

^{92}Nb ε decay (10.15 d) 1985He18,1962Bu16,1959We30

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012

Parent: ^{92}Nb : E=135.5 4; $J^\pi=(2)^+$; $T_{1/2}=10.15$ d 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=2005.9$ 18; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0
 Others: 1993Be08, 1983He12, 1978Co01, 1972Ei01, 1969Be07, 1967BI03, 1953St42.

 ^{92}Zr Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]
0.0	0 ⁺
934.48 10	2 ⁺
1383?	0 ⁺
1495?	4 ⁺
1847.22 18	2 ⁺
2066.66 17	2 ⁺

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ .

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ @	$I\varepsilon$ @	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ @	Comments
(74.7 19)	2066.66		5.2×10^{-3}	7.8	5.2×10^{-3}	$\varepsilon K=0.8089$ 23; $\varepsilon L=0.1544$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.0366$ 5
(294.2 19)	1847.22		2.64 11	6.475 19	2.64 11	$\varepsilon K=0.86107$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.11320$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.02573$ 2 1993Be08 measured K-capture probability =0.856 24.
(646.4 & 19)	1495?		$<4.5 \times 10^{-3}$	>10.0	$<4.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$\varepsilon K=0.8683$; $\varepsilon L=0.10746$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.024232$ 4
(758.4 & 19)	1383?		$<3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	>10.2	$<3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$\varepsilon K=0.8692$; $\varepsilon L=0.1068$; $\varepsilon M+=0.024056$ 3
(1206.9 19)	934.48	0.059 [†] 3	97.30 11	6.1710 17	97.36 11	av $E\beta=87.53$ 81; $\varepsilon K=0.8704$; $\varepsilon L=0.1053$; $\varepsilon M+=0.02367$ 1993Be08 measured K-capture probability =0.88 3.
(2141.4 & 19)	0.0	≤ 0.012 [‡]	≤ 0.022 [#]	≥ 10.3	≤ 0.034	av $E\beta=492.24$ 82; $\varepsilon K=0.5625$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.06729$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.01510$ 3

[†] Weighted average of 0.056% 6 (1959We30) and 0.060% 6 (1962Bu16) gives 0.058% 4 (from $I(\gamma^\pm)$ and $I(\gamma^\pm)-I(934\gamma)$ coin).

[‡] From comparison of G^\pm and $(G^\pm)(934\gamma)$ coin data, 1959We30 deduce that <20% of positrons feed g.s.

[#] From $I\beta$ and theoretical ε/β^+ . Other: 1959We30 estimate $I\varepsilon(g.s.)=0\%$ 5 from (K x ray)-934 γ coin (assuming $\omega K=0.70$ and $\varepsilon L1/\varepsilon K=0.11$).

@ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

& Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{92}\text{Zr})$

I_γ normalization: from decay scheme, if $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce)$ to g.s.)=100%.

1962Bu16 searched for, but failed to find, a 352 γ deexciting the 1848 level; they report $I(352\gamma)/I(934\gamma)<0.004$.

^{92}Nb ε decay (10.15 d) [1985He18,1962Bu16,1959We30](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{92}\text{Zr})$ (continued)

E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{#f}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [@]	δ [@]	α [†]	Comments
(449)	<0.0033	1383?	0 ⁺	934.48	2 ⁺	E2		0.00581 9	$\alpha=0.00581$ 9; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00509$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000600$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001042$ 15; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=1.554\times 10^{-5}$ 22 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.459\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{O})=9.48\times 10^{-7}$ 14
(561)	<0.0045	1495?	4 ⁺	934.48	2 ⁺	E2		0.00299 5	$\alpha=0.00299$ 5; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00262$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000303$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.26\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=7.89\times 10^{-6}$ 11 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.39\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.93\times 10^{-7}$ 7
912.6 2	1.8 ^b 1	1847.22	2 ⁺	934.48	2 ⁺	(M1(+E2))	-0.002 ^a 25	0.000819 12	$\alpha=0.000819$ 12; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000724$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=7.94\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.377\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=2.10\times 10^{-6}$ $\alpha(\text{N})=1.96\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.400\times 10^{-7}$ 20
934.44 ^{&} 10	100	934.48	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.000786 11	$\alpha=0.000786$ 11; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000693$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=7.73\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.341\times 10^{-5}$ 19; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=2.03\times 10^{-6}$ $\alpha(\text{N})=1.90\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.320\times 10^{-7}$ 19 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{exp})=0.00075$ 25 (1953St42). % $I_\gamma=99.15$ 4 based on adopted I_γ normalization.
1132.17 ^d 14	0.0052 ^e	2066.66	2 ⁺	934.48	2 ⁺	(M1+E2)	-3.2 +5-4	0.000510 8	$\alpha=0.000510$ 8; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000449$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=4.96\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=8.59\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=2.98\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.219\times 10^{-6}$ 17; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.57\times 10^{-8}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=1.67\times 10^{-6}$ 3
1847.5 3	0.86 ^c 4	1847.22	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.000422 6	$\alpha=0.000422$ 6; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0001665$ 24; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.81\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.13\times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000234$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.46\times 10^{-7}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.18\times 10^{-8}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.000234$ 4

† Additional information 1.

‡ From [1969Be07](#), unless noted otherwise.# From [1983He12](#), except as noted.

@ From Adopted Gammas.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{92}Nb ε decay (10.15 d) [1985He18](#), [1962Bu16](#), [1959We30](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{92}\text{Zr})$ (continued)

[&] Weighted average of 934.51 7 ([1967BI03](#)) and 934.3 1 ([1969Be07](#)).

^a From Adopted Gammas. 913γ - $934\gamma(\theta)$ in ε decay implies $\delta=+0.044$ 17 from $A_2=+0.217$ 13, $A_4=-0.001$ 19 ([1962Bu16](#)) and $\delta=-0.01$ 3 from $A_2=+0.255$ 24, $A_4=-0.032$ 38 ([1978Co01](#)), assuming $J(1847 \text{ level})=2$ (from Adopted Levels). Data allow $J=2,3,4$ but not 0 or 1; $\text{mult}(913\gamma)=\text{D}+\text{Q}$. Other: [1959We30](#).

^b Weighted average of 1.92 10 ([1962Bu16](#)) and 1.69 9 ([1972Ei01](#)). Other: 1.8 ([1959We30](#)).

^c Weighted average of 0.84 10 ([1959We30](#)), 0.90 5 ([1962Bu16](#)) and 0.79 8 ([1972Ei01](#)).

^d From [1985He18](#).

^e From $I(1132\gamma)/I(934\gamma)$ and $I(1132\gamma)/I(912\gamma)$, after correction for self absorption and internal conversion ([1985He18](#)).
Uncertainty not stated.

^f For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.9915 4.

${}^{92}\text{Nb}$ ϵ decay (10.15 d) 1985He18,1962Bu16,1959We30