## <sup>88</sup>Sr(<sup>7</sup>Li,3nγ) 1977Br12

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012					

<sup>92</sup>Nb Levels

See also 1976Br24 (for singles  $\gamma$  spectrum).

E(Li)=34 MeV; measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma(\theta,H,t)$ , pulsed-beam  $\gamma$  timing (FWHM $\approx$ 8 ns) and  $\gamma$  excitation functions.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub> #	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$
0.0 135.2 <i>11</i> 225.4 <i>11</i> 285.1 <i>11</i> 357.0 <i>10</i>	$7^{+} (2)^{+} (2)^{-} (3)^{+} (5)^{+}$	501.0 <i>3</i> 1308? 1419? 1471? 1945.3 <i>4</i>	$(6)^+$ $(7^-)$	≤6 ns	2235.7 4 2287.1 5 2998.2 5 3325.9 5 3796.9 <sup>a</sup> 11	$   \begin{array}{r}     10^{(-)} \\     9^+ \\     11^+ \\     13^+ \\     (12,13)   \end{array} $	$\leq 6$ ns $\leq 6$ ns $\leq 6$ ns $\leq 6$ ns
389.2 <i>11</i> 479.5 <i>11</i>	$(3)^{-}$ $(4)^{+}$	2087.5 <i>4</i> 2203.3 <sup>&amp;</sup> 4	9- 11-	≤6 ns 167 <sup>@</sup> ns 4			

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> For E(level)>501 keV, J is deduced by authors on basis of  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma$  branching,  $\gamma(t)$ , I $\gamma$  in cascades; otherwise J is from Adopted Levels.

 $^{\#} \leq 6$  ns for levels deexcited by prompt gammas, based on overall time resolution of 8 ns in pulsed beam measurements of 1977Br12.

<sup>*e*</sup> From delayed measurement of  $116\gamma$ .

& A g-factor of 0.88 3 was determined from  $116\gamma(\theta,H,t)$ .

<sup>a</sup> Probably not an yrast level (1977Br12).

## $\gamma(^{92}\text{Nb})$

Quoted A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>4</sub> values are from  $\gamma(\theta)$  data of 1977Br12.

Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$ J <sup>2</sup>	$\frac{\pi}{f}$ Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
90.2 <sup>#</sup> 2		225.4	(2)-	135.2 (2)	)+	
115.8 2	18	2203.3	11-	2087.5 9-	Q	$A_2 = +0.28 2, A_4 = -0.04 3.$
122.5 <sup>#</sup> 2		479.5	$(4)^{+}$	357.0 (5)	)+	
142.2 2	3 <sup>a</sup>	2087.5	9-	1945.3 (7	_)	$I\gamma = 3.0\%$ 10.
148.2 2	44 <mark>a</mark>	2235.7	$10^{(-)}$	2087.5 9-	D	$A_2 = -0.21 2, A_4 = 0.02 2.$
149.9 2	22 <sup>a</sup>	285.1	$(3)^{+}$	135.2 (2)	)+	
163.8 2	20	389.2	$(3)^{-}$	225.4 (2)	) <sup>–</sup> D	$A_2 = -0.17 \ 3; \ A_4 = 0.04 \ 3.$
194.4 <sup>#</sup> 2		479.5	$(4)^+$	285.1 (3)	)+	
254 1	@	389.2	$(3)^{-}$	135.2 (2)	)+	
327.7 2	43	3325.9	13+	2998.2 11	+ Q	$A_2 = +0.35 I$ , $A_4 = -0.10 I$ .
357 <sup>#</sup> 1		357.0	(5)+	0.0 7+	(Q)	Mult.: $A_2 = +0.21 \ 3$ , $A_4 = -0.04 \ 4$ for doublet with <sup>91</sup> Nb G.
471 <sup>#</sup> 1		3796.9	(12, 13)	3325.9 13	+	
501.0 <i>3</i>	41	501.0	(6)+	0.0 7+	D	$A_2 = -0.02 \ 3, \ A_4 = -0.04 \ 3.$
711.1 2	20 <sup>&amp;</sup>	2998.2	11 <sup>+</sup>	2287.1 9+	Q	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.33 4, A <sub>4</sub> =-0.11 5. Iy=35% 2.
762.5 2	36 <mark>&amp;</mark>	2998.2	11+	2235.7 10	(-) D	$A_2 = -0.20 \ I$ , $A_4 = 0.00 \ 2$ . I $\gamma = 65\% \ 2$ .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

## <sup>88</sup>Sr(<sup>7</sup>Li,3nγ) 1977Br12 (continued)

$\gamma$ ( <sup>92</sup> Nb) (continued)									
Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	Comments	
795 <sup>b</sup> 919 <sup>b</sup>		2998.2 1308?	11+	2203.3 389.2	$11^{-}$ (3) <sup>-</sup>			Iγ≤4%.	
1030 <sup>b</sup>		1419?		389.2	$(3)^{-}$				
1082 <sup>b</sup> 1444.5 <i>10</i>	5	1471? 1945.3	(7-)	389.2 501.0	$(3)^{-}$ $(6)^{+}$				
1586.4 10	@	2087.5	9-	501.0	$(6)^{+}$			Iγ=1.2% 4.	
1945 <sup>#D</sup> 1	≤10	1945.3	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	7+				
2087.4 4	100	2087.5	9-	0.0	7+	M2+E3	+11 2	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.083 <i>15</i> , A <sub>4</sub> =-0.128 <i>16</i> ; A <sub>6</sub> =+0.111 <i>19</i> . Mult.: Q+O from from $\gamma(\theta)$ ; RUL eliminates E2+M3 for prompt G. I $\gamma$ =95.8% 11.	
2287.2 <sup>#</sup> 10	≈40	2287.1	9+	0.0	7+	Q		$A_2 = +0.30 2$ , $A_4 = -0.06 2$ .	

<sup>†</sup> Intensity relative to I(2087 $\gamma$ )=100. Additional branching ratio information derived from delayed I $\gamma$ (90°) corrected for the expected  $\gamma(\theta)$  (shown in authors' fig. 1) are quoted under comments.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$ . Authors identify 328 $\gamma$ , 711 $\gamma$  and 2287 $\gamma$  as stretched L=2 cascade, 763 $\gamma$ , 148 $\gamma$  as stretched L=1 cascade, and 116 $\gamma$  as a stretched Q transition.

<sup>#</sup> Doublet with  $\gamma$  from neighboring nuclide.

<sup>@</sup> Weak line.

& I(711 $\gamma$ ):I(763 $\gamma$ ) disagrees with result from (<sup>3</sup>He,2n $\gamma$ ). See Adopted Gammas.

<sup>*a*</sup>  $I\gamma(90^{\circ})$  (in intrinsic Ge detector) relative to I(148.2 $\gamma$ )+I(149.9 $\gamma$ ) observed with Ge(Li) detector.

<sup>b</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 $^{92}_{41}\text{Nb}_{51}\text{-}3$ 



 $^{92}_{41}\text{Nb}_{51}$