

$^{92}\text{Sr } \beta^-$  decay    1972Ol03,1971Pa31

Type	Author	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012

Parent:  $^{92}\text{Sr}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=2.611$  h 17;  $Q(\beta^-)=1951$  9; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0

Others: 1956He77, 1957He39, 1979Bo26, 1990Ru05.

 $^{92}\text{Y}$  Levels

The decay scheme is based on  $\gamma$ -ray singles and coincidence measurements by 1972Ol03 and 1971Pa31.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>
0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	3.54 h 1
241.56 5	(0 <sup>-</sup> ,2,3 <sup>+</sup> )	
430.51 <sup>#</sup> 3	(2) <sup>-</sup>	
892.56 12	(≤3)	
1383.90 4	1 <sup>+</sup>	

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to E $\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

# The order of the 430 $\gamma$ , 953 $\gamma$  cascade is not established in  $^{92}\text{Sr } \beta^-$  decay data. Consequently, a level exists at either 430.56 5 or 953.31 7, and the authors chose the latter. 1956He77 reported E $\beta$ =1500 100, which would support the 430-level option; however, 1957He39 observed no  $\beta\gamma$  coincidences for E $\beta$ >600 keV. The decay scheme implies negligible  $\beta^-$  feeding of either state since I(430 $\gamma$ ) and I(953 $\gamma$ ) are similar. (d, $\alpha$ ) excites a (2,3,4)<sup>-</sup> level at 440 keV 30. The evaluator presumes that this confirms the 430-level option, and has ordered the 430 $\gamma$  and 953 $\gamma$  accordingly.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

1957He39 observed no  $\beta\gamma$  coin for E $\beta$ >600 keV.

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta$ <sup>‡</sup>	Log ft	Comments
539 <sup>†</sup> 17 (1058 9)	1383.90 892.56 (1951 <sup>#</sup> 9)	97 6 0.17 5 <8	4.35 4 8.09 13 >8.5 <sup>1u</sup>	av E $\beta$ =181.9 34 av E $\beta$ =379.5 39 av E $\beta$ =786.4 41

<sup>†</sup> Weighted average of 536 20 (1983Ia02) and 546 30 (1978Wo15, from  $\beta$ -1384 $\gamma$  coincidence spectrum). Q( $\beta^-$ )=1951 9 (2011AuZZ) implies E $\beta$ =567 9. Others: 545 keV 50 (1957He39), 550 keV 50 (1956He77).

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

# Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{92}\text{Y})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: from  $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce))$  to g.s.=96% 4, derived assuming log f<sup>1u</sup>t>8.5 to g.s. (which implies I $\beta$ (g.s.)<8%). This is consistent with I $\gamma$  normalization=0.90 10 based on measured I(1384 $\gamma$ )=90% 10 (1957He39) obtained from comparison of  $\beta$ -decay rate ( $4\pi$  flow proportional counter) with emission rate of  $^{92}\text{Y}(1384\gamma)$ . If no  $\beta^-$  branch existed to g.s.,  $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce))$  to g.s.=100% would imply I $\gamma$  normalization=0.93 3, with no significant change in deduced log ft values. I $\gamma$  normalization does not depend on the order of the 430 $\gamma$ -953 $\gamma$  cascade.

Measured:  $\gamma$ -ray singles with Ge(Li) (1972Ol03,1971Pa31), coincidences with Ge(Li)-Ge(Li) (1972Ol03), Ge(Li)-NaI (1971Pa31).

**$^{92}\text{Sr } \beta^-$  decay    1972O103,1971Pa31 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{92}\text{Y})$  (continued)**

$E\gamma$  and  $I\gamma$  from both sources are in excellent agreement.

Measured average  $E\gamma=1130.80$  ([1990Ru05](#)) cf. 1337.19 calculated for the adopted decay scheme using the code RADLST.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
241.56 <sup>‡</sup> 5	3.25 13	241.56	(0 <sup>-</sup> ,2,3 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	
<sup>x</sup> 352.5 2	0.06 1					
430.49 <sup>‡#</sup> 3	3.64 17	430.51	(2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	
491.27 17	0.305 28	1383.90	1 <sup>+</sup>	892.56 ( $\leq 3$ )		
650.8 2	0.41 3	892.56	( $\leq 3$ )	241.56 (0 <sup>-</sup> ,2,3 <sup>+</sup> )		
892.68 24	0.089 17	892.56	( $\leq 3$ )	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	
953.31 <sup>#</sup> 7	3.91 16	1383.90	1 <sup>+</sup>	430.51 (2) <sup>-</sup>		
1142.35 7	3.10 15	1383.90	1 <sup>+</sup>	241.56 (0 <sup>-</sup> ,2,3 <sup>+</sup> )		
1383.93 5	100 3	1383.90	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	% $I\gamma=90$ 4 assuming adopted $I\gamma$ normalization.

<sup>†</sup> Weighted average of data from [1972O103](#) and [1971Pa31](#). An additional, weak line ( $E\gamma=463.4$  2,  $I\gamma=0.04$  1) reported in [1971Pa31](#) was not confirmed by [1972O103](#) ( $I\gamma \leq 0.015$ ); evaluator does not adopt this G.

<sup>‡</sup> Weighted average of data from [1972O103](#), [1971Pa31](#), [1979Bo26](#).

<sup>#</sup> Note comment on 430 level regarding order of 430 $\gamma$ -953 $\gamma$  cascade.

<sup>@</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.90 5.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{92}\text{Sr } \beta^- \text{ decay }$     1972OJ03,1971Pa31Decay SchemeIntensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decaysLegend

- >  $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- >  $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- >  $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- Coincidence

