

^{94}Tc ε decay (52.0 min) 1969Ba09, 1986AgZX

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. Abriola(a), A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 107, 2423 (2006)	1-Jan-2006

Parent: ^{94}Tc : E=76 3; $J^\pi=(2)^+$; $T_{1/2}=52.0$ min 10; $Q(\varepsilon)=4256$ 4; $\%_\varepsilon+\%_\beta^+$ decay=100.0

1969Ba09: Ge(Li), FWHM=3.1 keV and 2.4 keV at 1.33 MeV. NaI. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$.

1968Ar06: Ge(Li), NaI. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$.

1986AgZX: report 39 gammas based on their γ , $\gamma\gamma$ measurements. Their decay scheme is not available.

The level scheme is from 1969Ba09. γ 's seen by 1986AgZX but not placed in decay scheme by 1969Ba09 are reported as unplaced.

 ^{94}Mo Levels

E(level)	J^π	E(level)	J^π	E(level)	J^π	E(level)	J^π
0.0	0^+	2393.02 6	2^+	3128.66 7	1^+	3511.86 14	$1^{(+)}$
871.098 16	2^+	2739.91 7	1^+	3163.29 19	$(3)^+$	3792.87 15	2^+
1864.31 5	2^+	2869.90 8	2^+	3400.83 17		3892.16 7	$(1,2^+)$
2067.35 6	2^+	2965.41 6	3^+	3447.6 4			

\dagger From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon \dagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+) \dagger$	Comments
(440 5)	3892.16		0.09 6	5.9 3	0.09 6	$\varepsilon K=0.8624$ 1; $\varepsilon L=0.11172$ 9
(539 5)	3792.87		0.13 5	5.96 17	0.13 5	$\varepsilon K=0.8642$; $\varepsilon L=0.11028$ 6
(820 5)	3511.86		0.091 21	6.49 10	0.091 21	$\varepsilon K=0.8669$; $\varepsilon L=0.10815$ 3
(884 5)	3447.6		0.12 5	6.43 19	0.12 5	$\varepsilon K=0.8673$; $\varepsilon L=0.10786$ 2
(931 5)	3400.83		0.31 8	6.07 12	0.31 8	$\varepsilon K=0.8675$; $\varepsilon L=0.10767$ 2
(1169 5)	3163.29		0.050 17	7.06 15	0.050 17	$\varepsilon K=0.8682$; $\varepsilon L=0.10694$ 2
(1203 5)	3128.66		1.60 16	5.58 5	1.60 16	$\varepsilon K=0.8681$; $\varepsilon L=0.10683$ 2
(1367 5)	2965.41	0.00026 9	0.042 14	7.28 15	0.042 14	av $E\beta=157.5$; $\varepsilon K=0.8635$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.10590$ 5
(1462 5)	2869.90	0.0024 13	0.15 8	6.79 24	0.15 8	av $E\beta=198.2$; $\varepsilon K=0.8554$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.10473$ 8
(1592 5)	2739.91	0.38 2	8.9 4	5.083 21	9.3 4	av $E\beta=254.1$; $\varepsilon K=0.8340$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.10191$ 13
(1939 5)	2393.02	0.92 6	4.1 2	5.60 3	5.0 3	av $E\beta=404.5$; $\varepsilon K=0.7089$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.0863$ 3
(2265 5)	2067.35	0.32 4	0.52 6	6.63 6	0.84 10	av $E\beta=548.4$; $\varepsilon K=0.5349$ 24; $\varepsilon L=0.0649$ 3
(2468 5)	1864.31	0.93 9	0.91 8	6.46 5	1.84 17	av $E\beta=639.3$; $\varepsilon K=0.4299$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.0521$ 3
(3461 5)	871.098	67.6 4	12.8 1	5.605 10	80.4 4	av $E\beta=1094.2$; $\varepsilon K=0.1391$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.01680$ 8

\dagger Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{94}\text{Mo})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: From $\Sigma I\gamma$ to g.s. = 100.

$E\gamma \ddagger$	$I\gamma \ddagger &$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. \dagger	$\delta \dagger$	α^a	Comments
871.05 7	100	871.098	2^+	0.0	0^+	E2		0.00108	$\alpha=0.00108$; $\alpha(K)=0.00094$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00011$
^x 875.1 3	0.84 20								
993.19 9	2.35 3	1864.31	2^+	871.098	2^+	M1+E2	-2.0 3	0.00080	$\alpha=0.00080$; $\alpha(K)=0.00070$ $\delta: -2.00 +25-33$.
^x 998.2 3	0.23 2								

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

⁹⁴Tc ε decay (52.0 min) 1969Ba09,1986AgZX (continued) $\gamma(^{94}\text{Mo})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	δ^{\dagger}	a^a	Comments
1005.8 @ 3	0.16 8	2869.90	2 ⁺	1864.31	2 ⁺				
^x 1022.2 3	0.29 15								
^x 1037.2 3	0.047 15								
1101.3 3	0.045 15	2965.41	3 ⁺	1864.31	2 ⁺				
1196.4 3	0.80 10	2067.35	2 ⁺	871.098	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.15 4	0.00048	$\alpha=0.00048; \alpha(K)=0.00048$
1264.9 4	0.23 8	3128.66	1 ⁺	1864.31	2 ⁺				
^x 1357.4 15	0.20 8								
^x 1499.0 3	0.062 20								
1522.1 2	4.80 30	2393.02	2 ⁺	871.098	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.12 3		$\delta: -1.9 +4-6.$
^x 1670.1 3	0.037 12								
^x 1757.9 3	0.16 2								
^x 1769.9 3	0.020 8								
1864.0	≤ 0.25	1864.31	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2			
1868.68 8	6.10 30	2739.91	1 ⁺	871.098	2 ⁺				
1928.8 20	0.09 5	3792.87	2 ⁺	1864.31	2 ⁺				
^x 2027.5 3	0.025 6								
2067.4 5	0.09 3	2067.35	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2			
^x 2257.5 3	0.056 18								
2292.2 # 3	0.053 # 18	3163.29	(3) ⁺	871.098	2 ⁺				
2393.2 4	0.50 20	2393.02	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				
2529.8 3	0.33 8	3400.83		871.098	2 ⁺				
2577.2 @ 20	0.13 5	3447.6	(1,2 ⁺)	871.098	2 ⁺				
2641.6 15	0.037 9	3511.86	1 ⁽⁺⁾	871.098	2 ⁺				
^x 2664.1 20	0.07 6								
2740.1 3	3.74 35	2739.91	1 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				
^x 2869.9 3	0.022 7								
3021.6 10	0.08 6	3892.16	(1,2 ⁺)	871.098	2 ⁺				
^x 3065.6 3	0.012 4								
^x 3085.8 3	0.017 4								
3129.1 5	1.47 15	3128.66	1 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				
^x 3400.8 3	0.005 2								
^x 3447.0 3	0.005 2								
3512.5 15	0.06 2	3511.86	1 ⁽⁺⁾	0.0	0 ⁺				
^x 3640.6 3	0.007 2								
3793.1 15	0.05 2	3792.87	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				
3892.7 25	0.016 10	3892.16	(1,2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺				
^x 4136.2 3	0.007 1								

[†] From adopted gammas.[‡] From 1969Ba09. Unplaced γ 's are from 1986AgZX.[#] From 1986AgZX. Placement from (n,n'γ).

@ Not placed by 1969Ba09 but placement is known from (n,n'γ).

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.942 5.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{94}\text{Tc } \varepsilon$ decay (52.0 min) 1969Ba09,1986AgZXDecay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

