

$^{92}\text{Zr}(n,\gamma)$  E=thermal 2007ChZX,1977Ba33

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 112, 1163 (2011)	15-Dec-2010

$\sigma_n=0.268$  (2006MuZX). abundance( $^{92}\text{Zr}$ )=17.15% 8.

Other: 1972Gr23.

2007ChZX: includes measurements of  $E_\gamma$  and absolute elemental  $I_\gamma$  (designated As 'Budapest data' here) for 6 secondary and 2 primary transitions; natural Zr target.

1977Ba33: 88.69%  $^{92}\text{Zr}$  target, Ge(Li); measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ .

1972Gr23: 88.6%  $^{92}\text{Zr}$  isotopically-enriched target; measured  $E_\gamma$  ( $E_\gamma=50-1500$  and  $1400-8000$ ),  $I_\gamma$  per 100 N captures.

The level scheme proposed in 1977Ba33 poses several problems. Of the proposed levels, three have no observed deexcitation  $\gamma$  (947, 1422, 2456 levels), five have no primary  $\gamma$  feeding (1650, 1827, 1907, 2182, 2374 levels);  $E_\gamma$  for 3274 keV and 3458 keV cascade  $\gamma$  rays are  $2\sigma$  from least-squares adjusted value; several  $E_\gamma$  values ( $1427\gamma$ ,  $1650\gamma$ ,  $1827\gamma$ ) are close to those for  $\gamma$  rays known from  $\beta^-$  decay to deexcite different levels known in both  $\beta^-$  decay and  $(n,\gamma)$  E=res. Consequently, the evaluator proposes that the  $1427\gamma$ ,  $1650\gamma$ ,  $1827\gamma$  deexcite levels seen in  $(n,\gamma)$  E=res at 1425, 1918, 2097, respectively; this eliminates the 947, 1650, 1827, 2374 levels and introduces 1917 and 2094 levels (which, unfortunately, also have no known primary feeding), resulting in better consistency with  $\beta^-$  decay and  $(n,\gamma)$  E=res data.

 $^{93}\text{Zr}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$5/2^+$	$1.61 \times 10^6$ y 5	$T_{1/2}$ : from Adopted Levels.
266.79 7	$3/2^+$		
946.63 20	$1/2^+$		
1424.92 17	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$		
1908.3 @ 8	$1/2^+$		
1916.89 # @ 22	$(1/2, 3/2, 5/2^+)$		
2093.5 # @ 7	$1/2^+$		
2184.2 @ 4	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$		
2457.02 & 21	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$		
2473.6 7			
2531.0 4	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$		
3276.1 4	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$		
(6734.64 24)	$1/2^+$		

$J^\pi$ : s-wave neutron capture by  $0^+$  target.  
E(level): cf. S(n)=6734.5 4 (2003Au03), 6735.9 23 (1977Ba33), 6733.0 11 (1972Gr23).

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E_\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

# Proposed by evaluator to improve consistency with  $\beta^-$  decay and  $(n,\gamma)$  E=res data. Level fed by primary  $\gamma$  in  $(n,\gamma)$  E=res.

@ No primary  $\gamma$  feeding of this level is reported.

& Level known from  $\beta^-$  decay, but not reported in  $(n,\gamma)$  E=res.

 $\gamma(^{93}\text{Zr})$ 

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>†&amp;</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
266.78 7	20.4 11	266.79	$3/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	other $E_\gamma$ ( $I_\gamma$ ): 267.1 5 (0.81) (1972Gr23).
<sup>x</sup> 335.8 # @ 5	0.23 # @					
<sup>x</sup> 433.6 # @ 5	0.23 # @					
946.62 20	4.5 11	946.63	$1/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{92}\text{Zr}(n,\gamma)$  E=thermal **2007ChZX,1977Ba33** (continued)

