

^{94}Rh ε decay (25.8 s) 1980Ox01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. Abriola(a), A. A. Sonzogni	NDS 107, 2423 (2006)		1-Jan-2006

Parent: ^{94}Rh : E=x+0.0; $J^\pi=(8^+)$; $T_{1/2}=25.8$ s 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=9.6 \times 10^3$ 4; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0

1980Ox01: isotope produced by $^{96}\text{Ru}(p,3n)$ reaction. Enriched target. E=40 MeV. Ge(Li) detectors, FWHM=2.4 keV and 1.9 keV at 1.3 MeV. Hyperpure germanium x-ray spectrometer, FWHM=0.22 keV at 14 keV. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$.

1980No06: isotope produced by $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{40}\text{Ca},n3p)$. Enriched target. E=135 MeV and 160 MeV. Ge(Li) detectors, FWHM=2 keV to 3 keV at 1.33 MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$.

All quoted information is from 1980Ox01. The 1980No06 data, though less precise, agree with 1980Ox01 within the uncertainty limits.

 ^{94}Ru Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi \dagger$	$T_{1/2} \dagger$
0	0^+	51.8 min 6
1430.71 10	2^+	
2186.91 15	4^+	
2498.62 17	6^+	65 ns 2
2625.02 21	5^-	0.51 ns 5
2644.72 20	8^+	71 μ s 4
3658.4 4	(7^-)	

\dagger From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

$T_{1/2}$: Deduced from intensity balance. Approximate values due to incompleteness of decay scheme.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \#$	$I\varepsilon \#$	$\log ft \dagger$	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+) \#$	Comments
$(5.9 \times 10^3$ 4)	3658.4	1.69 19	0.048 14	6.50 18	1.74 19	av $E\beta=2.27 \times 10^3$; $\varepsilon K=0.024$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0029$ 8
$(7.0 \times 10^3$ 4)	2644.72	94 7	1.6 4	5.13 15	96 7	av $E\beta=2.76 \times 10^3$; $\varepsilon K=0.014$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0017$ 4
$(7.0 \times 10^3$ @ 4)	2625.02	1.8 4	0.029 9	6.86 17	1.8 \ddagger 4	av $E\beta=2.77 \times 10^3$; $\varepsilon K=0.014$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0017$ 4

\dagger Approximate values obtained assuming x=0.

\ddagger Feeding negligible since transition is third forbidden. Unplaced γ transitions account for missing intensity.

Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{94}\text{Ru})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: From $\sum I_g(\text{GS})=100$.

E_γ	$I_\gamma \dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. \dagger	$a^\#$	Comments
126.4 2	0.85 10	2625.02	5^-	2498.62	6^+	E1	0.0709	$\alpha=0.0709$; $\alpha(K)=0.0621$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.00724$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.00132$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00024$ 1
146.1 1	75 5	2644.72	8^+	2498.62	6^+	E2	0.335	$\alpha=0.335$; $\alpha(K)=0.278$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0471$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.0087$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00155$ 5

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

⁹⁴**Rh ε decay (25.8 s) 1980Ox01 (continued)** $\gamma(^{94}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

E _y	I _y [‡]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [†]	$\alpha^{\#}$	Comments
311.7 <i>I</i>	97.3 35	2498.62	6 ⁺	2186.91	4 ⁺	E2	0.0237	$\alpha=0.0237$; $\alpha(K)=0.0204$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00270$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.00050$ 2
438.1 2	2.75 30	2625.02	5 ⁻	2186.91	4 ⁺	E1	0.00231	$\alpha=0.00231$; $\alpha(K)=0.00203$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00023$ <i>I</i>
756.2 <i>I</i>	100 3	2186.91	4 ⁺	1430.71	2 ⁺	(E2)	0.00174	$\alpha=0.00174$; $\alpha(K)=0.00151$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00018$ <i>I</i>
1033.4 3	1.7 2	3658.4	(7 ⁻)	2625.02	5 ⁻	(E2)	0.00083	$\alpha=0.00083$; $\alpha(K)=0.00072$ 2
1430.7 <i>I</i>	100	1430.71	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	(E2)	0.00041	$\alpha=0.00041$; $\alpha(K)=0.00036$ <i>I</i>
^x 2099.5 <i>I</i> 0	1.9 2							
^x 2124.5 [@] <i>I</i> 0	1.1 2							
^x 2631.6 [@] <i>I</i> 0	1.0 2							
^x 2778.6 [@] <i>I</i> 0	0.8 2							
^x 2966.0 <i>I</i> 0	0.9 2							
^x 3007.7 [@] <i>I</i> 0	0.7 1							
^x 3210.3 [@] <i>I</i> 0	0.9 1							
^x 3256.0 [@] <i>I</i> 0	1.4 1							

[†] From adopted gammas.[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.# Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{94}\text{Rh} \epsilon$ decay (25.8 s) 1980Ox01