## <sup>93</sup>Nb(p,d) 1984Ru09,1968Ta03

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012					

92Nb Levels

 $J^{\pi}(^{93}Nb)=9/2^+$ .

Other: 1964Sw01.

1964Sw01: E(p)=22 MeV, FWHM=30 keV.

**1968Ta03**: E(p)=55 MeV, FWHM $\approx$ 100 keV,  $\theta$ (c.m.) $\approx$ 7°-37°; DWBA.

1984Ru09: E(p)=26.3 MeV, FWHM=25-30 keV,  $\theta$ (lab)=6°-55°; DWBA.

E(level) <sup>‡</sup>	$L^{\dagger}$	$C^2S^{\#}$	E(level) <sup>‡</sup>	$\mathbf{J}^{\pi}$	L <sup>†</sup>	$C^2S^{\#}$
0.0	2	0.36	2803 12		1	0.14, 0.17
135 12	2	0.20	2946 12		4	1.60
286 12	2	0.13	3015 12		4	0.24
357 12	2	0.10	3047 12		4	0.34
478 12	2 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	0.18 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	3110 12		1	0.029,0.037
502 12			3196 12		1	0.015,0.017
1402 12	0	0.015	3251 12		1	0.043,0.048
1557 12	2	0.003	3310 12		1	0.039,0.048
1605 12	0	0.012	3342 12		1	0.069,0.086
1638 12	0	0.006	3404 12		1	0.038,0.049
1713 12	(1)	0.002,0.002	3447 12		1	0.038,0.043
1761 12	2	0.008	3489 12		(4) <sup>b</sup>	0.54
1828 12	0	0.006	3517 12		1	0.065,0.071
2072 12			3615 12			
2142 12			3665 12		1	0.086,0.10
2238 12	1	0.008,0.009	3716 12		1	0.034,0.038
2292 12	(2+4)	@	3806 12		1	0.043,0.052
2390 12	1	0.012,0.015	4079 12		1	0.077,0.095
2503 12	$(1)^{b}$	0.006,0.007	4135 12		4	0.37
2592 12			11.54×10 <sup>3</sup> <i>&amp; 30</i>	5 <sup>-</sup>	1 <sup><i>c</i></sup>	
2666 12	1	0.012,0.014	11.80×10 <sup>3</sup> <i>30</i>	(4) <sup>−</sup> <sup><i>C</i></sup>	1 <sup><i>c</i></sup>	
2737 12	4	0.43				

<sup>†</sup> For E≤10 MeV: from 1984Ru09, based on DWBA analysis of  $\sigma(\theta)$ . For E>10 MeV: from 1968Ta03, based on comparison of  $\sigma(\theta)$  with that for <sup>90</sup>Zr(p,d) L=1 transfer to IAS at 8110 keV in <sup>89</sup>Zr.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1984Ru09, unless noted otherwise. Authors report an uncertainty of 8 to 12 keV.

<sup>#</sup> C<sup>2</sup>S values are from DWBA analysis by 1984Ru09 which assumes  $3s_{1/2}$ ,  $2d_{5/2}$ ,  $1g_{9/2}$  and either  $2p_{3/2}$  or  $2p_{1/2}$  for L=0,2,4,1 transfers, respectively, and includes finite range corrections and bound state geometry different from that of relevant (d,t) analysis (1971Bh01), resulting in C<sup>2</sup>S values approximately half of those reported by 1971Bh01. For L=1 transfers,  $2p_{3/2}$  values are listed first, then  $2p_{1/2}$ .

<sup>(a)</sup> 1984Ru09 obtain C<sup>2</sup>S(L=2)=0.014, C<sup>2</sup>S(L=4)=0.055 from least-squares adjustment of the incoherent sum of DWBA results for  $2d_{5/2}$  and  $1g_{9/2}$  transitions.

& From 1968Ta03. Authors interpret 11540 and 12800 levels as analogs of the  $5^{-92}$ Zr(2486 level) and the (4)<sup>-92</sup>Zr(2744) level, respectively. Observed splitting of the two analog states is 260 keV 40 (1968Ta03).

<sup>*a*</sup> L=2,  $C^2S=0.18$  for 478+502 doublet.

<sup>b</sup> An additional undetermined L transfer contributes.

<sup>c</sup> L is based on comparison of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma(\theta)$  with those for known configuration= $(\nu 2p_{1/2})^{-1}$ ,  $J^{\pi} = 1/2^{-89}$ Zr(8110 level). J is based on  $(2J_f+1)$  intensity rule, assuming configuration= $((\nu 2p_{1/2})^{-1}(\pi 1g_{9/2}))$  for these <sup>92</sup>Nb states.