

^{92}Y β^- decay 1970Ta05

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012

Parent: ^{92}Y : E=0.0; $J^\pi=2^-$; $T_{1/2}=3.54$ h *I*; $Q(\beta^-)=3643$ 9; % β^- decay=100.0

Others: 1990Ma40, 1983Ia02, 1973PaYG, 1962Bu16.

 ^{92}Zr Levels

The decay scheme is essentially that proposed by 1970Ta05. It is based on coincidence results and energy sum relations. The levels at 3040 and 3264 keV, based on only one γ transition, are supported by (n, γ) and other reaction studies.

E(level) [†]	J^π [#]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	E(level) [†]	J^π [#]	E(level) [†]	J^π [#]
0.0	0 ⁺	stable	1847.32 8	2 ⁺	2819.68 16	2 ⁺
934.49 6	2 ⁺		2066.90 12	2 ⁺	3040.1 3	3
1382.99 12	0 ⁺	88 ps 3	2339.92 7	3 ⁻	3264.0 9	2 ⁺
1495.60 9	4 ⁺	102 ps 3	2473.4? 5	(≤ 2)	3371.4 5	1 ⁽⁻⁾

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ .

[‡] From $\beta\gamma\gamma$ fast-coin timing (1990Ma40).

[#] From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [‡]	Log <i>ft</i>	Comments
(272 9)	3371.4	0.012 3	7.33 12	av $E\beta=78.0$ 30
(379 9)	3264.0	0.0011 5	8.85 20	av $E\beta=113.8$ 32
(603 9)	3040.1	0.019 4	8.30 10	av $E\beta=195.0$ 35
(823 9)	2819.68	0.100 13	8.06 6	av $E\beta=281.5$ 37
(1170 [#] 9)	2473.4?	≤ 0.006	≥ 9.9	av $E\beta=426.1$ 39
(1303 9)	2339.92	6.5 7	7.00 5	av $E\beta=483.9$ 40
(1576 9)	2066.90	0.24 3	8.76 6	av $E\beta=604.7$ 41
(1796 9)	1847.32	0.43 8	8.73 9	av $E\beta=703.9$ 41
(2147 9)	1495.60	1.15 20	9.75 ^{1u} 8	av $E\beta=872.8$ 41
(2260 9)	1382.99	2.3 3	9.58 ^{1u} 6	av $E\beta=923.9$ 41
(2709 9)	934.49	3.5 10	8.56 13	av $E\beta=1127.3$ 43
3639 [†] 15	0.0	85.7 16	9.271 ^{1u} 11	av $E\beta=1567.3$ 43 $\Delta J=2$ -yes shape (1962Bu16).

[†] From 1983Ia02. Others: 3600 30 (1957Ga59), 3640 20 (1962Bu16).

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

⁹²Y β⁻ decay **1970Ta05** (continued)

γ(⁹²Zr)

I_γ normalization: from measured I(913γ+934γ)/Iβ=0.145 10 (1962Bu16).

