

$^{92}\text{Mo}(\text{p},\text{n}\gamma)$     **1976De07**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin		NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012

Additional information 1.Others: [1969De22](#), [1971Ho27](#), [1972Mo36](#).[1976De07](#): E(p)=9-9.8, 15 MeV, Ge(Li) at 90°, NE213 scin at 270°; measured ny coin,  $\gamma(t)$ , excit.[1972Mo36](#): E(p)=11 MeV; measured E(ce).[1971Ho27](#): E(p)=12 MeV; measured E $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ .[1969De22](#): E(p)=8.69-10.35, 14 MeV; measured E $\gamma$ , excit. $^{92}\text{Tc}$  Levels

The level scheme is from [1976De07](#) and differs fundamentally from earlier schemes ([1969De22](#), [1971Ho27](#)). The latter were incorrect due to nonobservation of the 47- and 56-keV transitions and failure to determine that  $119\gamma$  and  $259\gamma$  have different thresholds.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> #	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>‡</sup>
0.0	(8) <sup>+</sup> &	
213.70 10	6	<1 ns
270.04 11	4	1.03 <sup>@</sup> $\mu\text{s}$ 7
389.4		
529.14 15	1,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup>	$\leq 0.1 \mu\text{s}$
576.60 15	1,2	<2 ns
711.10 18	1,2	$\leq 0.1 \mu\text{s}$

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to E $\gamma$ .<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(t)$  measured between beam bursts or, for 529 and 711 levels, from  $\gamma\gamma$  coin intensities ([1976De07](#)), except as noted.# From comparison of measured  $\gamma$  excitation functions with Hauser-Feshbach calculations ([1976De07](#)).@ From 214 $\gamma(t)$  of [1971Ho27](#); erroneously attributed by authors to 214 level. [1976De07](#) report T<sub>1/2</sub>>0.5 s for 56 $\gamma$ ; evaluator assumes this is a misprint and that units should be  $\mu\text{s}$ , consistent with experimental capability and with datum from [1971Ho27](#).

&amp; From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{92}\text{Tc})$ 

E $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>i</sub>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>f</sub>
47.46 3	576.60	1,2	529.14	1,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup>
56.34 2	270.04	4	213.70	6
119.4 <sup>‡</sup>	389.4		270.04	4
134.5 1	711.10	1,2	576.60	1,2
213.7 1	213.70	6	0.0	(8) <sup>+</sup>
259.1 1	529.14	1,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup>	270.04	4

<sup>†</sup> For (p,ny), [1976De07](#) show only a labeled spectrum. The evaluator, therefore, quotes E $\gamma$  from authors'  $^{92}\text{Ru}$   $\varepsilon$  decay study, except for the 119 $\gamma$  which is seen only in (p,ny) ( $\Delta E$  not stated).<sup>‡</sup> Evaluator presumes that unplaced 116.0 transition from ce data of [1972Mo36](#) corresponds to the 119.4 of [1976De07](#).

$^{92}\text{Mo}(\text{p},\text{n}\gamma)$     1976De07Level Scheme