

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. Abriola(a), A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 107,2423 (2006)	1-Jan-2006

Q(β^-)=-901.7 22; S(n)=8219.5 19; S(p)=10332 11; Q(α)=-3747 3 [2012Wa38](#)

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record -902.3 228221.1 2010333 11-3750 3 [2003Au03](#).

Q($2\beta^-$)=1142.9 keV 19 ([2003Au03](#)).

⁹⁴Zr Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A	⁹⁴ Y β^- decay	G	⁹⁴ Zr(t,t')	M	⁹⁶ Zr(p,t)
B	⁹² Zr(t,p)	H	⁹⁴ Zr(³ He, ³ He')	N	⁹⁸ Mo(d, ⁶ Li)
C	⁹⁴ Zr(n,n' γ)	I	⁹⁴ Zr(α , α')	O	¹⁷³ Yb(²⁴ Mg,F γ)
D	⁹⁴ Zr(p,p')	J	Coulomb excitation	P	¹⁷⁶ Yb(²⁸ Si,F γ)
E	⁹⁴ Zr(p,p' γ)	K	⁹⁴ Mo(⁶ Li, ⁸ B)		
F	⁹⁴ Zr(d,d')	L	⁹⁴ Mo(¹⁴ C, ¹⁶ O)		

E(level) [†]	J π	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	stable	ABCDEFGHIJKLMNO	T _{1/2} : >1.9×10 ¹⁹ y for ⁹⁴ Zr(g.s.) to ⁹⁴ Mo(g.s.) 2 ν $2\beta^-$ decay, T _{1/2} > 0.23×10 ¹⁹ y for ⁹⁴ Zr(g.s.) to ⁹⁴ Mo(g.s.) neutrinoless $2\beta^-$ decay. Other: T _{1/2} >1.3×10 ¹⁹ y for ⁹⁴ Zr(g.s.) to ⁹⁴ Mo(2 ⁺) $2\beta^-$ decay (1987No03). $\Delta\langle r^2 \rangle$ (fm ²): (⁹² Zr, ⁹⁴ Zr)=0.176 20 (1987Bo56), (⁹⁴ Zr, ⁹⁶ Zr)=0.126 23 (1987Bo56), 0.117 14 (1988Ga26) all from measured isotopic shifts. $\langle r^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ =4.3312 fm 9 (2004An14). μ =-0.66 3 μ : From 1999Ja13 from γ -ray angular distribution of recoil ions slowing down in polarized Gadolinium following Coulomb excitation. Other: μ =-0.52 12 (1989Ra17) μ =-0.14 14 (1978Ge19). Both values are from γ -ray angular distribution of recoil ions slowing down in polarized iron following Coulomb excitation. J π : L(p,t)=2. T _{1/2} : from B(E2) in Coulomb Excitation. $\Delta\langle r^2 \rangle$ (⁹⁴ Zr, ⁹⁶ Zr) (fm ²)=0.117 14 (1988Ga26) optical isotopic shift.
918.75 5	2 ⁺	6.9 ps 15	ABCDEFGHIJKLMNO	J π : L(p,t)=0. T _{1/2} : from $\beta\gamma\gamma$ (t) (1990Ma40). Other: 0.28 ns 4 from (p,p' γ). J π : L(p,t)=4. T _{1/2} : from $\beta\gamma\gamma$ (t) (1990Ma40). J π : L(p,t)=2. J π : L(p,t)=3. J π : L(p,t)=2. J π : L(α , α')=4. J π : L(p,t)=2.
1300.19 12	0 ⁺	0.291 ns 11	ABCDEFGH KLMN	J π : L(p,t)=0.
1469.62 10	4 ⁺	0.500 ns 13	ABCD FG I MNOP	J π : L(p,t)=4. T _{1/2} : from $\beta\gamma\gamma$ (t) (1990Ma40). J π : L(p,t)=2.
1671.41 7	2 ⁺		ABCD FGHI KLMN	J π : L(p,t)=2.
2057.63 10	3 ⁻		ABCD FGHIJ MN	J π : L(p,t)=3.
2151.31 20	2 ⁺		A CD F M	J π : L(p,t)=2.
2329.9 4	4 ⁺		A CD F I OP	J π : L(α , α')=4.
2366.12 14	2 ⁺		ABCD FG I MN	J π : L(p,t)=2.
2401? 6			F	
2507.7 5	(3) ⁺		C F	J π : M1+E2 γ 's to 2 ⁺ , γ from 4 ⁺ , supported by Wolfenstein-Hauser-Feshbach calculations for (n,n' γ).
2605.0 5	5 ⁻		BCD FG I MNOP	J π : L(p,t)=5.
2698.5 10	(1,2,3)		C F	J π : from (n,n' γ):measured γ (θ) compared to Wolfenstein-Hauser-Feshbach calculations.
2719?			F	
2769?			F	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) ^{94}Zr Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J ^π	XREF	Comments
