

^{94}Ag 2p decay **2006Mu03**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012

Parent: ^{94}Ag : $E=6.4\times 10^3$ 5; $J^\pi=(21^+)$; $T_{1/2}=0.39$ s 4; $Q(2p)=3.99\times 10^3$ SY; %2p decay= 5×10^1 3

^{94}Ag -Q from [2011AuZZ](#); uncertainty is 640 keV. [2008Ka30](#) estimated 4910 360.

^{94}Ag -E: Poorly established. $E=6.4$ MeV 5 from $E(p)=790$ 20 to $^{93}\text{Pd}(4996)$, $E(p)=1010$ 30 to $^{93}\text{Pd}(4753)$ and $S(p)(^{94}\text{Ag})=570$ 500 ([2011AuZZ](#); systematics). [2008Ka30](#) measured mass excesses for ^{92}Rh and ^{94}Pd and deduced $Q+$ for $^{94}\text{Ag}(\text{g.s.})$ ϵ decay based on extrapolation of Coulomb displacement energies for nearby $N=Z$ nuclides; combining the implied ^{94}Ag $S(2p)=4910$ 360 with [2006Mu03's observed \$E\(2p\)=1900\$ 100 to \$^{92}\text{Rh}\(1549\)\$ level\), they deduce \$E\(^{94}\text{Ag}\$ isomer\)=8360 370 \(At variance with 6960 400 which they obtained from their mass data and single-proton decay \$E\(p\)\$ to \$^{93}\text{Pd}\$ \). see \[2007Pe14\]\(#\), \[2008Ka30\]\(#\), \[2008Ka19\]\(#\), \[2011Fa10\]\(#\) for further discussion of this issue. \[2008Ka30's estimated \\$S\\(2p\\)=4910\\$ 360 is compatible with \\$S\\(2p\\)\\(^{94}\text{Ag}\\)=3990\\$ 640 from systematics proposed In \\[2011AuZZ\\]\\(#\\). a mass measurement for the g.s. of \\$^{93}\text{Pd}\\$ or \\$^{94}\text{Ag}\\$ could Be especially beneficial \\(\\[2011Fa10\\]\\(#\\)\\).\]\(#\)](#)

Others: [2006Ro08](#), [2007Pe14](#), [2007Ro16](#), [2008Ka30](#), [2008Mu20](#), [2009Ce04](#), [2009Je05](#), [2011Fa10](#).

[2006Mu03](#): ^{94}Ag source produced in $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{40}\text{Ca},p3n)$ reaction and subsequently ionized in the FEBIAD-E or FEBIAD-B2C ion source; reaction products mass-separated; detector array of three large-area Si multistrip detectors and 17 Ge crystals (total photopeak efficiency 3.2% at 1.33 MeV); measured E_γ , $E(2p)$, p-p correlations, p-p- γ - γ coin. See also [2005Mu30](#), [2006Ro08](#), [2007Ro16](#), [2008Mu20](#).

[2009Ce04](#): ^{94}Ag source produced from bombardment of natural Ni target by a 197-MeV ^{40}Ca beam; reaction products recoil In He plus ethylene glycol, are deposited within 0.20 s 5 on slowly rotating catcher wheel to remove long-lived β emitters, and collection spot viewed in low background area by an array of 24 $\Delta E1(\text{gas})$ - $\Delta E2(\text{gas})$ - $E(\text{Si})$ detector telescopes which can identify protons with $E>400$ keV; measured $E(p)$, P-P coin. search for 2p decay unsuccessful, but one of the two known single-proton decay groups from $^{94}\text{Ag}(21^+)$ to ^{93}Pd was confirmed.

Although correlated protons with a summed energy of 1900 100 appear to deexcite the $^{94}\text{Ag}(21^+)$ isomer and appear In coincidence with 5 γ -rays known In ^{92}Rh , these observations have generated considerable discussion and it would seem highly desirable to obtain independent confirmation of this decay to clear up remaining questions. So far, one confirmation attempt has been unsuccessful ([2009Ce04](#)). IT is disconcerting that $E(^{94}\text{Ag}$ isomer) estimates ([2008Ka30](#)) differ depending on whether its one-proton or its two-proton decay data are used. shell-model calculations ([2008Ka19](#)) for ^{94}Ag can explain a 21^+ isomer As due to an inversion of 19^+ and 21^+ states resulting from core excitations across the $N=Z=50$ shell gap, but do not support the large prolate deformation picture of the isomer proposed by [2006Mu03](#). Also, the sensitivity of the proton detector to other charged particles (e.g., electrons) might create data interpretation difficulties ([2009Je05](#)). A mass measurement for the g.s. of ^{93}Pd or ^{94}Ag would be especially useful ([2011Fa10](#)).

 ^{92}Rh Levels

<u>$E(\text{level})^\dagger$</u>	<u>J^π^\ddagger</u>
0	(6^+)
235	(8^+)
599	(9^+)
1271	(10^+)
1549 [#]	(11^+)

[†] From E_γ .

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

[#] In Adopted Levels, a stronger 949γ deexcites the same level As the 278γ , but No 949γ is evident In the γ spectrum from [2006Mu03](#).

^{94}Ag 2p decay 2006Mu03 (continued) $\gamma(^{92}\text{Rh})$

E_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
235	235	(8 ⁺)	0	(6 ⁺)	
278	1549	(11 ⁺)	1271	(10 ⁺)	
^x 307					In Adopted Levels, Gammas a 306 γ deexcites a (20 ⁻) 6691 level. unless the adopted 306 γ is misplaced, it presumably differs from the 307 γ reported by 2006Mu03 because the adopted cascade gammas that immediately follow the 306 γ were not observed by 2006Mu03.
364	599	(9 ⁺)	235	(8 ⁺)	
^x 565 [‡]					
672	1271	(10 ⁺)	599	(9 ⁺)	
^x 833 [‡]					
1036	1271	(10 ⁺)	235	(8 ⁺)	

[†] From γ spectrum in fig. 1d of 2006Mu03; uncertainties unstated by 2006Mu03.

[‡] Absent In ($^{58}\text{Ni},\alpha\text{pn}\gamma$) study by 2007Pe14. see 2007Pe14 for discussion of three possible scenarios for placing the 565 γ and 833 γ , none of which is considered by those authors to be satisfactory. These γ -rays are clearly visible In the p- $\gamma(^{92}\text{Rh})$ coincidence spectrum In fig. 1d of 2006Mu03, but known ^{94}Pd and ^{93}Rh γ -rays are also present In that spectrum; it seems desirable to obtain confirmation that these are indeed ^{92}Rh transitions.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

Delayed Protons (^{92}Rh)

$E(\text{p})$	$E(^{92}\text{Rh})$	Comments
1.9×10^3 I		E(p): summed energy of correlated protons emitted (2006Mu03).

${}^{94}\text{Ag}$ 2p decay 2006Mu03

Decay Scheme

