82 Se(16 O,5n γ) 2005Fu01

History									
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date						
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 112, 1163 (2011)	15-Dec-2010						

E=100 MeV; pulsed beam (<2 ns pulse width, 83 ns repetition rate); 90% isotopically-enriched ⁸²Se target; two BGO Compton-suppressed and one unsuppressed clover-type Ge detectors, two co-axial Ge and one LEPS Ge detectors; two clover-type Ge detectors at 90° with respect to beam axis served as a polarimeter for linear polarization measurement; measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ coin (200 ns prompt gate width), γ (t), $\gamma\gamma$ (t), $\gamma(\theta)$, linear polarization, delayed $\gamma\gamma$ coin to search for relatively long-lived isomers, lifetimes from centroid shift.

⁹³Mo Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2}	Comments		
0.0	5/2+				
1363.1 8					
1477.1 3	9/2+				
2161.6.5	$13/2^+$	6051 7			
2424.6 0	21/21	6.85 n /	%11=99.88 I		
			Configuration: (ν (d _{5/2}) \otimes (π (g ² _{9/2})). Analogous to 21/2 ⁺ isomers In N=51 isotones ⁹¹ Zr and ⁹⁵ Ru.		
4159.0 6	$23/2^{-}$				
4437.4 7 27/2- (0.8 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$: from centroid-shift method (2005Fu01), based on 278 γ and 1735 γ time distribution spectra.		
			Possible configuration= $(\nu d_{5/2}) \otimes (11^{-1} \text{ isomer in } {}^{92}\text{Mo})$ (weak coupling), but additional configurations are also possible as suggested by transition rates (2005Fu01).		
4898.8 <i>6</i>	$25/2^+$				
5584.7 7	29/2+		E(level): intensity imbalance suggests the existence of additional weak, high-energy γ -rays (2005Fu01).		
6836.8 7	29/2				
7026.2 7	33/2-				
7097.0 8					
7267.9 8	35/2				
8334.5 8	(35/2,37/2)				
8353.1 8	(31/2, 33/2)		Possible γ to 29/2 ⁺ , 5585 level.		
8390.9 8	(37/2)				
0020.3 0 0000 7 8	(37/2) (33/2)35/2)		Possible α to $33/2^-$ 7026 level		
9170 3 8	(39/2)		1 055101C ¥ 10 55/2 , 7020 1CVCI.		
9646.3.9	(41/2)				
9669.3 9	(35/2.37/2)				
9669.3+x	$(39/2^{-})$	1.1 μ s +15-4	E(level): x is expected to be small. The existence of this isomer was deduced by		
			2005Fu01 from the observation of many delayed gamma rays belonging to 93 Mo. The location of the isomer in the level scheme was deduced from intensities of each cascade in the nuclide. Percent population=1.8 +10-15 (2005Fu01); probably not an yrast state.		
			possible 5-quasiparticle configuration: $(\nu (d_{5/2}g_{7/2}h_{11/2})\otimes(\pi (g_{9/2}^2))^{39/2^{-1}})$.		

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$, assigning $\Delta E=0.3$ keV to $E\gamma$ given to the nearest tenth of a keV and 1 keV to $E\gamma$ quoted to the nearest keV.

[‡] Authors' values, based on $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma\gamma(\ln \text{ pol})$ measurements as well as γ -ray cascade crossover transitions.

⁸²Se(¹⁶O,5nγ) 2005Fu01 (continued)

$\gamma(^{93}\text{Mo})$

 $POL=(1/Q)[(W_{perpendicular}-W_{parallel})/(W_{perpendicular}+W_{parallel})]$, where $W_{perpendicular}$ and $W_{parallel}$ are the perpendicular and parallel Compton scattering amplitude, respectively, and Q is the polarization sensitivity of the polarimeter.

Eγ	I_{γ}^{\dagger}	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [‡]	α^{a}	Comments
Х		9669.3+x	$(39/2^{-})$	9669.3	(35/2,37/2)			
114 [@] 1		1477.1	9/2+	1363.1				
241.6	43 7	7267.9	35/2	7026.2	33/2-	D		A ₂ =-0.19 4
260.2 <mark>&</mark>		7097.0		6836.8	29/2			
262.4 <mark>&</mark>		8596.9	(37/2)	8334.5	(35/2,37/2)			
263.0		2424.6	$21/2^{+}$	2161.6	13/2+			
278.4	60 5	4437.4	27/2-	4159.0	23/2-	(E2)	0.0312	$A_2 = +0.10 5$ POL=+0.15 4. I γ (delayed)=0.48 3.
476.0	9 [#] 3	9646.3	(41/2)	9170.3	(39/2)			
573.4	14 [#] 5	9170.3	(39/2)	8596.9	(37/2)			
647.6	2 [#] 1	9000.7	(33/2,35/2)	8353.1	(31/2,33/2)			
668.6	2 [#] 1	9669.3	(35/2,37/2)	9000.7	(33/2,35/2)			
684.5		2161.6	$13/2^{+}$	1477.1	9/2+			
685.9	58 [#] 4	5584.7	29/2+	4898.8	25/2+	(E2)		$A_2 = +0.072 \ 6$ POL=+0.06 3. I_{γ} (delayed)=0.46 13.
1066.6	10 [#] 3	8334.5	(35/2, 37/2)	7267.9	35/2			
1147.4	18 2	5584.7	29/2+	4437.4	27/2-	(E1)		$A_2 = -0.37 \ 13$ POL=+0.11 6. I γ (delayed)=0.28 4.
1363 [@] 1		1363.1		0.0	$5/2^{+}$			
1441.5	29 2	7026.2	33/2-	5584.7	29/2+	M2		A ₂ =+0.12 9 POL=-0.44 14.
1477.1		1477.1	9/2+	0.0	5/2+			
1516.3	2 [#] 1	8353.1	(31/2,33/2)	6836.8	29/2			
1552.4	6 [#] 2	8820.3	(37/2)	7267.9	35/2			
1570.7	51	8596.9	(37/2)	7026.2	33/2-			
1734.4	100 6	4159.0	23/2-	2424.6	21/2*	(E1)		$A_2 = -0.39$ 7 POL=+0.023 6. I_{γ} (delayed)=0.60 8.
2399.4	8 1	6836.8	29/2	4437.4	$27/2^{-}$			
2474.1	57 3	4898.8	25/2+	2424.6	21/2+	(E2)		$A_2 = +0.17 \ 8$ POL=+0.019 9. I γ (delayed)=0.22 4.

[†] Delayed gamma-ray intensities are given under comments. These are deduced from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ spectrum and normalized to the relative intensities of the prompt spectrum.

[‡] Based on $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and linear polarization.

[#] Estimated from $\gamma\gamma$ coin data.

[@] From figure 3 of 2005Fu01; not listed in authors' table 1.

[&] The 260.2 γ and 262.4 γ form an unresolved doublet.

^{*a*} Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.



3