2826.0 6	(2,3)	C F	J ^π : from (n,n'γ):measured γ(θ) compared to to Wolfenstein-Hauser-Feshbach calculations.
2846.3 3	(1 ⁻)	A CD F	J ^π : L(d,d')=1,4; γ to 0 ⁺ .
2860.6 11	4 ⁺	C FG	J ^π : L(d,d')=4.
2888.2 17	4 ⁺	BCD F I	J ^π : L(α,α')=4.
2908.05? 20	(2 ⁺)	A F	J ^π : L(d,d')=2,3,4; γ to 0 ⁺ .
2925 5	(1 ⁻ ,3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺)	F	J ^π : L(d,d')=1,3,4.
2945.0 4	5 ⁻	ABCD I	J ^π : L(α,α')=5.
3014 [±] 8		B	
3030 6		F	E(level): probably a doublet.
3059.31 17	(1,2,3) ⁺	A C F I	J ^π : log ft=7.4 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ .
3142.4 4	(6 ⁺)		OP
3156.4 9	(4 ⁺)	BCD F I	J ^π : L(α,α')=4.
3219.42 13	(1,2,3)	ABCD FG I	J ^π : log ft=7.2 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ , possibly L(d,d')=3.
3281 6	(2 ⁺)	F	J ^π : L(d,d')=2.
3316 6		F	E(level): possible doublet.
3331 6	(5 ⁻)	D F I	J ^π : L(α,α')=5. Due to poor back angle statistics this assignment is not certain.
3361.16 18	(1,2,3)	ABCD FG I	J ^π : log ft=7.3 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ , possibly L(α,α')=3.
3407 6	(3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺)	F I	J ^π : L(d,d')=1,3,4; L(α,α')=(3,4).
3442.5 5	(7 ⁻)		OP
3482 [±] 8		B D F I	
3560 [±] 7	(4 ⁺)	B F I	J ^π : L(α,α')=4.
3594.8 6			OP
3598 7	(5 ⁻)	D F I	E(level): probable triplet in (d,d').
			J ^π : L(α,α')=5.
3631.6 4	(8 ⁺)		OP
3686 7		F I	E(level): probable doublet in (d,d').
3724.9 6	(2,3,4) ⁺	A F I	J ^π : log ft=7.8 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ , γ's to 2 ⁺ and 4 ⁺ , possibly L(α,α')=(4).
3776 7	(0 ⁺)	D F	J ^π : L(d,d')=0.
3840 7		B FG	
3884 7		F	E(level): probable doublet in (d,d').
3897 7	(4 ⁺)	B D F I	J ^π : L(α,α')=4.
3961.8? 3	(2 ⁺)	A	J ^π : log ft=6.8 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ , γ's to 0 ⁺ and 4 ⁺ .
4002.2 15	(1,2) ⁺	AB D F	J ^π : log ft=8.1 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ , γ to 0 ⁺ .
4052.4 15	(1,2) ⁺	A	J ^π : log ft=8.3 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ , γ to 0 ⁺ .
4081 8	(3 ⁻)	F I	J ^π : L(α,α')=(3).
			E(level): probable doublet in (α,α').
4098.5 15	(1,2) ⁺	A	J ^π : log ft=7.7 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ , γ to 0 ⁺ .
4149 8	(7 ⁻)	F I	J ^π : L(α,α')=7.
4198.8? 3	(1,2) ⁺	A D	J ^π : log ft=6.3 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ , γ to 0 ⁺ .
4224.2 7		D F	OP
4237.6? 4	(1,2,3) ⁺	A	J ^π : log ft=6.7 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ .
4340 8	(4 ⁺)	F I	J ^π : L(p,p')=4.
4369.8 8			OP
4479.3 5	(10 ⁺)		OP
4637.9? 8	(1,2,3) ⁺	A	J ^π : log ft=6.1 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ .
4669.8? 8	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻)	A	J ^π : log ft=5.8 in β ⁻ decay from 2 ⁻ .
4812.4 6	(12 ⁺)		OP
5490.9 6	(11 ⁺)		OP
5804.5 7	(12 ⁺)		OP
6006.8 7	(13 ⁺)		OP
6371.7 8	(14)		OP
7055.0 9	(15)		OP
7791.8 10	(16)		OP
8980.6 12			0

