		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113,2187 (2012)	15-Sep-2012

 $Q(\beta^{-})=6003\ 7;\ S(n)=5867\ 4;\ S(p)=14951\ 5;\ Q(\alpha)=-7310\ 5$  2012Wa38

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 6003 7 5867 4 14951 4 -7310 4 2011AuZZ.  $Q(\beta^{-}),S(n),S(p),Q(\alpha)$ : 5987 10, 5550 60, 14570 70, -7330 50, respectively, from 2003Au03.

 $Q(\beta^{-}n)=906 \ 9 \ (2011AuZZ).$ 

For isotope shift data, see 1995Ke04.

# <sup>92</sup>Kr Levels

#### Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

			A		$^{92}$ Br $\beta^-$ decay D $^{248}$ Cm SF decay
			В		<sup>93</sup> Br $\beta^-$ n decay E Coulomb excitation
			С		$^{235}$ U(n,F $\gamma$ ) E=thermal F $^{252}$ Cf SF decay
E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XRE	F	Comments
0.0	$0^{+}$	1.840 s 8	AB DI	EF	$\%\beta^{-}=100; \ \%\beta^{-}n=0.0332 \ 25$
					$\Delta < r^2 > ({}^{92}Kr - {}^{86}Kr) = 0.751$ ; uncertainty is 0.005 (statistical only), 0.022 (systematic
					included), 0.139 (including uncertainties arising from evaluation of isotope shifts) (1005K-04). For discussion of differential changes in A $x^{2}$ , and 1006Li25
					$J^{\pi}$ : g.s. of even-even nucleus.
					$T_{1/2}$ : from 1969Ca03. Other data: 1.92 s 7 (1965Pa14), 1.70 s 25 (1967Am04),
					2.2 s 3 (1970Lu05).
					%β <sup>-</sup> n: value recommended in evaluation by 1993Ru01; weighted average of 0.040 7 (1969Ta04) and 0.0323 26 (1975As05).
5015	2+	5.0			$^{1/2}$ (charge)=4.273 fm 10 (2004An14).
/69.1 3	21	≈5.0 ps	A DI	EF	J': E2 /69 $\gamma$ to U'. E consistent with that for first 2' state of even-even N=56 isotones in Sr. Mo and Ru (540, 787 and 837 keV respectively)
					$T_{1/2}$ : from preliminary B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.21 (10%-15% uncertainty) from Coulomb
	_				excitation; see comment on $769\gamma$
1356.4 9	@		A		J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : 587 $\gamma$ to (2 <sup>+</sup> ). 1989PfZZ suggest J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> =(0 <sup>+</sup> ) based on energy systematics.
1446.3 6	$(1,2^+)^{\#}$		A D	F	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : 1447 $\gamma$ to 0 <sup>+</sup> g.s.; D 678 $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> 769. 1989PfZZ suggest J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> =2 <sup>+</sup> based on energy systematics. If 358 $\gamma$ from 4 <sup>+</sup> 1804 exists, J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> =(2 <sup>+</sup> ) would Be favored.
1804.0 10	4+		A D	F	$J^{\pi}$ : stretched E2 1035 $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> 769 level. 1989PfZZ suggest this level may be the expected 3 <sup>-</sup> state; however, that $J^{\pi}$ is ruled out by E2 1035 $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> , 769 level.
1985.0 <i>13</i>	$(4^+)^{@}$		D	F	$J^{\pi}$ : 181 $\gamma$ to 4 <sup>+</sup> 1804 level.
1994.2? <i>13</i>	@		A		
2019.4 8	@		A		
2046.6 12	@		A		
2066.2 10	4 <sup>(+)</sup>		D	F	$J^{\pi}$ : stretched Q 1297 $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> 769.
2077.4 9	@		Α		
2153.2 8	$(1,2^+)^{\#}$		Α		
2164.3 8	@		Α		
2350.8 7	$(1,2^+)^{\#}$		Α		
2471.7 9	$(6^{+})$		A	F	
2587.2.8	$(0^{-})^{+}$		<u>ل</u>	r	
2653.3 14	$(6^+)$		D	F	
2684.1 14	×. /			F	
2699.0 14				F	

