

^{95}Tc ε decay (61 d) 1977Me12,1974An05

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. K. Basu, G. Mukherjee, A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 111, 2555 (2010)	30-Jun-2009

Parent: ^{95}Tc : E=38.91 4; $J^\pi=1/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=61$ d 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=1691$ 5; % ε +% β^+ decay=96.12 32

^{95}Tc -% ε +% β^+ decay: See comment on $I\gamma$ normalization.

1970Bo28 measured γ 's and γ^\pm 's (Ge(Li)), $\gamma(\theta)$ (NaI,Ge(Li)), $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences (NaI,Ge(Li)), $\gamma\gamma(\theta,\text{H},\text{t})$ (NaI), and $\gamma\gamma(\text{t})$ (scin).

1973Be34 measured $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and linear polarization; Ge(Li).

1974An05 measured γ 's and $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences (Ge(Li)) and β^+ 's and ce's; β spectrometer.

1977Me12: see ^{95}Tc ε decay (20.0 h) for experimental details.

1978He21 reevaluated their earlier measurements (1971He20, Ge(Li)) to be consistent with an energy scale based on

$E\gamma(^{198}\text{Au})=411.80441$ 108 (1978Ke02) and the fundamental constants of 1973CoTA.

The level scheme is from 1977Me12. It is in good agreement with the results of 1974An05. See 1983Lu03 for other references.

α : Additional information 2.

Additional information 1.

$I\gamma$ normalization: Additional information 3.

$I\gamma$ normalization,Branching: Additional information 4.

 ^{95}Mo Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0 204.1177 18	$5/2^+$ $3/2^+$	stable 751 ps 9	$g=-0.26$ 2 (1970Bo28) $T_{1/2}$: weighted av of 742 ps 14 (1958Qu01, $\gamma\gamma(\text{t})$; NaI), 755 ps 15 (1965Me08, $\gamma\gamma(\text{t})$; scin) and 756 ps 14 (1970Bo28).
786.2017 25	$1/2^+$		g : Niobium-foil source; -0.30 4 for liquid source. J^π : $1/2^+$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and linear polarization (1973Be34) assuming $J(786)=1/2,3/2$, $J(821)=1/2,3/2,5/2$, and $J(1039)=1/2,3/2$.
820.627 5	$3/2^+$		J^π : $3/2^+$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and linear polarization (1973Be34) assuming $J(786)=1/2,3/2$, $J(821)=1/2,3/2,5/2$, and $J(1039)=1/2,3/2$.
1039.270 4	$1/2^+$		J^π : $1/2^+$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and linear polarization (1973Be34) assuming $J(786)=1/2,3/2$, $J(821)=1/2,3/2,5/2$, and $J(1039)=1/2,3/2$.
1056.753 14	$5/2^+$		
1302.31 7	$1/2^+$		
1369.76 15	(3/2)		
1426.13 3	(5/2) ⁺		
1620.25 3	$3/2^+$		
1660.29? 25	$(\leq 5/2)$		

[†] From the Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ &	$\text{Log } ft$	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ &	Comments
(70 ^a 5)	1660.29?	0.000005 4	11.6 [†] 4	5×10^{-6} 4	$\varepsilon K=0.788$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.170$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.042$ 3
(110 5)	1620.25	0.0511 25	8.09 6	0.0511 25	$\varepsilon K=0.826$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.140$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0336$ 6
(304 5)	1426.13	0.00837 22	9.36 ^{lu} 4	0.00837 22	$\varepsilon K=0.825$ 2; $\varepsilon L=0.141$ 1; $\varepsilon M+=0.0339$ 3
(360 5)	1369.76	0.00018 6	11.7 [†] 2	1.8×10^{-4} 6	$\varepsilon K=0.860$; $\varepsilon L=0.1135$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.02639$ 5
(428 ^a 5)	1302.31	0.0013 7	11.0 [†] 3	0.0013 7	$\varepsilon K=0.862$; $\varepsilon L=0.11193$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=0.02598$ 4
(673 5)	1056.753	0.0185 16	10.45 ^{lu} 5	0.0185 16	$\varepsilon K=0.856$; $\varepsilon L=0.1165$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.0272$
(691 5)	1039.270	30.1 5	7.04 2	30.1 5	$\varepsilon K=0.866$; $\varepsilon L=0.109$; $\varepsilon M+=0.0252$
(909 5)	820.627	5.95 8	7.99 [†] 2	5.95 8	$\varepsilon K=0.867$; $\varepsilon L=0.108$; $\varepsilon M+=0.0249$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{95}\text{Tc } \varepsilon$ decay (61 d) 1977Me12,1974An05 (continued)
 ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^+ &	I ε &	Log f_t	I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$) &	Comments
(944 5)	786.2017		38.0 5	7.22 2	38.0 5	$\varepsilon K=0.868; \varepsilon L=0.1076; \varepsilon M+=0.0248$
1528 [‡] 6	204.1177	0.201 [#] 21	7.48 [@] 10	8.35 [†] 2	7.68 10	av $E\beta=225.8$ 22; $\varepsilon K=0.8465$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.10353$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.02384$ 3
1732 [‡] 6	0.0	0.242 [#] 17	13.32 [@] 9	9.26 ^{lu} 2	13.56 9	av $E\beta=336.8$ 23; $\varepsilon K=0.851$; $\varepsilon L=0.106$; $\varepsilon M+=0.0246$ First-forbidden unique spectrum shape (1974An05).

