

<sup>95</sup>Ag ε decay 2005Ha45

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. K. Basu, G. Mukherjee, A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 111, 2555 (2010)	30-Jun-2009

Parent: <sup>95</sup>Ag: E=0.0; J<sup>π</sup>=(9/2<sup>+</sup>); T<sub>1/2</sub>=1.74 s 13; Q(ε)=9865 SY; %ε+%β<sup>+</sup> decay=100.0

<sup>95</sup>Ag-Q(ε): Qβ<sup>-</sup>=9865 401 (systematics value from 2009AuZZ).

<sup>95</sup>Ag isotope produced in <sup>58</sup>Ni(<sup>40</sup>Ca,p2n) reaction at E=3.9 MeV/nucleon. Reaction products stopped in hot C catcher inside a FEBIAD-B2C ion source. Mass separation. Measured Eγ, Iγ, γγ, β-γ coin, β-γ(t) coin with 13 Ge crystals in close geometry (one CLUSTER, one CLOVER, one 60% single HPGe and a LEPS).

<sup>95</sup>Pd Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1261.80 8	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1351.12 9	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	E(level): existence of level confirmed from (89γ)(1262γ) coin.
1686.00 8	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup> ,11/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>	
1800.78 10	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup> ,11/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>	
1973.02 22	15/2 <sup>+</sup>	
2024.93 9		
2266.80 22		
2283.50 15		
2405.94 16		
2488.06 15		
2570.78 15	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup> ,11/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>	
2700.67 15		
2733.5 3		
2940.18 15		
3408.9 3		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to Eγ's.

<sup>‡</sup> Adopted from 2003Ma24, unless stated otherwise.

<sup>#</sup> From their strong γ deexcitation to 9/2<sup>+</sup> ground state of <sup>95</sup>Pd (2005Ha45).

ε,β<sup>+</sup> radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ <sup>+</sup> #	Iε <sup>†</sup> #	Log f <sub>i</sub> <sup>‡</sup>	I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>	Comments
(6456 SY)	3408.9	1.19 19	0.032 9	5.65 17	1.22 19	av Eβ=2.49×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.023 6; εL=0.0028 7; εM+=0.00068 17
(6924 SY)	2940.18	3.3 3	0.070 17	5.37 15	3.4 3	av Eβ=2.71×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.018 4; εL=0.0022 5; εM+=0.00054 13
(7131 SY)	2733.5	0.88 17	0.017 5	6.01 17	0.90 17	av Eβ=2.81×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.016 4; εL=0.0020 5; εM+=0.00048 11
(7164 SY)	2700.67	4.3 3	0.081 18	5.34 15	4.4 3	av Eβ=2.83×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.016 4; εL=0.0020 5; εM+=0.00048 11
(7294 SY)	2570.78	13.9 7	0.24 5	4.87 14	14.1 7	av Eβ=2.89×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.015 4; εL=0.0019 4; εM+=0.00045 10
(7376 SY)	2488.06	1.88 22	0.032 8	5.77 15	1.91 22	av Eβ=2.93×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.014 3; εL=0.0018 4; εM+=0.00043 9
(7459 SY)	2405.94	1.26 16	0.021 5	5.97 15	1.28 16	av Eβ=2.97×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.014 3; εL=0.0017 4; εM+=0.00042 9
(7581 SY)	2283.50	3.8 4	0.059 13	5.52 14	3.9 4	av Eβ=3.03×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.013 3; εL=0.0016 4; εM+=0.00039 8

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

<sup>95</sup>Ag ε decay **2005Ha45** (continued)

