

$^{92}\text{Zr}(\text{pol } \gamma, \gamma'), (\gamma, \gamma')$  [2004Fr30,2002We15](#)

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	Citation
		NDS 113, 2187 (2012)

**2004Fr30:** E=3.47, 3.64 MeV nearly monoenergetic, 100% linearly polarized beam from high-intensity  $\gamma$  source At Duke free electron LASER laboratory; 95.16% isotopically-enriched  $^{92}\text{Zr}$  target; 4 HPGe detectors; measured  $E\gamma$ , relative nuclear resonance fluorescence intensities,  $\gamma$  asymmetry ratios.

**2002We15:** 91.4% enriched  $^{92}\text{Zr}$  target;  $^{27}\text{Al}$  added to target to enable direct measurement of integrated cross section; 3 HPGe detectors; measured (but did not report) integrated cross sections ( $I_s$ ) for 9 excited states; deduced reduced transition probabilities; shell-model calculations.

 $^{92}\text{Zr}$  Levels

E(Z),J(Z)  $J^\pi$  and rounded energy taken from Adopted Levels.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
934	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1383	0 <sup>+</sup>	
1847	2 <sup>+</sup>	this state exhibits isovector character ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ).
3263 <i>I</i>	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3370 <i>I</i>	1 <sup>(-)</sup>	
3471.9	1 <sup>+</sup>	$J^\pi$ : M1 $3472\gamma$ to 0 <sup>+</sup> g.s. ( <a href="#">2004Fr30</a> ).
3500 <i>I</i>	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3638.1	1 <sup>-</sup>	$J^\pi$ : E1 $3638\gamma$ to 0 <sup>+</sup> g.s. ( <a href="#">2004Fr30</a> ).
3667 <i>I</i>	1	
3697 <i>I</i>	1	
3915 <i>I</i>	1	

<sup>†</sup> From [2002We15](#), except As noted.

 $\gamma(^{92}\text{Zr})$ 

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
913	1847	2 <sup>+</sup>	934	2 <sup>+</sup>		$B(M1)(W.u.)=0.46$ <i>I</i> 5 if pure M1, $B(E2)(W.u.)=0.3$ <i>I</i> if pure E2 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ).
1847	1847	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		if E2, $B(E2)(W.u.)=3.7$ 8 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ); consistent with adopted value.
2089	3471.9	1 <sup>+</sup>	1383	0 <sup>+</sup>		if M1, $B(M1)(W.u.)=0.08$ <i>I</i> ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ) cf. adopted value of 0.052 8.
2255	3638.1	1 <sup>-</sup>	1383	0 <sup>+</sup>		
2329	3263	2 <sup>+</sup>	934	2 <sup>+</sup>		if M1, $B(M1)(W.u.)=0.16$ 2 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ) cf. adopted value of 0.108 <i>I</i> 3.
2538	3471.9	1 <sup>+</sup>	934	2 <sup>+</sup>		$B(M1)(W.u.)<0.089$ 6 if pure M1, $B(E2)<8.0$ 6 if pure E2 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ); adopted $B(E2)(W.u.)=0.063$ 9.
2763	3697	1	934	2 <sup>+</sup>		$B(M1)(W.u.)<0.036$ 7 if pure M1, $B(E2)<2.8$ 5 if pure E2 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ). ADOPTED $B(M1)(W.u.)=0.011 +3I-1I$ .
3263	3263	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	Q	if E2, $B(E2)(W.u.)=1.0$ <i>I</i> ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ); consistent with adopted value.
3370	3370	1 <sup>(-)</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	D	if E1, $B(E1)(W.u.)=0.000037$ 4 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ) cf. adopted value of 0.000082 <i>I</i> 4.
3471.9 <sup>@</sup>	3471.9	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	M1 <sup>#</sup>	$B(M1)(W.u.)=0.094$ 4 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ) cf. adopted value of 0.063 9. Mult.: R(asymmetry)=+0.94 3 ( <a href="#">2004Fr30</a> ).
3500	3500	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	Q	if E2, $B(E2)(W.u.)=0.17$ <i>I</i> ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ) cf. adopted value of 0.35 4. Additional gammas are known to deexcite this level.
3638.1 <sup>@</sup>	3638.1	1 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E1 <sup>#</sup>	Mult.: R(asymmetry)=-0.87 3 ( <a href="#">2004Fr30</a> ). if M1, $B(M1)(W.u.)=0.093$ 4 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ); however, $\gamma$ is now known to be E1.
3667	3667	1	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	D	if pure M1, $B(M1)(W.u.)=0.0037$ 6 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{92}\text{Zr}(\text{pol } \gamma, \gamma'), (\gamma, \gamma')$     **2004Fr30, 2002We15 (continued)**
 $\gamma(^{92}\text{Zr})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
3697	3697	1	0.0	$0^+$	D	if M1, $B(M1)(\text{W.u.})=0.016$ 2 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ); consistent with adopted value of 0.0127 24.
3915	3915	1	0.0	$0^+$	D	if M1, $B(M1)(\text{W.u.})=0.022$ 3 ( <a href="#">2002We15</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> From level energy difference, except As noted. presumably observed by [2002We15](#), but  $E\gamma$  not reported.

<sup>‡</sup> Implied by level spin assignment from [2002We15](#); details of measurement not stated.

<sup>#</sup> From  $R(\text{asymmetry})=(I_{\text{parallel}} - I_{\text{perpendicular}}) / (I_{\text{parallel}} + I_{\text{perpendicular}})$ , except as noted;  $I_{\text{parallel}} = I(<\phi>=0^\circ) + I(<\phi>=180^\circ)$  and  $I_{\text{perpendicular}} = I(<\phi>=90^\circ) + I(<\phi>=270^\circ)$ , where  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle between the polarization plane of the beam and direction of the scattered  $\gamma$  ray ([2004Fr30](#)).

<sup>@</sup> From [2004Fr30](#); uncertainty unstated by authors.

$^{92}\text{Zr}(\text{pol } \gamma, \gamma'), (\gamma, \gamma')$  2004Fr30, 2002We15Level Scheme