[†] $\log f_t^{lu} t \geq 8.5$.

[‡] From $E\beta=710$ 6 and 506 6 (1974An05).

[#] From $I\beta(\text{to g.s.})/I\beta(\text{to 204})=1.2$ 2 and the adopted decay scheme; see the comment on $I\gamma$ normalization. Others for $I\beta(\text{to g.s.+204})$: 0.47% 6 (1970Bo28), 0.31% 4 (1974An05), and 0.24% 3 (1977Me12). From γ^\pm and decay scheme.

[@] From $I\beta$ and theoretical ε/β^+ ratios.

[&] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^a Existence of this branch is questionable.

⁹⁵Tc ε decay (61 d) 1977Me12,1974An05 (continued)

$\gamma(^{95}\text{Mo})$

I $_{\gamma}$ normalization: TVI $_{\beta}$ (to g.s), I $_{\beta}$ (to 204), %IT, % ε +% β^+ , and the I $_{\gamma}$ -normalization were derived by three TVs somewhat independent methods:

Coincidences shown on the drawing are from 1974An05.

$\alpha(K)\text{exp}$: from 1974An05, except as noted. Values were normalized by assuming $\alpha(K)(204\gamma)=0.046$.

$\alpha(L)\text{exp}, \alpha(M)\text{exp}, K/L, K:L:M$: from 1977Me12. See also 20-h ⁹⁵Tc ε decay.

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger a}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult.	δ^{\ddagger}	$\alpha^{\#}$	Comments
204.117 [@] 2	1000 2	204.1177	3/2 $^{+}$	0.0	5/2 $^{+}$	M1+E2	-0.62 [#] 7	0.052 3	$\alpha(K)=0.0449$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.0058$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00103$ 7; $\alpha(N)=0.000154$ 9; $\alpha(O)=7.5\times10^{-6}$ 4 $\alpha(N+..)=0.000161$ 10 Mult.: from L1/L23.
218.66 8	0.68 ^{&} 3	1039.270	1/2 $^{+}$	820.627	3/2 $^{+}$	M1+E2 [#]	0.73 5	0.0449 15	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.040$ I $\alpha(K)=0.0389$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.00499$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.00089$ 4; $\alpha(N)=0.000133$ 5; $\alpha(O)=6.47\times10^{-6}$ 19 $\alpha(N+..)=0.000140$ 5 δ : from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$.
245.83 9	0.028 7	1302.31	1/2 $^{+}$	1056.753	5/2 $^{+}$				$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0150$ 30
253.068 [@] 4	9.66 7	1039.270	1/2 $^{+}$	786.2017	1/2 $^{+}$	M1		0.0208	$\alpha(K)=0.0182$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00211$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000378$ 6; $\alpha(N)=5.74\times10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(O)=3.22\times10^{-6}$ 5 $\alpha(N+..)=6.06\times10^{-5}$ 9 δ : 29% E2 admixture (1977Me12) would require $\alpha(K)=0.023$ in disagreement with $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0120$ 4 (1977Me12). $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$: Unweighted av of 0.0179 10 (1974An05) and 0.0120 4 (1977Me12).
263 ^b x291.67 4	≤ 0.002 0.088 8	1302.31	1/2 $^{+}$	1039.270	1/2 $^{+}$				
318.27 ^b 10	0.016 6	1620.25	3/2 $^{+}$	1302.31	1/2 $^{+}$				
515.6 ^b 4	0.005 5	1302.31	1/2 $^{+}$	786.2017	1/2 $^{+}$				
563.48 6	0.15 2	1620.25	3/2 $^{+}$	1056.753	5/2 $^{+}$				
582.082 [@] 3	473.7 8	786.2017	1/2 $^{+}$	204.1177	3/2 $^{+}$	M1+E2 [‡]	+0.266 +52-40	0.00273 4	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00234$ 7; $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.00026$ I $\alpha(K)=0.00240$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000272$ 4; $\alpha(M)=4.85\times10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(N)=7.39\times10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=4.19\times10^{-7}$ 6 $\alpha(N+..)=7.81\times10^{-6}$ 12 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$: Unweighted av of 0.00241 10 (1974An05) and 0.00228 8 (1977Me12).
x589.29 25	0.016 4								
616.49 2	20.3 2	820.627	3/2 $^{+}$	204.1177	3/2 $^{+}$	M1+E2 [‡]	-2.00 22	0.00256 4	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00234$ 9; $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.00019$ I $\alpha(K)=0.00224$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000261$ 4; $\alpha(M)=4.67\times10^{-5}$

