96 Ru(p,t) 1973Ba26

History									
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date						
Full Evaluation	D. Abriola(a), A. A. Sonzogni	NDS 107, 2423 (2006)	1-Jan-2006						

⁹⁴Ru Levels

E=31.1 MeV and 40.2 MeV. Enriched target. Magnetic spectrograph, FWHM=20 keV. θ =5° to 45°. The angular distribution of the g.s. is also reported by 1971Ba01.

E(level) [†]	L‡	$\varepsilon^{\#}$	E(level) [†]	L‡	ε [#]	E(level) [†]	L‡	$\varepsilon^{\#}$
0	0	1.3@	2620 5	(5)		3615 7	0	0.5 ^d
1428 <i>3</i>	2	0.4 [@]	2965 6	(3)		3770 ^b 8	00	3.0 ^{cd}
2183 4	(4)		2995 6	0	0.04,0.4 ^{<i>a</i>}	3820 8	(2,3)	
2510 5	(2,4)		3520 7	(4)		4000 8		

[†] Authors report ΔE to be 0.2%. Individual uncertainties assigned by the evaluator.

[‡] From DWBA analysis.

[#] Enhancement factor ε defined by $\sigma(\exp)=2\times22\varepsilon\sigma(DWBA)$. [@] For configuration= $(({}^{94}\text{Ru})_J,(\nu \ d_{5/2})_J^2)_0$ to $({}^{94}\text{Ru})_J$ transition, where $(\nu \ d_{5/2})_J^2$ represent the two neutrons transfered.

 $^{\&}\sigma(\theta)$ is rather structureless and does not fit the DWBA calculation very well. However, the angular distribution is similar to that observed for the 3^- level in 92 Mo.

^{*a*} The first value applies to configuration= $(({}^{94}\text{Ru})_J, (\nu d_{5/2})_I^2)_0$ to $({}^{94}\text{Ru})_J$, the second to configuration= $(\nu g_{9/2})_0^{10}$ to configuration= $(\nu g_{9/2})_{\rm J}^8$.

^b Peak is consistently 50% broader than typical single peaks. Possible doublet with states separated by ≈ 10 keV. ^c Possible doublet of two 0⁺ states. ^d For configuration=($\nu g_{9/2}$)₀¹⁰ to configuration=($\nu g_{9/2}$)_J⁸ transition.