

$^{92}\text{Zr}(\text{p},\text{n}) \quad \text{2000Jo17,1996Gr07,1977Ke01}$ 

Type	Author	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012

Others: [1988An11](#), [2001Au02](#).[2001Au02](#):  $E(\text{p})=79.2, 119.4$  MeV; measured spectra At  $\theta(\text{lab}) \approx 0.1^\circ, 4^\circ, 8^\circ, 12^\circ, 19.5^\circ$  (FWHM=415-675 keV); deduced GDR energy (relative to IAS) and splitting between GDR and giant spin-dipole resonance.[2000Jo17](#):  $E(\text{p})=35$  MeV; NE213 scin, tof; >95% enriched  $^{92}\text{Zr}$  target; measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  for g.s. analog; macroscopic DWBA calculations.[1996Gr07](#):  $E(\text{p})=26.0$  1 MeV; pulsed beam; >95% enriched  $^{92}\text{Zr}$  target; FWHM=125-200 keV at  $E(\text{n}) \approx 12.5$  MeV;  $\theta(\text{lab})=3^\circ, 9^\circ, 15^\circ$  for 20 min flight path, and  $27^\circ, 33^\circ, 46^\circ, 53^\circ$  for 7.5 min flight path; measured  $E(\text{n}), \sigma(\theta)$  ( $\theta(\text{c.m.}) \approx 3^\circ$  to  $30^\circ$ ); quasiparticle model, shell model and random phase approximation calculations.[1977Ke01](#):  $E(\text{p})=4.25-5.30$  MeV; pulsed beam; FWHM=35 keV for  $E(\text{n}) \approx 500$  keV;  $\theta(\text{lab})=0^\circ-90^\circ$ . Measured:  $E(\text{n})$  (by time of flight); enhancement in relative yields of the final states both at the  $E(\text{p})=5055$  keV  $d_{5/2}$  IAR in  $^{93}\text{Nb}$  and off-resonance.[1988An11](#):  $E(\text{p})=18.0$  1 MeV and  $25.0$  1 MeV; pulsed beam; >95% enriched  $^{92}\text{Zr}$  target;  $\theta(\text{lab})=3.5^\circ-159^\circ$  (16 angles); measured  $E(\text{n})$  (time of flight, NE213 scintillators),  $\sigma(\theta)$ ; identified analogs of  $^{92}\text{Zr}$ (g.s.) and  $^{92}\text{Zr}(934$  level) ( $E(\text{n})=12.8$  MeV and  $11.9$  MeV, respectively, at  $E(\text{p})=25$  MeV and  $\theta(\text{lab})=16.7^\circ$ ). $^{92}\text{Nb}$  Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$	$L^\#$	Comments
0			
140 <i>15</i>			
228 <i>15</i>	2 <sup>-</sup>		
285 <i>15</i>			
390 <i>15</i>	3 <sup>-</sup>		
482 <i>15</i>			
978 <i>15</i>	0 <sup>a</sup>		
1095 <i>15</i>	1 <sup>+</sup>	(0)	
1152 <i>15</i>	2 <sup>-</sup>		
1322 @ <i>15</i>	2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>-</sup>		
1346 <i>15</i>	2 <sup>+,1<sup>-</sup></sup>		
1420 & <i>15</i>	&		
1485 <i>15</i>	1 <sup>+</sup>		
1556 <i>b</i> <i>15</i>	<i>b</i>		
1647 <i>15</i>			
1670 <i>15</i>			
1688? <i>15</i>			
1741 <i>15</i>	(3 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>c</sup>		
1770 <i>15</i>			
3000 <sup>d</sup>			
3150 <sup>d</sup>			
3530 <sup>d</sup>	(0)		
5680 <sup>d</sup>	(0)		
5920 <sup>d</sup>	(0)		
6280 <sup>d</sup>	(0)		
9030 <sup>d</sup>	0	L=0 from DWBA analysis ( <a href="#">2000Jo17</a> ). Analog of 0 <sup>+</sup> $^{92}\text{Zr}$ g.s.	
9956		E(level): from Adopted Levels; <a href="#">1996Gr07</a> and <a href="#">1988An11</a> identify level in spectrum of fig. 1 but do not specify its energy.	
		possible analog of 2 <sup>+</sup> $^{92}\text{Zr}(934)$ .	

<sup>†</sup> From [1977Ke01](#), except as noted. Of the states observed by [1977Ke01](#), the 0, 290 and 1090 levels are reported by [1996Gr07](#)

---

 **$^{92}\text{Zr}(\text{p},\text{n}) \quad 2000\text{Jo17,1996Gr07,1977Ke01}$  (continued)**

---

 **$^{92}\text{Nb}$  Levels (continued)**

also. Note that [1996Gr07](#) report a cluster of additional unresolved levels in the vicinity of 4750 keV.

<sup>‡</sup> Authors' values based on comparison of data with calculations of IAR enhancements and off-resonance yields using the statistical compound-nucleus model ([1977Ke01](#)). Note that this model is parameter dependent.

<sup>#</sup> From [1996Gr07](#), based on similarity of  $\sigma(\theta)$  to that expected by authors for L=0, except As noted.

<sup>@</sup> The extremely large observed IAR enhancement can be explained only if this is a doublet with  $J^\pi=3^-$  or  $2^-$  for both states ([1977Ke01](#)). ( $\text{p},\text{ny}$ ) measurements indeed show two levels, at 1310 and 1324 keV.

<sup>&</sup> Unresolved triplet; authors' deduced  $J^\pi=(3^-)$  unreliable.

<sup>a</sup>  $J=0$  from ( $\text{p},\text{n}$ ). This assignment conflicts with observation of polarized deexcitation  $\gamma$  in ( $\text{p},\text{ny}$ ) ([1979Ba54](#)) and evaluator does not adopt it.

<sup>b</sup> Doublet:  $\gamma$  decay data from ( $\text{p},\text{ny}$ ) ([1975Ke12](#)) used to apportion relative enhancements and off-resonance yields for the two states. Authors favor  $(1^-)$  for 1554-level,  $J=4$  for the 1566 level.

<sup>c</sup>  $(1^-)$  possible, but  $(3^+)$  preferred ([1977Ke01](#)).

<sup>d</sup> From [1996Gr07](#); uncertainty not stated by authors.