

⁹³Rh ε decay 2004De40

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 112, 1163 (2011)	15-Dec-2010

Parent: ⁹³Rh: E=0.0; J^π=(9/2⁺); T_{1/2}=11.9 s 7; Q(ε)=8203.0 6; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

⁹³Rh-Q(ε): From 2009AuZZ; Q=8090 410 from systematics (2003Au03).

⁹³Rh-T_{1/2}: Calculated from a fit to the sum of the individual time-to-digital spectra gated on each of the 7 transitions attributed to ⁹³Rh decay. Half-life was accurately measured using a macrocycle of a beam-on period followed by a beam-off period. The on/off times were altered to suit the expected T_{1/2} of the isotope of interest. The tdc was started at the beginning of each macrocycle, recording the time of each triggered event relative to the start.

2004De40: ⁹³Rh source from ⁵⁸Ni(⁴⁰Ar¹¹⁺,P4N), E=171 MeV At target face (after degradation of 250 MeV beam using Ta foils); 99.93% ⁵⁸Ni target; recoils were stopped and neutralized in 500 mbar of purified Ar gas before being ionized selectively (according to Z) using two dye lasers tuned to the resonant atomic transitions of Rh to enhance ionization, and thus extraction; laser-ionized nuclei guided towards the LISOL mass separator by a sextupole ion guide, then implanted onto movable tape; β-sensitive plastic ΔE detectors; 2 HPGe detectors; measured Eγ, Iγ, γγ coin, βγ coin, Iβ, isotope T_{1/2}.

No evidence (neither the IT nor any β-delayed γ events) was found by 2004De40 for the presence of the known (1976De37) T_{1/2}=10.8 s, 1/2⁻ isomer In ⁹³Ru.

⁹³Ru Levels

2004De40 conclude that many of the low-lying ⁹³Ru states populated in ⁹³Rh ε decay can be understood as belonging to π(p_{1/2},g_{9/2})⁻⁶ νg_{9/2}⁻¹ configurations.

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	Comments
0.0	(9/2) ⁺	
1359.42 10		Additional information 1.
1393.31 20	(13/2) ⁺	Additional information 2.
1629.92 10		Additional information 3.
1842.1 3		Additional information 4.
2273.53 14		Additional information 5.

J^π: 2004De40 conclude that this level is a likely candidate for the first excited 9/2⁺ state predicted at 2 MeV by shell-model calculations.

[†] From least-squares fit to Eγ.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ #	Iε [#]	Log ft [‡]	I(ε+β ⁺) ^{†#}	Comments
(5929.5 6)	2273.53	5.1 10	0.15 3	5.68 9	5.2 10	av Eβ=2266.43 30; εK=0.024271 9; εL=0.002962 1; εM+=0.0006961 3
(6360.9 7)	1842.1	2.9 9	0.066 20	6.09 14	3.0 9	av Eβ=2474.67 33; εK=0.019051 7; εL=0.0023241 9; εM+=0.0005461 2
(6573.1 6)	1629.92	3.2 8	0.065 16	6.12 11	3.3 8	av Eβ=2577.34 30; εK=0.017026 6; εL=0.0020767 7; εM+=0.0004880 2
(6809.7 6)	1393.31	4.1 8	0.073 14	6.10 9	4.2 8	av Eβ=2692.02 31; εK=0.015093 5; εL=0.0018406 6; εM+=0.0004325 2
(6843.6 6)	1359.42	4.5 9	0.079 15	6.07 9	4.6 9	Log ft: value is unrealistically low compared to that expected for a ΔJ=2, Δπ=No ε transition. av Eβ=2708.46 30; εK=0.014841 5; εL=0.0018097 6; εM+=0.0004252 2
(8203.0 6)	0.0	79 16	0.74 15	5.26 9	80 16	av Eβ=3370.72; εK=0.008075 2; εL=0.0009838 3; εM+=0.0002311

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{93}Rh ε decay **2004De40** (continued) ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

† Absolute intensity determined by **2004De40** from $I(\gamma^\pm)$ after correction for contribution from decay of the ^{93}Ru isobar. All $I(\gamma^\pm)$ not associated with γ events visible in the γ -ray spectra were attributed to the g.s. branch. Consequently, this branch may be overestimated because it will incorporate branching to states whose deexciting γ -rays are too weak or too energetic (>4 MeV) to have been detected in this experiment. Also, the existence of such transitions may result in an under-estimation of branching to some excited states.

‡ Values should probably be regarded as lower limits because the large Q value suggests the possibility of significant unobserved feeding to highly excited states which subsequently decay to low-lying levels. Weakly populated states and branching to states producing γ -rays outside the 4 MeV γ -energy range may be wrongly attributed to ground-state decay. A further consequence may be that apparently-forbidden β decays may result from γ transitions from higher-lying levels fed by allowed ε transitions.

Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{93}\text{Ru})$

I_γ normalization: from comparison of $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce)$ to g.s.) with authors' summed $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ to excited states (=20.3 20).

E_γ	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.†	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
482.6 3	20 7	1842.1		1359.42				
643.6 1	42 8	2273.53		1629.92				
1359.4 1	100	1359.42		0.0	(9/2) ⁺			
1393.3 2	72 14	1393.31	(13/2) ⁺	0.0	(9/2) ⁺	E2	0.000483 7	$\alpha=0.000483$ 7; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000382$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=4.33 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=7.93 \times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=4.98 \times 10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.283 \times 10^{-6}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.81 \times 10^{-8}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=4.84 \times 10^{-5}$ 7
1629.9 1	98 19	1629.92		0.0	(9/2) ⁺			
1842.4 6	31 13	1842.1		0.0	(9/2) ⁺			
2273.8 9	48 13	2273.53		0.0	(9/2) ⁺			

† From Adopted Gammas.

‡ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.058.

Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (**2008Ki07**) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{93}Rh ϵ decay 2004De40

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$