E _γ [‡]	I _γ ^{‡f}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.#	δ [#]	α [†]	I _(γ+ce) ^f	Comments
448.5 1	16.8 10	1382.99	0 ⁺	934.49	2 ⁺	E2 ^c		0.00583 9		α=0.00583 9; α(K)=0.00511 8; α(L)=0.000602 9; α(M)=0.0001046 15; α(N+..)=1.559×10 ⁻⁵ 22
492.6 1	3.49 21	2339.92	3 ⁻	1847.32	2 ⁺	(E1(+M2))	≤0.009	0.001344 19		α(N)=1.464×10 ⁻⁵ 21; α(O)=9.51×10 ⁻⁷ 14 α=0.001344 19; α(K)=0.001188 17; α(L)=0.0001305 19; α(M)=2.26×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(N+..)=3.42×10 ⁻⁶
561.1 1	17.3 10	1495.60	4 ⁺	934.49	2 ⁺	E2 ^d		0.00299 5		α(N)=3.20×10 ⁻⁶ 5; α(O)=2.23×10 ⁻⁷ 4 α=0.00299 5; α(K)=0.00262 4; α(L)=0.000303 5; α(M)=5.26×10 ⁻⁵ 8; α(N+..)=7.88×10 ⁻⁶ 11
844.3 1	9.0 6	2339.92	3 ⁻	1495.60	4 ⁺	(E1(+M2))	≤0.02	0.000403 6		α(N)=7.39×10 ⁻⁶ 11; α(O)=4.93×10 ⁻⁷ 7 α=0.000403 6; α(K)=0.000356 5; α(L)=3.88×10 ⁻⁵ 6; α(M)=6.72×10 ⁻⁶ 10; α(N+..)=1.022×10 ⁻⁶ 15
912.8 3	4.5 4	1847.32	2 ⁺	934.49	2 ⁺	(M1(+E2))	-0.002 25	0.000819 12		α(N)=9.54×10 ⁻⁷ 14; α(O)=6.75×10 ⁻⁸ 10 α=0.000819 12; α(K)=0.000723 11; α(L)=7.94×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α(M)=1.377×10 ⁻⁵ 20; α(N+..)=2.10×10 ⁻⁶
934.47 ^a 7	100 6	934.49	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.000786 11		α(N)=1.96×10 ⁻⁶ 3; α(O)=1.400×10 ⁻⁷ 20 α=0.000786 11; α(K)=0.000693 10; α(L)=7.73×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(M)=1.341×10 ⁻⁵ 19; α(N+..)=2.03×10 ⁻⁶
972.3 2	0.49 5	2819.68	2 ⁺	1847.32	2 ⁺	(M1(+E2))	+0.01 2	0.000715 10		α(N)=1.90×10 ⁻⁶ 3; α(O)=1.320×10 ⁻⁷ 19 %I _γ =13.9 10 assuming adopted I _γ normalization. α=0.000715 10; α(K)=0.000631 9; α(L)=6.92×10 ⁻⁵ 10; α(M)=1.200×10 ⁻⁵ 17; α(N+..)=1.83×10 ⁻⁶ 3
1132.4 1	1.75 11	2066.90	2 ⁺	934.49	2 ⁺	(M1+E2)	-3.2 +5-4	0.000510 8		α(N)=1.708×10 ⁻⁶ 24; α(O)=1.221×10 ⁻⁷ 18 α=0.000510 8; α(K)=0.000449 7; α(L)=4.95×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(M)=8.59×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(N+..)=2.99×10 ⁻⁶ 5 α(N)=1.218×10 ⁻⁶ 17; α(O)=8.57×10 ⁻⁸ 12; α(IPF)=1.68×10 ⁻⁶ 3
(1383.0 ^b) 1405.4 1	^b 34.4 21	1382.99 2339.92	0 ⁺ 3 ⁻	0.0 934.49	0 ⁺ 2 ⁺	E0 (E1) ^e		0.000330 5	≤0.17	α=0.000330 5; α(K)=0.0001380 20; α(L)=1.491×10 ⁻⁵ 21; α(M)=2.58×10 ⁻⁶ 4; α(N+..)=0.0001750 α(N)=3.67×10 ⁻⁷ 6; α(O)=2.62×10 ⁻⁸ 4; α(IPF)=0.0001746 25
1847.3 1	2.59 16	1847.32	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.000422 6		α=0.000422 6; α(K)=0.0001665 24;

92Y β⁻ decay 1970Ta05 (continued)γ(92Zr) (continued)

