

**<sup>93</sup>Tc ε decay (43.5 min) 1977Po13**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 112, 1163 (2011)	15-Dec-2010

Parent: <sup>93</sup>Tc: E=391.84 8; J<sup>π</sup>=1/2<sup>-</sup>; T<sub>1/2</sub>=43.5 min 10; Q(ε)=3201.0 10; %ε+%β<sup>+</sup> decay=22.6 6

<sup>93</sup>Tc-%ε+%β<sup>+</sup> decay: [Σ(I(γ+ce) to <sup>93</sup>Mo g.s.) + Ti(<sup>93</sup>Tc IT)]=98.8% 12, based on expected I(ε+β<sup>+</sup>)<2.3% to <sup>93</sup>Mo g.s. (from log f<sup>Au</sup>>8.5); assuming adopted I(2645γ, <sup>93</sup>Mo)/I(392γ, <sup>93</sup>Tc)=0.246 9, (I<sub>γ</sub> normalization x Branching)=0.583 9 and %IT=77.4 6, leaving %ε+β<sup>+</sup>=22.6 6.

Others: 1988BeYT, 1974An24, 1974Ch12, 1968Ka25, 1966Al17.

<sup>93</sup>Mo Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>
0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	2182.0 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	2698.3 8	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	3220.4 6	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>
943.7 5	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2539.0 12	(3/2)	2861.5 10	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	3298.2 6	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>
1492.2 5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	2644.6 3	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	2955.6 12	1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2 <sup>-</sup>		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to E<sub>γ</sub>.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

ε,β<sup>+</sup> radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ <sup>+</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	Iε <sup>‡</sup>	Log ft	I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>†‡</sup>	Comments
(294.6 12)	3298.2		0.45 4	4.79 4	0.45 4	εK=0.8573; εL=0.11570 5; εM+=0.02699 2
(372.4 12)	3220.4		1.05 9	4.64 4	1.05 9	εK=0.8605; εL=0.11315 3; εM+=0.026306 8
(637.2 16)	2955.6		0.93 12	5.18 6	0.93 12	εK=0.8654; εL=0.10931 2; εM+=0.025278 4
(731.3 14)	2861.5		0.35 6	5.72 8	0.35 6	εK=0.8663; εL=0.1086; εM+=0.02510
(894.5 13)	2698.3		0.74 10	5.58 6	0.74 10	εK=0.8673; εL=0.1078; εM+=0.02488
(948.2 11)	2644.6		14.3 6	4.344 21	14.3 6	εK=0.8676; εL=0.1076; εM+=0.02482
(1053.8 16)	2539.0		1.22 18	5.51 7	1.22 18	εK=0.8680; εL=0.1073; εM+=0.02473
(1410.8 14)	2182.0	0.0058 18	0.57 18	6.09 14	0.58 18	av Eβ=176.44 61; εK=0.8604 2; εL=0.10543 2; εM+=0.024282 4
(2100.6 11)	1492.2	0.20 6	0.50 17	6.50 15	0.70 23	av Eβ=475.92 50; εK=0.6243 6; εL=0.07588 8; εM+=0.01746 2
(2649.1 11)	943.7	1.2 2	0.80 12	6.50 7	2.0 3	av Eβ=721.44 51; εK=0.3487 5; εL=0.04224 6; εM+=0.009713 13
(3592.8 <sup>#</sup> 10)	0.0	≤1.7	≤0.73	≥8.5 <sup>1u</sup>	≤2.4	av Eβ=1172.34 46; εK=0.2633 3; εL=0.03212 3; εM+=0.007393 7

<sup>†</sup> From I(γ+ce) imbalance At level.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>#</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>93</sup>Tc ε decay (43.5 min) 1977Po13 (continued)

γ(<sup>93</sup>Mo)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: [Σ(I(γ+ce) to <sup>93</sup>Mo g.s.) + Ti(<sup>93</sup>Tc IT)]=98.8% 12, based on expected I(ε+β<sup>+</sup>)<2.3% to <sup>93</sup>Mo g.s. (from log *f*<sup>4u</sup>*t*>8.5); assuming adopted I(2645γ, <sup>93</sup>Mo)/I(392γ, <sup>93</sup>Tc)=0.246 9, (I<sub>γ</sub> normalization x Branching)=0.583 9 and %IT=77.4 6, leaving %(ε+β<sup>+</sup>)=22.6 6.

Several lines are reported for the first time by 1988BeYT. However, E<sub>γ</sub> and I<sub>γ</sub> of established lines are not in very good agreement with data from earlier studies.