ε,β<sup>+</sup> radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ <sup>+</sup> #	Iε <sup>†</sup> #	Log f <sub>i</sub> <sup>‡</sup>	I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )#	Comments
(7598 SY)	2266.80	1.30 17	0.020 5	6.00 15	1.32 17	av Eβ=3.04×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.013 3; εL=0.0016 4; εM+=0.00039 8
(7840 SY)	2024.93	6.0 7	0.083 19	5.41 14	6.1 7	av Eβ=3.16×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.0117 23; εL=0.0015 3; εM+=0.00035 7
(7891 SY)	1973.02	1.08 17	0.014 4	6.17 15	1.09 17	av Eβ=3.18×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.0115 22; εL=0.0014 3; εM+=0.00034 7
(8064 SY)	1800.78	4.8 4	0.060 12	5.57 13	4.9 4	av Eβ=3.27×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.0107 20; εL=0.00132 25; εM+=0.00032 6
(8179 SY)	1686.00	5.5 10	0.066 17	5.54 15	5.6 10	av Eβ=3.32×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.0102 19; εL=0.00126 24; εM+=0.00031 6
(8603 SY)	1261.80	12.2 12	0.122 24	5.32 13	12.3 12	av Eβ=3.53×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.0086 15; εL=0.00107 19; εM+=0.00026 5
(9865 SY)	0.0	≈25	≈0.16	≈5.3	≈25	av Eβ=4.15×10 <sup>3</sup> 20; εK=0.0055 8; εL=0.00068 10; εM+=0.000164 24

<sup>†</sup> From γ intensity balance, assuming I(ε+βp) to g.s.≈25; as a result, these values are all approximate.

<sup>‡</sup> Approximate values from Iε.

# Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(<sup>95</sup>Pd)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: From Σ(I<sub>γ</sub> to g.s.)=75, by assuming g.s. to g.s. ε+β<sup>+</sup> branch equal to 25 %.

E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup> #	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Comments
89.3 <sup>@</sup> 7	1.6 9	1351.12	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	1261.80	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub> , I <sub>γ</sub> : uncertain because of nonlinear energy response of the spectrum below 100 keV and a possible intensity contribution from neighboring 87.3 keV Pb x-ray.
<sup>x</sup> 488						
539.0 1	13.9 11	1800.78	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1261.80	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	
580.8 2	6.3 8	2266.80		1686.00	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
597.5 2	3.8 11	2283.50		1686.00	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
605.1 <sup>@</sup> 2	3.8 6	2405.94		1800.78	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
621.9 2	5.2 8	1973.02	15/2 <sup>+</sup>	1351.12	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	
675.7 2	12 1	2700.67		2024.93		
720.0 2	2.3 5	2405.94		1686.00	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
760.5 <sup>@</sup>		2733.5		1973.02	15/2 <sup>+</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub> : from figure 3 of <b>2005Ha45</b> ; not listed in authors' table I.
763.1 2	3.1 6	2024.93		1261.80	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	
802.1 2	5.7 8	2488.06		1686.00	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
1014.7 2	9.1 11	2700.67		1686.00	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
1021.7 2	14.9 13	2283.50		1261.80	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1219.6 2	58 3	2570.78	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1351.12	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1226.2 2	3.4 7	2488.06		1261.80	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1254.1 2	5.9 9	2940.18		1686.00	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
1261.8 1	100 5	1261.80	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1351.1 1	121 7	1351.12	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> : summed intensity for the doublet: one from <sup>95</sup> Ag decay to <sup>95</sup> Pd and the second from <sup>95</sup> Pd decay to <sup>95</sup> Rh.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{95}\text{Ag}$   $\varepsilon$  decay 2005Ha45 (continued) $\gamma(^{95}\text{Pd})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ †#	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
1384.0 3 <sup>x</sup> 1423	5.8 9	3408.9		2024.93	
1471.7 3	4.3 8	2733.5		1261.80	11/2 <sup>+</sup>
1686.0 1	60 4	1686.00	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>
1800.6 ‡ 2	13.4 12	1800.78	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>
2024.9 1	44 3	2024.93		0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>
2570.8 ‡ 2	9.3 11	2570.78	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>
2940.2 ‡ 2	10.2 12	2940.18		0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>

† Determined from  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  coin spectrum. Summing corrections not taken into account.

‡ Coin only with 511-keV annihilation radiation peak.

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.2096.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

<sup>95</sup>Ag ε decay 2005Ha45

Legend

- I<sub>γ</sub> < 2% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- I<sub>γ</sub> < 10% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- I<sub>γ</sub> > 10% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I<sub>γ</sub>

(9/2<sup>+</sup>) 0.0 1.74 s 13  
 Q<sub>ε</sub>=9865 SY  
<sup>95</sup>Ag<sub>48</sub>