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡f}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ[#]</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
									α(L)=1.81×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(M)=3.14×10 ⁻⁶ 5; α(N+..)=0.000234 4
1885.1 3	0.20 3	2819.68	2 ⁺	934.49	2 ⁺	(E2+M1)		0.000419 15	α(N)=4.46×10 ⁻⁷ 7; α(O)=3.18×10 ⁻⁸ 5; α(IPF)=0.000234 4 α=0.000419 15; α(K)=0.000162 4; α(L)=1.76×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(M)=3.05×10 ⁻⁶ 6; α(N+..)=0.000236 17
1988.6 12	0.044 15	3371.4	1 ⁽⁻⁾	1382.99	0 ⁺	(E1)		0.000702 10	α(N)=4.35×10 ⁻⁷ 9; α(O)=3.12×10 ⁻⁸ 7; α(IPF)=0.000236 17 α=0.000702 10; α(K)=7.90×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(L)=8.50×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(M)=1.470×10 ⁻⁶ 21; α(N+..)=0.000613
2067 ^g	<0.01 ^{&}	2066.90	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				α(N)=2.09×10 ⁻⁷ 3; α(O)=1.500×10 ⁻⁸ 21; α(IPF)=0.000613 9
2105.6 3	0.137 19	3040.1	3	934.49	2 ⁺	D(+Q)	-0.04 +4-9		
2339.9 1	0.103 25	2339.92	3 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺				
2437.0 8	0.022 10	3371.4	1 ⁽⁻⁾	934.49	2 ⁺	(E1(+M2))		0.00073 25	α=0.00073 25; α(K)=0.00012 7; α(L)=1.3×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(M)=2.3×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(N+..)=0.0006 4 α(N)=3.2×10 ⁻⁷ 17; α(O)=2.3×10 ⁻⁸ 12; α(IPF)=0.0006 4
2473.4 [@] 5	0.019 18	2473.4?	(≤2)	0.0	0 ⁺				
2819.8 3	0.030 9	2819.68	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.000785 11	α=0.000785 11; α(K)=7.87×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(L)=8.48×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(M)=1.468×10 ⁻⁶ 21; α(N+..)=0.000696 α(N)=2.09×10 ⁻⁷ 3; α(O)=1.502×10 ⁻⁸ 21; α(IPF)=0.000696 10
3263.9 9	0.008 3	3264.0	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.000956 14	α=0.000956 14; α(K)=6.17×10 ⁻⁵ 9; α(L)=6.64×10 ⁻⁶ 10; α(M)=1.150×10 ⁻⁶ 17; α(N+..)=0.000886 1 α(N)=1.639×10 ⁻⁷ 23; α(O)=1.179×10 ⁻⁸ 17; α(IPF)=0.000886 13
3371.2 6	0.022 3	3371.4	1 ⁽⁻⁾	0.0	0 ⁺	(E1)		0.001448 21	α=0.001448 21; α(K)=3.73×10 ⁻⁵ 6; α(L)=3.99×10 ⁻⁶ 6; α(M)=6.90×10 ⁻⁷ 10; α(N+..)=0.001406 20 α(N)=9.83×10 ⁻⁸ 14; α(O)=7.07×10 ⁻⁹ 10; α(IPF)=0.001406 20

[†] Additional information 1.

[‡] From 1970Ta05. Energies above 2100 keV were reported by 1970Ta05 only. Below 2 MeV, energies and intensities from 1962Bu16 and 1970Ta05 are in good agreement.

[#] From Adopted Gammas, except as noted.

[@] 1978G104 observe a 2475γ in (n,n'γ) also; however, its threshold clearly indicates that decay is from E(level)>2473, and 1978G104 assign it to a level which also deexcites via a 1068γ (absent in 92Y β⁻ decay). That γ presumably differs from the 2473γ reported here.

[&] From 1970Ta05, who observe only a sum peak at this energy. 1962Bu16 report I(2067γ)=0.3 I. This γ ray is absent in (n,γ), which does reveal weak 571γ and 219γ (≈0.7% branches) deexciting this level in that reaction, yet absent in 92Y β⁻ decay. Evaluator does not adopt this γ ray.

$\gamma(^{92}\text{Zr})$ (continued)

- ^a Weighted average of 934.44 9 (1979Bo26) and 934.5 1 (1970Ta05).
- ^b Transition not observed in $^{92}\text{Y} \beta^-$ decay. 1962Bu16 report $I(1383 \text{ ce(K)})/I(448\gamma) \leq 0.01$.
- ^c $A_2 = +0.376$, $A_4 = +1.158$ from 449γ - $934\gamma(\theta)$ (1962Bu16); hence this is a $0-2-0^+$ cascade and $\text{mult}(449\gamma) = \text{Q}$ (1962Bu16).
- ^d $A_2 = +0.116$, $A_4 = -0.028$ for 561γ - $934\gamma(\theta)$ (1962Bu16). This allows $J=1$ to 4 for 1496 level; if $J=4$, $\delta(561\gamma) = +0.01 + 11-9$ or $+1.6 + 4-3$. Evaluator adopts the former δ and assigns $\text{mult}(561\gamma) = \text{E2}$ for this 4^+ to 2^+ transition (see Adopted Levels).
- ^e $A_2 = -0.08616$, $A_4 = -0.00526$ for 1405γ - $934\gamma(\theta)$ (1962Bu16). This allows $J=1,3,4$, not 2 for 2340 level. If $J=3$, $\delta(1405\gamma) = -0.019 + 21-20$ and $\text{mult}(1405\gamma) = \text{E1}(+M2)$, assuming adopted J^π . See comment on $\delta(1405\gamma)$ in (n,γ) source dataset.
- ^f For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.139 13.
- ^g Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{92}\text{Y} \beta^-$ decay 1970Ta05

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

