## $^{92}$ **Zr**( $\alpha$ , <sup>3</sup>**He**) 1973Bi04

History										
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date							
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 112,1163 (2011)	15-Dec-2010							

E=65.7 MeV, FWHM $\approx$ 55 keV,  $\theta$ =15° and 20°, 95.7% <sup>92</sup>Zr target.

## <sup>93</sup>Zr Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	L <mark>&amp;</mark>	(2J+1)S <sup>@</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	L <mark>&amp;</mark>	(2J+1)S <sup>@</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	L&	(2J+1)S <sup>@</sup>
0.0	2	2.88	≈2490 <sup>‡</sup>	2	1.20 <sup>‡</sup>	3322 10	4	0.28
1419 <sup>#</sup> 5			2638 <sup>#</sup> 10			3870 10	5	0.50
1463 5	4	3.12	≈2690 <sup>‡</sup>	5	1.18 <sup>‡</sup>	4035 <sup>#</sup> 10		
1598 5	4	0.31	2770 10	2	1.28	4118 10	5	0.55
2025 10	5	2.64	2873 10	5	0.50			
2075 <sup>#</sup> 10			2991 10	4	1.92			

<sup>†</sup> From  ${}^{92}$ Zr(d,p) data of 1973Bi04. <sup>‡</sup> The 2464 and 2526 and 2662 and 2716 pairs of levels in (d,p) were not resolved in the ( $\alpha$ ,<sup>3</sup>He) spectrum. (2J<sub>f</sub>+1)S for the pair of levels is quoted here since L(d,p) is the same for each component of doublet.

<sup>#</sup> Not resolved from adjacent stronger levels.

<sup>@</sup> From comparison of 15° and 20° data with DWBA predictions, assuming L deduced by authors from their (d,p) data.

<sup>&</sup> L values assumed from authors'  ${}^{92}$ Zr(d,p) analysis in order to deduce S' for ( $\alpha$ , <sup>3</sup>He).