

$^{95}\text{Rh}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (1.96 min) 1981Gr20,1979Zy03,1975We03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. K. Basu, G. Mukherjee, A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 111, 2555 (2010)	30-Jun-2009

Parent:  $^{95}\text{Rh}$ : E=543.3 3;  $J^\pi=(1/2)^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=1.96$  min 4;  $Q(\varepsilon)=5112$  12;  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay=12 5

$^{95}\text{Rh}-\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay:  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+=12$  5 was determined by comparing  $I_\gamma(^{95}\text{Rh } 543\gamma)$  to intensities of  $\gamma$ 's following  $\varepsilon$  decay assuming  $\text{mult}(^{95}\text{Rh } 543\gamma)=M4$  (1975We03). Other: 15 from  $\% I_\gamma(^{95}\text{Rh } 543\gamma)=77$  (1981Gr20) and  $\alpha(^{95}\text{Rh } 543\gamma)=0.102$ .

1975We03: Measured  $\gamma$ 's,  $\beta^+$ 's,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma(t)$ ; Ge(Li), scin.

1981Gr20 and 1979Zy03 measured  $\gamma$ 's; Ge(Li).

 $^{95}\text{Ru}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	$T_{1/2}^\dagger$
0.0	$5/2^+$	1.643 h 13
787.7 4	$1/2^+$	
3186.3 8	$(3/2)^-$	
3407.2 5	$(3/2)^-$	
3824.5? 7	$(3/2)^-$	

$^\dagger$  From the Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

See 1981Gr20 for the deduced  $\beta$ -strength functions.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+^\dagger$	$I\varepsilon^\dagger$	Log $ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^\dagger$	Comments
(1831 $^\ddagger$ 12)	3824.5?	1.14 6	9.56 19	4.75 19	10.7 2	av $E\beta=359.2$ 53; $\varepsilon K=0.775$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0959$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02259$ 13
(2248 12)	3407.2	5.5 4	11.3 9	4.86 19	16.8 13	av $E\beta=543.0$ 54; $\varepsilon K=0.583$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0719$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.01693$ 18
(2469 12)	3186.3	3.4 6	4.0 7	5.39 20	7.4 13	av $E\beta=641.7$ 54; $\varepsilon K=0.473$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.0582$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.01369$ 17
(4868 12)	787.7	61 5	3.6 3	6.03 19	65 5	av $E\beta=1757.7$ 58; $\varepsilon K=0.0486$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.00593$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.001395$ 13

$^\dagger$  For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.12 5.

$^\ddagger$  Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{95}\text{Ru})$ 

$I_\gamma$  normalization: From  $\Sigma I_\gamma(\text{to g.s.})=100$ .  $\Delta J^\pi=(2)$ , yes.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
787.7 4	9.7 $^\ddagger$ 7	787.7	$1/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	$E_\gamma$ : other: 783 (1981Gr20).
$^x$ 2821.0	1.0 1					
3186.2 8	1.1 2	3186.3	$(3/2)^-$	0.0	$5/2^+$	
3407.1 5	2.5 2	3407.2	$(3/2)^-$	0.0	$5/2^+$	
$^x$ 3757.4 20	1.0 2					
3824.4 $^@$ 7	1.6 3	3824.5?	$(3/2)^-$	0.0	$5/2^+$	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{95}\text{Rh}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (1.96 min) [1981Gr20](#),[1979Zy03](#),[1975We03](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{95}\text{Ru})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>†#</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$
<sup>x</sup> 4207.8 20	0.71 15	
<sup>x</sup> 4242.0 20	0.84 15	
<sup>x</sup> 4336.5 20	1.2 2	

<sup>†</sup> From [1975We03](#), except as noted.  $I_\gamma$  relative to  $I_\gamma(^{95}\text{Rh } 543\gamma)=100$ .

<sup>‡</sup>  $\%I_\gamma(783\gamma)=3.8$  and  $\%I_\gamma(2821\gamma)=3.4$  ([1981Gr20](#)) are discrepant with  $\%I_\gamma(787\gamma)=8.1$  35 and  $\%I_\gamma(2821\gamma)=0.8$  4 derived from the present normalization and the  $I_\gamma$ 's of [1975We03](#).

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.8 3.

<sup>@</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

**$^{95}\text{Rh}$   $\epsilon$  decay (1.96 min) 1981Gr20,1979Zy03,1975We03**