Adopted Levels,	Gammas	(continued)
-----------------	--------	-------------

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ‡	XREF	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <b>π</b> ‡	XREF	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π‡</sup>	XREF
2820.9 <i>10</i> 2836.0 <i>13</i>		A DF	3178.6 <i>11</i> 3286.0 <i>12</i>	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	D F A	4185.1 <i>16</i> 4394.6 <i>16</i>		F
2875.3 9 3036.1 <i>12</i>	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	A DF	3595.3 <i>13</i> 3627.8 <i>11</i>	$(8^{+})$	D F D F	4482.5 7 4511.4 <i>1</i> 2	$(1,2^+)^{\#}$	A
3073.7 <i>14</i> 3086.6 <i>10</i>	(• )	F	3846.1 <i>13</i> 4054.5 <i>9</i>	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	D F A	4980.3 <i>17</i> 5011.4 <i>12</i>	(12 <sup>+</sup> )	D F A
3114.9 9 3172.4 <i>11</i>	(6+)	A DF	4124.0 <i>16</i> 4175.8 <i>13</i>	(10 <sup>+</sup> )	F D F	5078.8 8	$(1,2^+)^{\#}$	A

### 92Kr Levels (continued)

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  allowing 1 keV uncertainty in all  $E\gamma$  data. Uncertainties are provided by authors for no  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> Values given without further comment are those proposed by 2011Li34 in <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay based largely on regional systematics. <sup>#</sup> Gammas to both 0<sup>+</sup> and (2<sup>+</sup>) levels imply  $J^{\pi}$ =(1,2<sup>+</sup>).

<sup>(a)</sup> In heavier even-even N=56 isotones, the lowest-energy excited 0<sup>+</sup> levels lie at 1682, 1582 and 1130, respectively, in Sr, Zr and Ru; 3<sup>-</sup> levels lie at 1926, 1897, 2018 and 2167, respectively, in Sr, Zr, Mo and Ru isotones. Among the  ${}^{92}$ Kr levels with unknown  $J^{\pi}$ , the energy of the observed 1356 level most closely matches that expected for the 0<sup>+</sup> state. The energies of the 1985, 1994, 2019, 2047, 2077 and 2164 levels, none of which has a known  $\gamma$  feeding a 0<sup>+</sup> level, make them candidates for the expected 3<sup>-</sup> state.

#### $\gamma(^{92}\mathrm{Kr})$

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.‡	Comments
769.1	2+	769.2	100	0.0	0+	E2	<ul> <li>B(E2)(W.u.)≈17 (2009MuZW)</li> <li>B(E2)(W.u.)≈17 (B(E2)≈0.042) is a preliminary value from Coulomb excitation; the uncertainty is 10-15%.</li> <li>Mult : O from <sup>248</sup>Cm SE decay: Coulomb excited transition</li> </ul>
1356.4		587.4	100	769.1	2+		water of the strated strated transition.
1446.3	$(1.2^{+})$	677.5	55	769.1	$2^{+}$	D	
	( ) )	1446.6	100	0.0	$0^{+}$		
1804.0	4+	358 <mark>&amp;</mark>	<1.8	1446.3	$(1.2^{+})$		Absent in <sup>248</sup> Cm SF decay.
		1035.3	100	769.1	2+	E2	Mult.: from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and linear polarization in <sup>248</sup> Cm SF decay.
1985.0	$(4^{+})$	181.1 <sup>@</sup>	100	1804.0	4+		
2019.4		573.0	62	1446.3	$(1,2^+)$		
		1250.0	100	769.1	2+		
2046.6		1277.5	100	769.1	2+		
2066.2	4(+)	1296.7 <sup>@</sup>	100	769.1	2+	Q	
2077.4		631.0	6.4	1446.3	$(1,2^+)$		
		1308.5	100	769.1	2+		
2153.2	$(1,2^+)$	1384.0	100	769.1	2+		
		2153.4	12	0.0	$0^{+}$		
2164.3		717.8	80	1446.3	$(1,2^{+})$		
		1395.2	100	769.1	$2^{+}$		
2350.8	$(1,2^{+})$	994.4	20	1356.4			
		1581.8	73	769.1	2+		
		2350.6	100	0.0	$0^+$		
2471.7		1026	6.7	1446.3	$(1,2^{+})$		
		1702.0	100	769.1	2+		
2492.0	$(6^{+})$	507.0 <sup>w</sup>		1985.0	$(4^{+})$		
		688.1 <sup>@</sup>		1804.0	4+		
2587.2	$(1,2^+)$	593 <mark>&amp;</mark>		1994.2?			
		1818.5	100	769.1	2+		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

### Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

# $\gamma(^{92}$ Kr) (continued)

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$\mathbf{E}_f  \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
2587.2	$(1,2^+)$	2586.7	17	0.0 0+	
2653.3	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	849.3 <sup>#</sup>	100	1804.0 4+	
2684.1		880.1 <sup>#</sup>	100	1804.0 4+	
2699.0		895.0 <sup>#</sup>	100	1804.0 4+	
2820.9		801.0	20	2019.4	
		1375.1	100	1446.3 (1,2+)	
2836.0		1032.1 <sup>@</sup>	100	1804.0 4+	
2875.3		1429.0	5	$1446.3 (1,2^+)$	
		2106.2	100	769.1 2+	
3036.1	$(6^{+})$	1232.2 <sup>w</sup>	100	1804.0 4+	
30/3./		1269.7	100	1804.0 4	
5080.0		922.0	100	$14463(12^+)$	
3114.9		1668.2	7.0	$1446.3 (1.2^+)$	
		2346.1	100	769.1 2 <sup>+</sup>	
3172.4	$(6^{+})$	1106.0 <sup>@</sup>		2066.2 4 <sup>(+)</sup>	
		1368.7 <sup>@</sup>		1804.0 4+	
3178.6	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1112.3 <sup>@</sup>		2066.2 4 <sup>(+)</sup>	
		1374.5 <sup>#</sup>		1804.0 4+	
3286.0		2516.9	100	769.1 2+	
3595.3		759.4 <sup>@</sup>	100	2836.0	
3627.8	(8+)	32.6 <sup>@</sup>		3595.3	$E_{\gamma}$ : from level energy difference; transition shown in level scheme in fig. 4 of 2000Rz02, but energy not given.
		448.9		3178.6 (6+)	Other E $\gamma$ : 448.3 from <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay.
		455.3 <sup>@</sup>		3172.4 (6 <sup>+</sup> )	Other E $\gamma$ : 454.6 from <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay.
		591.8 <sup>@</sup>		3036.1 (6+)	Other E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 590.3 from <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay.
		1135.9 <sup>@</sup>		2492.0 (6 <sup>+</sup> )	Other Ey: 1134.4 from $^{252}$ Cf SF decay.
3846.1	$(8^{+})$	810.0 <sup>@</sup>		3036.1 (6 <sup>+</sup> )	
		1192.7 <sup>@</sup>		2653.3 (6+)	
4054.5		2607.5	100	1446.3 (1,2+)	
		3286.0	100	769.1 2+	
4124.0		1288.0 <sup>#</sup>	100	2836.0	
4175.8	$(10^{+})$	329.8 <sup>@</sup>		3846.1 (8 <sup>+</sup> )	Other E $\gamma$ : 330.4 from <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay.
		548.0 <sup>@</sup>		3627.8 (8 <sup>+</sup> )	Other E $\gamma$ : 549.7 from <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay.
4185.1		1149.0 <sup>#</sup>	100	3036.1 (6+)	
4394.6		1358.5 <sup>#</sup>	100	3036.1 (6 <sup>+</sup> )	
4482.5	$(1,2^+)$	3036.0	33	1446.3 (1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	
		3713.0	33	769.1 2 <sup>+</sup>	
4511 4		4483.0	100	$0.0  0^+$	
4511.4	(10)	3/42.3	100	/69.1 2	
4980.3	(12 <sup>+</sup> )	804.5 <sup>°°</sup>	100	$4175.8 (10^+)$	
5078 S	$(1.2^{+})$	3303.U 3633.0	100	$1440.3 (1,2^+)$ $1446.3 (1,2^+)$	
5070.0	(1,2)	5078.0	100	$0.0 0^+$	

<sup>†</sup> From <sup>92</sup>Br  $\beta^-$  decay, except as noted. <sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  in <sup>248</sup>Cm SF decay. <sup>#</sup> From <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay.

## Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

 $\gamma(^{92}$ Kr) (continued)

<sup>@</sup> From <sup>248</sup>Cm SF decay.
<sup>&</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

### Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level



Legend

### Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

 $--- \rightarrow \gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)



Legend

## Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level





<sup>92</sup><sub>36</sub>Kr<sub>56</sub>