E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†&amp;</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult.	δ	α <sup>a</sup>	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 288.3@	0.24@ 10								E <sub>γ</sub> : a similar E <sub>γ</sub> is associated with 2450 and 2535 levels.
<sup>x</sup> 309.2@	0.57@ 10								
943.7 5	5.0# 4	943.7	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]		0.000883 13	α=0.000883 13; α(K)=0.000777 11; α(L)=8.83×10 <sup>-5</sup> 13; α(M)=1.577×10 <sup>-5</sup> 23; α(N+..)=2.53×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4; α(N)=2.39×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4; α(O)=1.331×10 <sup>-7</sup> 19 %I <sub>γ</sub> =2.91 23 assuming recommended decay scheme normalization.
1046.8 10	2.1 3	2539.0	(3/2)	1492.2	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	-1.28 +14-15	0.000708 11	α=0.000708 11; α(K)=0.000624 9; α(L)=7.01×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10; α(M)=1.251×10 <sup>-5</sup> 18; α(N+..)=2.01×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3 α(N)=1.90×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3; α(O)=1.077×10 <sup>-7</sup> 16 Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
<sup>x</sup> 1343.8@	0.97@ 17								E <sub>γ</sub> : a similar E <sub>γ</sub> is associated with a 2821 level, but a 1458γ of similar strength that should accompany the 1344γ is absent here.
1492.2 5	3.30# 24	1492.2	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1)		0.000415 6	α=0.000415 6; α(K)=0.000307 5; α(L)=3.39×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; α(M)=6.05×10 <sup>-6</sup> 9; α(N+..)=6.88×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10 α(N)=9.23×10 <sup>-7</sup> 13; α(O)=5.33×10 <sup>-8</sup> 8; α(IPF)=6.78×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10 Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
<sup>x</sup> 1694.0 10	1.1 3								E <sub>γ</sub> : a similar E <sub>γ</sub> is associated with 1695 level. γ May not originate solely from <sup>93</sup> Tc (43.5 min) because I <sub>γ</sub> depends on duration of target irradiation (1977Po13). E <sub>γ</sub> =1695.2, I <sub>γ</sub> =0.47 10 reported by 1988BeYT.
2011.9 10	1.6 2	2955.6	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	943.7	1/2 <sup>+</sup>				
<sup>x</sup> 2029.4@	1.14@ 17								
2182.0 10	1.0 3	2182.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1)		0.000524 8	α=0.000524 8; α(K)=0.0001459 21; α(L)=1.604×10 <sup>-5</sup> 23; α(M)=2.86×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4; α(N+..)=0.000359 α(N)=4.37×10 <sup>-7</sup> 7; α(O)=2.53×10 <sup>-8</sup> 4; α(IPF)=0.000359 5 Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
2644.58 26	24.6 9	2644.6	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>				%I <sub>γ</sub> =14.3 5 assuming recommended decay scheme normalization. E <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 2644.5 3 from 1974Ch12 and

<sup>93</sup>Tc ε decay (43.5 min) 1977Po13 (continued)

γ(<sup>93</sup>Mo) (continued)

<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>†</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>†&amp;</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
						2644.8 5 from 1977Po13.
						I <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 25 3 (1977Po13), 23.5 13 (1974An24), and 26.0 15 (1974Ch12).
2698.3 8	1.27 <sup>#</sup> 17	2698.3	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
<sup>x</sup> 2739.5 <sup>@</sup>	1.59 <sup>@</sup> 17					E <sub>γ</sub> : a weak γ with this E <sub>γ</sub> is reported in <sup>93</sup> Tc ε decay (2.75 h) also,
2861.5 10	0.6 1	2861.5	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
<sup>x</sup> 3129.0 5	3.7 2					From 1974Ch12. Attributed by 1977Po13 to <sup>94m</sup> Tc decay. Also present in 1988BeYT (I <sub>γ</sub> =2.10 17).
3220.3 <sup>‡</sup> 6	1.80 <sup>‡</sup> 14	3220.4	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3298.1 <sup>‡</sup> 6	0.77 <sup>‡</sup> 6	3298.2	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	

<sup>†</sup> From 1977Po13, if not indicated otherwise. I<sub>γ</sub> is relative to I(392γ, <sup>93</sup>Tc IT)=100.

<sup>‡</sup> Weighted average from 1977Po13 and 1974Ch12.

<sup>#</sup> Weighted average from 1977Po13 and 1974An24.

<sup>@</sup> From 1988BeYT; not reported in other <sup>93</sup>Tc ε decay (43.5 min) studies. Evaluator has renormalized I<sub>γ</sub> so I(2645γ)=24.6 (cf. authors' value of 26 1); note, however, that I(392γ, <sup>93</sup>Tc IT)≈60 rather than 100. Evaluator considers that assignment of this γ to this decay has yet to be established.

<sup>&</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.583 9.

<sup>a</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>x</sup> γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{93}\text{Tc}$   $\epsilon$  decay (43.5 min) 1977Po13

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

$^{93}_{43}\text{Tc}_{50}$   $1/2^-$  391.84 43.5 min 10  
 $Q_\epsilon = 3201.0$  10  
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 22.6$

