

$^{90}\text{Zr}(\text{t},\text{p})$ **1975Ip01,1974Fl02**

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	Citation
		NDS 113, 2187 (2012)
		15-Sep-2012

1974Fl02: E(t)=20 MeV; enriched metallic foil target; measured $\sigma(\theta)$ At $\theta(\text{lab})=12^\circ-72^\circ$ (3° steps) using Si ΔE and Li-drifted Si E detectors (FWHM≈40 keV; particle identification); magnetic spectrograph used At several angles (FWHM=18 keV) to check for doublets; $\Delta E=8$ keV.

1975Ip01: E(t)=11.85 MeV; 97.8% enriched ^{90}Zr oxide target; multichannel magnetic spectrograph (FWHM=16 keV), $\theta(\text{lab})=5^\circ-87.5^\circ$, $\Delta E=10$ keV). DWBA analysis of $\sigma(\theta)$ to determine L.

 ^{92}Zr Levels

E(level) [†]	L	E(level) [†]	L	E(level) [†]	L	E(level) [†]	L
0.0	0	2479 10	5	3304 10	6	3786 [‡] 10	(4)&
936 10	2	2812 [‡] 10	2	3360 10	(1)	3898? [@] 10	
1382 10	0	2857 10	4	3451 10	(4)&	3992 [#] 10	0+(2)
1497 10	4	2900 [‡] 10	0	3492 [‡] 10	2	4031 10	4
1847 10	2	2954 10	6	3589 [#] 10	0+(5)	4071 10	4
2065 10	2	3034 [‡] 10		3623 [#] 10	2+(4)	4161 [‡] 10	4
2333 10	3	3049 10	2	3703 10	(4)&	4283 [‡] 10	0
2395 [‡] 10	4	3228 10	3,4	3760 10	2	4332 [‡] 10	2

[†] From 1975Ip01. These energies are typically 5-10 keV lower than adopted values, whereas those from 1974Fl02 are systematically higher than adopted values by an amount which increases with increasing excitation energy (\approx 20 keV at 3.3 MeV).

[‡] Absent in data from 1974Fl02.

[#] Unresolved doublet.

[@] Reported in 1974Fl02 only. Evaluator considers existence of level uncertain. Authors' $\Delta E=8$ keV unrealistic; actual energy probably \approx 30 keV lower than reported (see comment on level energies for this reaction).

& DWBA fit to $\sigma(\theta)$ could not unambiguously distinguish between L=3 and L=4; authors favor the latter based on L=2 observed for these levels in (d,p) (1975Ip01).