⁹⁵Tc ε decay (61 d) 1977Me12,1974An05 (continued)

$\gamma(^{95}\text{Mo})$ (continued)									
E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\dagger a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ^\ddagger	$a^\#$	Comments
^x 623.29 15	0.09 3								$7; \alpha(N)=7.06\times 10^{-6} \text{ } II; \alpha(O)=3.83\times 10^{-7} \text{ } 6$
786.198 [@] 4	136.9 7	786.2017	1/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺				$\alpha(N+..)=7.44\times 10^{-6} \text{ } II$
799.60 15	0.023 8	1620.25	3/2 ⁺	820.627	3/2 ⁺				$E_\gamma:$ other: 616.45 5 (1974An05).
820.624 [@] 5	74.5 1	820.627	3/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺				$\alpha(K)\text{exp: Weighted av of 0.00236 } II \text{ (1974An05) and 0.00231 } I2 \text{ (1977Me12).}$
835.149 [@] 5	421 3	1039.270	1/2 ⁺	204.1177	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2 [‡]	+0.038 19	0.001191 17	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00122 \text{ } 6; \alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.00014 \text{ } I;$ $\alpha(M)\text{exp}=0.007\times 10^{-3} \text{ } I$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp: Unweighted av of 0.00127 } 5 \text{ (1974An05) and 0.00116 } 4 \text{ (1977Me12).}$
844.1 7	0.18 5								
852.60 2	0.33 1	1056.753	5/2 ⁺	204.1177	3/2 ⁺				
1039.264 [@] 6	43.9 4	1039.270	1/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺				$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00063 \text{ } 3$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp: Other: 0.0006 } I \text{ (1977Me12).}$
1056.79 2	0.140 5	1056.753	5/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺				
1098 ^b	≤ 0.0003	1302.31	1/2 ⁺	204.1177	3/2 ⁺				
1165.5 ^b	≤ 0.0015	1369.76	(3/2)	204.1177	3/2 ⁺				
1222.00 3	0.132 3	1426.13	(5/2) ⁺	204.1177	3/2 ⁺				$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00050 \text{ } 25$
1302 ^b	≤ 0.0003	1302.31	1/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺				
1369.75 15	0.0021 5	1369.76	(3/2)	0.0	5/2 ⁺				
1416.09 8	0.029 ^{&} 1	1620.25	3/2 ⁺	204.1177	3/2 ⁺				
1426.11 15	0.0004 3	1426.13	(5/2) ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺				
1620.20 4	0.603 26	1620.25	3/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺				$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00038 \text{ } 8$ $I_\gamma:$ weighted av of 0.59 3 (1977Me12) and 0.64 5 (1974An05).
1660.27 ^b 25	0.00008 5	1660.29?	($\leq 5/2$)	0.0	5/2 ⁺				

[†] From 1977Me12, except as noted.

[‡] From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and linear polarization (1973Be34) assuming J(786)=1/2,3/2, J(821)=1/2,3/2,5/2, and J(1039)=1/2,3/2, except as noted.

⁹⁵Tc ε decay (61 d) [1977Me12](#),[1974An05](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{95}\text{Mo})$ (continued)

From the adopted gammas.

@ From [1978He21](#). Other relatively precise energies are 204.12 1 ([1977Me12](#)) and 204.12 2 ([1974An05](#)), 252.95 1 ([1977Me12](#)) and 253.00 3 ([1974An05](#)), 582.07 1 ([1977Me12](#)), 786.18 2 ([1977Me12](#)) and 786.18 5 ([1974An05](#)), 820.61 1 ([1977Me12](#)), 835.13 1 ([1977Me12](#)), and 1039.25 2 ([1977Me12](#)), respectively.

& I γ (219 γ)=1.00 15 and I γ (1416 γ)=0.07 2 ([1974An05](#)) are discrepant.

^a For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0632 8.

^b Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

