#### <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay 2009Hw03,1974ClZX

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012					

Parent: <sup>252</sup>Cf: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=2.645$  y 8; %SF decay=3.092 8 <sup>252</sup>Cf-%SF decay: %SF(<sup>252</sup>Cf)=3.092 8 (from ENSDF database for <sup>252</sup>Cf).

2009Hw03:  $^{252}$ Cf source ( $\alpha$ -decay intensity of 62  $\mu$ Ci) placed between two 13-micron thick Fe foils inside a 7.62 cm

polyethylene ball; Gammasphere array (101 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors); measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma\gamma$  coin, (Pm x ray)- $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coin, Pm-Rb cross coincidences.

1974CIZX: <sup>252</sup>Cf source (2x10<sup>5</sup> fissions/sec) placed between cooled Si-Au surface barrier detectors; planar Si(Li) detector (FWHM=0.56 At 26 keV) for  $E_{\gamma}$ =10-100; prompt x-ray shield; planar Ge(Li) detector (FWHM=2.9 At 1332 keV); coax Ge(Li) detector (FWHM=3.8 At 1332); measured Ey, fragment-fragment-y(In Si(Li) and/or Ge(Li) detectors) delayed coincidences,  $\gamma$ -K x ray coin;  $\gamma\gamma$  coin, isomer T<sub>1/2</sub>.

The level scheme is based on the coincidence data from 2009Hw03.

#### <sup>92</sup>Rb Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> ‡	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	$0^{-}$		
142.5 <i>3</i>	1-	0.75 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$ : from Adopted Levels.
284.9 5	3-	≈57 ns	$T_{1/2}$ : 1974ClZX report a $T_{1/2}$ =57 ns 142γ from <sup>92</sup> Rb which is strongly coincident with itself and with K x ray(Rb); this implies the existence of an isomeric level in <sup>92</sup> Rb with E≥284 keV which is not populated in <sup>92</sup> Kr β <sup>-</sup> decay (1974ClZX).
431.6 5			
1388.6 <i>6</i>			
1648.2 5			
1683.1 6			
1959.4 7			
2693.9 7			
2980.8 8			
3700.5 8			
4789.9 9			

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

## $\gamma(^{92}\text{Rb})$

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult.	α <b>#</b>	Comments
(34.9)		1683.1		1648.2				$E_{\gamma}$ : from level energy difference. existence of transition was indirectly confirmed in a coincidence spectrum double-gated on the 286.9 $\gamma$ and 734.5 $\gamma$ (authors report a 33 keV low-energy cutoff for their experiment).
142.4 3	70 4	284.9	3-	142.5	1-	E2	0.264 5	<ul> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: 142.4-keV transition observed in coincidence spectra double-gated on the previously known 142.5-keV transition and another transition in <sup>92</sup>Rb.</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: I(142.4γ)/I(142.5γ)=0.70 assumed by 2009Hw03.</li> <li>Mult : from Adopted Gammas.</li> </ul>
142.5 3	100 5	142.5	1-	0.0	0-	M1	0.0553	$\alpha(K)=0.0488 \ 8; \ \alpha(L)=0.00547 \ 9; \ \alpha(M)=0.000904 \ 14; \ \alpha(N+)=0.0001065 \ 17 \ \alpha(N)=0.0001022 \ 16; \ \alpha(O)=4.35\times10^{-6} \ 7 \ Mult.; from Adopted Gammas.$
146.7 <i>3</i> 276.3 <i>3</i>	39 <i>2</i> 20 <i>1</i>	431.6 1959.4		284.9 1683.1	3-			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

# <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay 2009Hw03,1974ClZX (continued)

### $\gamma(^{92}\text{Rb})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$
286.9 3	71	2980.8	2693.9	1089.4 3	11	4789.9	3700.5	
294.5 3	51	1683.1	1388.6	1216.6 <i>3</i>	41	1648.2	431.6	
719.7 3	51	3700.5	2980.8	1251.5 <i>3</i>	51	1683.1	431.6	
734.5 3	16 2	2693.9	1959.4	1363.3 <i>3</i>	22 1	1648.2	284.9	3-
957.0 <i>3</i>	61	1388.6	431.6					

<sup>†</sup> From 2009Hw03. Uncertainty of 0.3 keV assigned as per e-mail reply to XUNDL database compilers from the first author on Sept 21, 2009. The statistical uncertainty according to that e-mail reply is 0.1 keV.

<sup>‡</sup> From 2009Hw03. Uncertainty of 5% for I $\gamma$ >20, 15% for I $\gamma$ =2-20 and 30% for I $\gamma$ <2 assigned by evaluator based on statement in e-mail reply of Sept. 21, 2009 from the first author that the statistical uncertainty is 1% but the total uncertainty (including systematic) is 5% for strong lines and up to 30% for very weak ones. In the assignment of the uncertainty, the number of significant digits has been kept the same as in the partial level scheme in figure 5 of 2009Hw03.

<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

 $^{92}_{37}\text{Rb}_{55}\text{-}3$ 



<sup>92</sup><sub>37</sub>Rb<sub>55</sub>