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

 ^{94}Zr Levels (continued)

[†] Deduced from the adopted γ 's if not indicated otherwise.

[‡] From (t,p).

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{94}\text{Zr})$										
$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	$\delta^\&$	α^a	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
918.75	2 ⁺	918.74 5	100	0.0	0 ⁺	E2 @		0.00083		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00072$ 2 B(E2)(W.u.)=4.9 3
1300.19	0 ⁺	381.57 19	100	918.75	2 ⁺	[E2]		0.0099		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0085$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00102$ 3 B(E2)(W.u.)=9.4 4
1469.62	4 ⁺	1300.18 # 18 550.88 10	100	0.0 0 ⁺ 918.75 2 ⁺	E0 @ [E2]			0.00319	0.40 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00276$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00032$ 1 B(E2)(W.u.)=0.879 23
1671.41	2 ⁺	752.60 10 1671.41 10	100 4 71 10	918.75 2 ⁺ 0.0 0 ⁺						
2057.63	3 ⁻	588.0 10 1138.88 10	2.8 9 100 7	1469.62 4 ⁺ 918.75 2 ⁺						
2151.31	2 ⁺	1232.55 19	100	918.75 2 ⁺		M1+E2	-1.7 +8-14	0.00038		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00038$
2329.9	4 ⁺	860 1411.4 6	<3.0 100 15	1469.62 4 ⁺ 918.75 2 ⁺						
2366.12	2 ⁺	308.22 28 694.66 29 1066.3 4 1447.41 19	18.3 18 100 3 12 3 64 4	2057.63 3 ⁻ 1671.41 2 ⁺ 1300.19 0 ⁺ 918.75 2 ⁺		E2(+M3) E1(+M2) M1(+E2) E2	-0.13 +13-9 +0.04 +22-27	0.00029 4 0.005 3 0.00160 8 0.00051		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00029$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0040$ 22; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0004$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00139$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00015$ 1 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00051$ 2
2507.7	(3) ⁺	836.0 7 1589.5 9	14.9 11 100.0 11	1671.41 2 ⁺ 918.75 2 ⁺		M1+E2 M1+E2	+0.64 +14-12 -0.84 4	0.00027 0.00102		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00027$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00089$; $\alpha(\text{L})=9.8 \times 10^{-5}$
2605.0	5 ⁻	1134.9 8	100	1469.62 4 ⁺						
2698.5	(1,2,3)	1779.7 10	100	918.75 2 ⁺						
2826.0	(2,3)	1154.6 6	100	1671.41 2 ⁺						
2846.3	(1 ⁻)	1927.5 6 2846.3 3	11 3 100 11	918.75 2 ⁺ 0.0 0 ⁺						
2860.6	4 ⁺	1391.0 11	100	1469.62 4 ⁺						
2888.2	4 ⁺	1969.4 17	100	918.75 2 ⁺						
2908.05?	(2 ⁺)	1236.60 ^b 20 1989.3 ^b 7 2908.4 ^b 8	1.0×10 ² 3 30 9 35 13	1671.41 2 ⁺ 918.75 2 ⁺ 0.0 0 ⁺						
2945.0	5 ⁻	887.4 4	100	2057.63 3 ⁻						
3059.31	(1,2,3) ⁺	1001.8 3 1384.9 ^b 10 2140.60 20	6.5 18 100 12	2057.63 3 ⁻ 1671.41 2 ⁺ 918.75 2 ⁺						
3142.4	(6 ⁺)	537.2 4 812.5 2 1672.9 7	17.9 100 3.8	2605.0 5 ⁻ 2329.9 4 ⁺ 1469.62 4 ⁺						
3156.4	(4 ⁺)	648.7 8 2237.3 25		2507.7 (3) ⁺ 918.75 2 ⁺						
3219.42	(1,2,3)	1161.79 10	100 12	2057.63 3 ⁻						

