	3.70 12	50.9 24	av Eβ=661 68; εK=0.47 8; εL=0.059 9; εM+=0.0140 22

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(⁹⁶Rh)

I_γ normalization: ΣI_γ(to 3⁺ isomer)=100%.

E _γ †	I _γ †‡	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	α	Comments
(52.0 1)		52.00	3 ⁺	0.0	6 ⁺	M3	640 11	α(K)=374 6; α(L)=214 4; α(M)=44.4 8; α(N)=7.00 13; α(O)=0.182 4; α(N+..)=7.18 13 Mult.: from α(K)exp in it Decay.
124.9 4	100 5	176.7	(2 ⁺)	52.00	3 ⁺	[M1]	0.185	α(K)=0.161 3; α(L)=0.0195 4; α(M)=0.00364 6; α(N)=0.000602 10; α(O)=3.01×10 ⁻⁵ 5 α(N+..)=0.000633 11
163.8 6	0.35 10	939.1	1 ⁺	775.50	(2 ⁺)			
289 1	<0.3	1564.6	1 ⁺	1275.3	1 ⁺			
336.1 5	1.5 3	1275.3	1 ⁺	939.1	1 ⁺			
499.7 3	27.5 10	1275.3	1 ⁺	775.50	(2 ⁺)			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{96}Pd ε decay 1985Ry02 (continued) $\gamma(^{96}\text{Rh})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †‡	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	E_γ †	I_γ †‡	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
598.8 3	8.0 4	775.50	(2 ⁺)	176.7	(2 ⁺)	1008.8 # 10	<0.9	1185.5?		176.7	(2 ⁺)
625.3 4	1.6 3	1564.6	1 ⁺	939.1	1 ⁺	1026.7 5	1.0 3	1802.1	1 ⁺	775.50	(2 ⁺)
723.4 3	25.1 10	775.50	(2 ⁺)	52.00	3 ⁺	1098.7 6	26.6 15	1275.3	1 ⁺	176.7	(2 ⁺)
762.3 2	76.9 35	939.1	1 ⁺	176.7	(2 ⁺)	1223.4 7	4.8 5	1275.3	1 ⁺	52.00	3 ⁺
788.9 5	3.4 4	1564.6	1 ⁺	775.50	(2 ⁺)	1388.5 6	3.0 4	1564.6	1 ⁺	176.7	(2 ⁺)
862.3 7	0.6 2	1802.1	1 ⁺	939.1	1 ⁺	1625.6 6	5.7 6	1802.1	1 ⁺	176.7	(2 ⁺)
887.1 5	3.9 4	939.1	1 ⁺	52.00	3 ⁺						

† From 1985Ry02.

‡ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.657 7.

Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^{96}Pd ϵ decay 1985Ry02

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - - -→ γ Decay (Uncertain)

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

$\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100$ $\begin{matrix} 0^+ & 0.0 \\ \hline 122 \text{ s } 2 \\ Q_\epsilon = 3.45 \times 10^3 \text{ keV} \end{matrix}$
 $^{96}_{46}\text{Pd}_{50}$

