

$^{96}\text{Zr}(\mathbf{d},\alpha)$     1974Gi09,1974Su06

Type	Author	Citation	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. Abriola(a), A. A. Sonzogni	NDS 107, 2423 (2006)		1-Jan-2006

**1974Gi09:** E=28 MeV. Enriched target. Surface barrier Si detectors. FWHM=65 keV to 80 keV. Measured angular distributions at angles  $\theta=10^\circ$  to  $45^\circ$ .

**1974Su06:** E=11.5 MeV. Enriched target. Surface barrier detector. FWHM=130 keV to 150 keV. Measured angular distributions at angles  $\theta=21^\circ$  to  $101^\circ$ .

 $^{94}\text{Y}$  Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$L^\ddagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$L^\ddagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$
0.0	3	$1.82 \times 10^3$	1	$2.77 \times 10^3$	3
$4.4 \times 10^2$	$I$	$(2,3)^{\#}$	$1.90 \times 10^3$	2	$3.0 \times 10^3?$
$1.17 \times 10^3$	$I$	4	$2.17 \times 10^3$	$I$	$3.3 \times 10^3?$
$1.39 \times 10^3$	$I$	3	$2.33 \times 10^3$	2	$4.0 \times 10^3?$
$1.53 \times 10^3$	$I$	5	$2.46 \times 10^3$	2	$4.1 \times 10^3?$

<sup>†</sup> Levels up to 2.77 MeV are from 1974Gi09. Higher level energies are tentative values of 1974Su06.

<sup>‡</sup> From DWBA analysis of 1974Su06.

# 1974Gi09 conclude  $L=3$  from comparison with the angular distribution of the  $3^-$  level at 200 keV in  $^{90}\text{Y}$ . The evaluator feels from the figures of 1974Su06 that there is no clear distinction between  $L=2$  and  $L=3$ .