$\gamma(^{93}\text{Zr})$ (continued)						
$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
1425.02 18	6.5 11	1424.92	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	other $E_\gamma$ ( $I_\gamma$ ): 1426.8 4 (10.3 5) (1977Ba33). $E_\gamma$ : placed by evaluator; $\gamma$ placed by 1977Ba33 from a 2372 level.
1641.5 $\ddagger$ 8	2.65 $\ddagger$ 22	1908.3	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	266.79	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	Placement consistent with $\beta^-$ decay data.
1650.09 20	6.5 16	1916.89	(1/2,3/2,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	266.79	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	other $E_\gamma$ ( $I_\gamma$ ): 1650 1 (2.7 5) (1977Ba33). $E_\gamma$ : placed by evaluator; 1977Ba33 placed $\gamma$ from an otherwise unknown 1650 level.
1826.7 $\ddagger$ 7	2.4 $\ddagger$ 5	2093.5	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	266.79	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : placed by evaluator; placed by 1977Ba33 from a 1827 level.
1917.4 4	3.8 11	2184.2	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2)	266.79	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	other $E_\gamma$ ( $I_\gamma$ ): 1916.2 6 (1.9 3) (1977Ba33). $E_\gamma$ misprinted as 1616.2 in table 2 of 1977Ba33. Placement consistent with $\beta^-$ decay data.
2190.15 22	9.9 11	2457.02	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2)	266.79	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : reported only In 2007ChZX; $\gamma$ must be present if 4278 $\gamma$ is indeed a primary G.
2474.4 $\ddagger$ 9	3.2 $\ddagger$ 5	2473.6		0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
2531.4 $\ddagger$ 5	3.1 $\ddagger$ 4	2531.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3274.4 $\ddagger$ 6	1.9 $\ddagger$ 3	3276.1	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3457.7 4	4.9 5	(6734.64)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	3276.1	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 3458.1 6 (1977Ba33) and 3457.4 5 (1972Gr23). $I_\gamma$ : from 1977Ba33. Other $I_\gamma$ : 2.5 (1972Gr23).
<sup>x</sup> 3551.6 $\#$ @ 11	1.17 $\#$ @					
<sup>x</sup> 3639.5 $\#$ @ 11	2.25 $\#$ @					
4204.0 5	1.67 22	(6734.64)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2531.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 4204.2 6 (1977Ba33) and 4203.5 11 (1972Gr23). $I_\gamma$ : from 1977Ba33. Other $I_\gamma$ : 1.13 (1972Gr23). $E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 4262.6 8 (1977Ba33) and 4260.5 11 (1972Gr23). $I_\gamma$ : from 1977Ba33. Other $I_\gamma$ : 1.6 (1972Gr23).
4261.9 10	1.13 16	(6734.64)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2473.6		other $E_\gamma$ ( $I_\gamma$ ): 4278.3 3 (5.2 3) (1977Ba33); 4276.8 11 (3.9) (1972Gr23).
4277.4 3	3.8 16	(6734.64)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2457.02	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2)	other $E_\gamma$ : 5312.2 5 (1977Ba33), 5309.8 11 (1972Gr23).
5310.1 4	5.4 9	(6734.64)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1424.92	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : unweighted average of 6470.0 8 (1977Ba33) and 6465.5 11 (1972Gr23). $I_\gamma$ : from 1977Ba33. Other $I_\gamma$ : 2.1 (1972Gr23).
6467.8 23	3.2 5	(6734.64)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	266.79	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : unweighted average of 6735.0 7 (1977Ba33) and 6732.7 11 (1972Gr23). $I_\gamma$ : from 1977Ba33. Other $I_\gamma$ : 2.1 (1972Gr23).
6733.9 12	3.2 5	(6734.64)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	

<sup>†</sup> From 'Budapest data' In 2007ChZX, except As noted.  $I_\gamma$  is photon intensity per 100 neutron captures calculated by the evaluator from absolute elemental cross sections In 2007ChZX assuming  $\sigma_n=0.26$  8 (2006MuZX) and abundance( $^{92}\text{Zr}$ )=17.15% 8; the uncertainty shown here does not include the 31% uncertainty In  $\sigma_n$ .  $I_\gamma$  data from 1972Gr23 and 1977Ba33, renormalized so  $I(5310\gamma)=5.4$  are given for comparison; their uncertainties do not include the 16% uncertainty In the 5310 $\gamma$  elemental cross section datum from 2007ChZX.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1977Ba33. relative  $I_\gamma$  renormalized so  $I(5310\gamma)=5.4$  as determined In 'Budapest data' from 2007ChZX.

<sup>#</sup> Reported by 1972Gr23 only.  $I_\gamma$  from 1972Gr23 renormalized so  $I(5310\gamma)=5.4$ .

@ Evaluator questions whether this is a  $^{93}\text{Zr}$  G.

& Intensity per 100 neutron captures.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

