

$^{162}\text{Dy}(^{36}\text{S}, \text{F}\gamma)$ 1996Po06

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 112, 1163 (2011)	15-Dec-2010

$E(^{36}\text{S})=162$ MeV; Au-backed ^{162}Dy target; EUROGAM1 detector array (30 escape-suppressed, large-volume Ge detectors); measured $E\gamma$ ($E\gamma$ -100-2000 keV), $I\gamma$, prompt $\gamma\gamma$ coin between transitions in complementary fission fragments.

 ^{93}Zr Levels

The authors were unable to construct a level scheme. However, based on the conclusions from other subsequent studies, the evaluator has been able to place most of the transitions from 1996Po06, As shown here.

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$
0.0	2486.8 8	3331.9 12
950.3 5	2601.9 9	3657.9 11
2285.2 7	2990.4 8	4717.8 12
2375.5 7	3265.7 9	5480.2 13
		6648.3 14

† From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$, assigning $\Delta E=0.5$ keV to all $E\gamma$ data.

 $\gamma(^{93}\text{Zr})$

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	E_f	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	E_f	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	E_f
(66.2 [#])		3331.9	3265.7	392.2	21	3657.9	3265.7	1059.9	49	4717.8	3657.9
111.2	72	2486.8	2375.5	503.5	78	2990.4	2486.8	^x 1081.2	23		
115.1	19	2601.9	2486.8	705.2	43	2990.4	2285.2	1168.1	24	6648.3	5480.2
^x 180.6	27			^x 711.6	28			1335.0	52	2285.2	950.3
275.3	83	3265.7	2990.4	762.4	28	5480.2	4717.8	1425.1	100	2375.5	950.3
326.0	77	3657.9	3331.9	950.3	100	950.3	0.0				

† Uncertainties range from 0.2 to 0.5 keV, depending on $I\gamma$.

‡ Uncertainties are 10%–30%.

[#] Transition expected but not observed; $E\gamma$ from level energy difference.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{162}\text{Dy} (^{36}\text{S}, \text{F}\gamma)$ 1996Po06

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

