

$^{92}\text{Mo}({}^3\text{He}, 2\text{n}\gamma)$ **1983Fi08**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin		NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012

$E({}^3\text{He})=33$ MeV; Ge(Li), high purity Ge. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences.

 ^{92}Tc Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$	Comments
0.0	8 ⁺	
213.80 20	6 ⁺	
270.14 20	4 ⁺	
389.2 3	5 ⁺	
529.5 3	3 ⁺	
577.0 3	2 ⁺	
626.3 4	(6)	
686.14 17	9 ⁺	
711.4 4	1 ⁺	
965.6 4	(6)	
1119.3 4		
1163.4		
1163.6		
1355.48 17	10 ^{+#}	
1502.9 3	(6,8 ⁺)	
1590.1 4	(7,8)	
2002.7 3	(11 ⁺) [@]	
2548.9 4	(12 ⁺) [@]	
2940.0 4	(13) [@]	
3088.0?		Level not adopted because attribution of deexciting γ is in question. See comment on 148 γ .

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] Authors' suggested values, based primarily on $\gamma(\theta)$ and reaction systematics. See Adopted Levels for evaluator's preferred values.

$J=8$ or 10 from $1355\gamma(\theta)$ and $669\gamma(\theta)$. $J=10$ favored, based on yrast selectivity of reaction. $\pi=+$ favored, based on branching ratio (1983Fi08).

@ Cascade of stretched D γ 's feeds 10⁺ level. Monotonically increasing spin sequence likely, based on yrast selectivity and absence of energetically favored crossover transitions to lower spin states (1983Fi08). Note that adopted $\pi=(-)$ for these levels.

 $\gamma(^{92}\text{Tc})$

A_2 and A_4 values are from $\gamma(\theta)$ data from 1983Fi08.

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	Comments
(47.46 ^b 3)		577.0	2 ⁺	529.5	3 ⁺		
(56.34 ^b 2)		270.14	4 ⁺	213.80	6 ⁺		
119.1 2	36 4	389.2	5 ⁺	270.14	4 ⁺		$A_2=+0.07$ 2, $A_4=-0.05$ 3.
134.4 2	7.0 7	711.4	1 ⁺	577.0	2 ⁺	D	$A_2=-0.25$ 4, $A_4=-0.05$ 5.
148 ^{a@c}		3088.0?		2940.0	(13)		
198 ^{&}		1163.6		965.6	(6)		
213.8 2	100	213.80	6 ⁺	0.0	8 ⁺		$A_2=-0.06$ 2, $A_4=-0.03$ 2.
237.1 2	22.0 22	626.3	(6)	389.2	5 ⁺	D	$A_2=-0.027$ 4 (presumably a misprint of -0.27 4), $A_4=+0.10$ 5.
259.4 2	19.0 19	529.5	3 ⁺	270.14	4 ⁺	D	$A_2=-0.17$ 4, $A_4=-0.04$ 6.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{92}\text{Mo}({}^3\text{He},2\text{n}\gamma)$ 1983Fi08 (continued) **$\gamma(^{92}\text{Tc})$ (continued)**

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	δ^\ddagger	Comments
391.1 ^a 2	14.0 14	2940.0	(13)	2548.9	(12 ⁺)	D		$A_2=-0.14$ 10, $A_4=0.00$.
452 [#]		1163.4		711.4	1 ⁺			
493.0 2	2.9 3	1119.3		626.3	(6)			
546.2 ^a 2	12.0 12	2548.9	(12 ⁺)	2002.7	(11 ⁺)	D+Q	-0.18 10	$A_2=-0.58$ 12, $A_4=0.00$.
576.4 2	3.1 3	965.6	(6)	389.2	5 ⁺	D		$A_2=-0.3$ 2, $A_4=0.00$.
647.2 ^a 2	25.0 25	2002.7	(11 ⁺)	1355.48	10 ⁺	D+Q	+0.10 3	$A_2=-0.08$ 4, $A_4=0.00$.
669.4 ^a 2	13.0 13	1355.48	10 ⁺	686.14	9 ⁺	D		$A_2=-0.27$ 6, $A_4=-0.09$ 8.
686.2 ^a 2	25.0 25	686.14	9 ⁺	0.0	8 ⁺	D		$A_2=-0.40$ 4; $A_4=0.00$.
962.8 2	4.0 4	1590.1	(7,8)	626.3	(6)			$A_2=+0.15$ 16, $A_4=-0.02$ 19.
1289.1 2	25.0 25	1502.9	(6,8 ⁺)	213.80	6 ⁺			$A_2=+0.7$ 2, $A_4=0.00$ implies $\Delta J=0$ or 2.
1355.4 ^a 2	45 5	1355.48	10 ⁺	0.0	8 ⁺	Q		$A_2=+0.5$ 2, $A_4=0.00$.

[†] From $\gamma(\theta)$.[‡] From $\gamma(\theta)$ assuming same alignment coefficient (0.92 17) as measured for high spin states at same $E({}^3\text{He})$ in ${}^{90}\text{Zr}({}^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$.Authors favor mult=E2+M1 based on this δ .

Doublet.

@ Unresolved from 148γ from ${}^{92}\text{Tc}$ ε decay. Also, this γ was identified as the 146γ in ${}^{93}\text{Ru}$ in a subsequent (HI,xn γ) study by 1995Gh02. Consequently, this γ 's placement is shown as tentative here and it is omitted from Adopted Levels, Gammas.& Unresolved from 197γ arising from ${}^{19}\text{O}$ β^- decay.^a Interpreted by authors as yrast cascade γ ray.^b From Adopted Gammas.^c Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