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{94}\text{Zr})$ (continued)						Comments
$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	E_f	J_f^π	
3219.42	(1,2,3)	1751.1 13		1469.62	4 ⁺	
		2300.5 3	26 4	918.75	2 ⁺	
3361.16	(1,2,3)	1303.8 6	12 3	2057.63	3 ⁻	
		1891.60 20	100 12	1469.62	4 ⁺	
		2442.1 3	36 7	918.75	2 ⁺	
3442.5	(7 ⁻)	837.4 2	100	2605.0	5 ⁻	
3594.8		152.3 4	100	3442.5	(7 ⁻)	
3631.6	(8 ⁺)	489.2 2	100	3142.4	(6 ⁺)	
3724.9	(2,3,4) ⁺	2255.3 ^b 7	1.0×10 ² 3	1469.62	4 ⁺	
		2805.9 ^b 10	1.0×10 ² 3	918.75	2 ⁺	
3961.8?	(2) ⁺	1904.6 ^b 8	16 5	2057.63	3 ⁻	
		2492.0 ^b 3	100 16	1469.62	4 ⁺	
		2662.4 ^b 10	13 5	1300.19	0 ⁺	
4002.2	(1,2) ⁺	4002.1 15	100	0.0	0 ⁺	
4052.4	(1,2) ⁺	4052.3 15	100	0.0	0 ⁺	
4098.5	(1,2) ⁺	4098.4 15	100	0.0	0 ⁺	
4198.8?	(1,2) ⁺	2527.3 ^b 4	100 17	1671.41	2 ⁺	
		2898.7 ^b 6	50 11	1300.19	0 ⁺	
4224.2		629.3 7		3594.8		
		782.0 7		3442.5	(7 ⁻)	
4237.6?	(1,2,3) ⁺	2566.2 ^b 5	1.0×10 ² 3	1671.41	2 ⁺	
		3318.7 ^b 7	8.×10 ¹ 3	918.75	2 ⁺	
4369.8		145.7 7	32 3	4224.2		
		927.2	100 8	3442.5	(7 ⁻)	
4479.3	(10 ⁺)	847.7 2	100	3631.6	(8 ⁺)	
4637.9?	(1,2,3) ⁺	2966.6 ^b 10	1.0×10 ² 5	1671.41	2 ⁺	
		3718.8 ^b 15	8.×10 ¹ 5	918.75	2 ⁺	
4669.8?	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻)	2998.4 ^b 10	1.0×10 ² 5	1671.41	2 ⁺	
		3750.9 ^b 15	7.×10 ¹ 3	918.75	2 ⁺	
4812.4	(12 ⁺)	333.1 4	100	4479.3	(10 ⁺)	
5490.9	(11 ⁺)	1011.6 4	100	4479.3	(10 ⁺)	
5804.5	(12 ⁺)	313.6 4	100	5490.9	(11 ⁺)	
6006.8	(13 ⁺)	202.3 4	100 24	5804.5	(12 ⁺)	
		1194.4 4	94 24	4812.4	(12 ⁺)	
6371.7	(14)	364.9 4	100	6006.8	(13 ⁺)	
7055.0	(15)	683.3 4	100	6371.7	(14)	
7791.8	(16)	736.8 4	100	7055.0	(15)	
8980.6		1188.8 ^b 7	100	7791.8	(16)	

E_γ : seen only in $^{176}\text{Yb}(^{28}\text{Si},\text{Fy})$.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{94}\text{Zr})$ (continued)

[†] Weighted averages from β^- decay and (n,n' γ).

[‡] Branching ratios from each level deduced from β^- decay and (n,n' γ).

Deduced from level energy difference.

@ From $^{94}\text{Zr}(\text{p},\text{p}'\gamma)$.

& From $^{94}\text{Zr}(\text{n},\text{n}'\gamma)$, unless noted otherwise.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^b Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.


Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----▶ γ Decay (Uncertain)



Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----► γ Decay (Uncertain)

