## ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma)$ E=thermal 1982LoZT,1978LoZX

$\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { Full Evaluation }} \quad \frac{\text { Author }}{\text { Coral M. Baglin }} \quad$| History |
| :---: |

Other: 2007ChZX.
2007ChZX: this evaluation includes new elemental cross section measurements for two primary and two secondary transitions.
1982LoZT: thermal neutron capture; natural Zr and ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr}$-enriched targets; $\mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li})$; measured $\mathrm{E} \gamma, \mathrm{I} \gamma$, capture cross sections. data probably supersede those of 1978LoZX.
1978LoZX: thermal neutron capture; enriched target; $\mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li}) ;$ measured $\mathrm{E} \gamma, \mathrm{I} \gamma, \gamma \gamma$ coin.
See separate dataset for ( $\mathrm{n}, \gamma$ ) $\mathrm{E}=$ res data.
$\%$ abundance $\left({ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr}\right)=51.5$ 4. $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}=0.07716(2006 \mathrm{MuZX})$.
1978LoZX give a partial level scheme. the evaluator has removed from this the transitions which were not confirmed In the data of 1982LoZT. Since 1982LoZT give No level scheme and do not differentiate between primary and secondary transitions, the evaluator has added many transitions to the level scheme based on $\mathrm{E} \gamma$, on the likelihood that all low-spin levels below about 3000 keV are already known from other studies, and on the energies of established levels of appropriate $\mathrm{J}^{\pi}$. Levels to which there appears to be primary feeding are shown As tentative if No deexcitation $\gamma$ is reported. The possible placements of a number of unplaced transitions are indicated In comments. the $\gamma$ intensities are not normalized since the level scheme is clearly incomplete; the total primary $\gamma$ intensity is roughly $40 \%$ of the summed $\mathrm{I} \gamma$ feeding to the g.s. In the present level scheme.
$\xrightarrow{{ }^{91} \mathrm{Zr} \text { Levels }}$

| $\mathrm{E}\left(\right.$ level) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{J}^{\pi \ddagger}$ | $\mathrm{E}\left(\right.$ level) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{J}^{\pi \ddagger}$ | $\mathrm{E}\left(\right.$ level) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{J}^{\pi}$ + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5/2 ${ }^{+}$ | 2640.58 | (3/2) ${ }^{-}$ | 3984.38 | $3 / 2^{+}, 5 / 2^{+}$ |
| 1204.88 | $1 / 2^{+}$ | 2694.4? 10 | (3/2) ${ }^{-}$ | 4025.6? 11 | $\left(3 / 2^{+}, 5 / 2^{+}\right)$ |
| 1466.48 | $5 / 2^{+}$ | 2774.9? 10 | (5/2) ${ }^{-}$ | 4162.58 | $3 / 2^{+}, 5 / 2^{+}$ |
| 1881.8? 10 | 7/2+ | 2871.68 | $3 / 2^{+}$ | 4180.07 | $1 / 2^{(+)}, 3 / 2,5 / 2^{+}$ |
| 2042.08 | $3 / 2^{+}$ | 3083.78 | $3 / 2^{+}$ | 4319.98 | $3 / 2^{-}$ |
| 2366.3? 11 |  | 3234.7? 11 | (3/2) ${ }^{-}$ | 4532.7? 11 | $3 / 2^{+}, 5 / 2^{+}$ |
| 2558.38 | $1 / 2^{+}$ | 3288.5? 11 | $3 / 2^{+}$ | 7194.9\# 4 | $1 / 2^{+}$@ |
| 2577.98 | $(3 / 2)^{-}$ | 3476.78 | 1/2-, $3 / 2,5 / 2^{+}$\& |  |  |

$\dagger$ From least-squares fit to $\mathrm{E} \gamma$, assigning an uncertainty of 1 keV to all gammas. This leads to $\mathrm{E}=7194.94$ for the capture state cf. 71933 deduced by 1978LoZX, and the adopted value of $S(n)=7193.94$ (2012Wa38).
$\ddagger$ From Adopted Levels, except as noted.
\# From least-squares fit to E $\gamma$ (cf. $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})=7193.94$ (2012Wa38).
${ }^{@}$ Thermal n capture from $0^{+}$state.
${ }^{\&}$ It is not known whether this level is the $1 / 2^{-}, 3 / 2-3476.1-\mathrm{keV}$ or the $3 / 2^{+}, 5 / 2^{+} 3476.3-\mathrm{keV}$ state (see Adopted Levels).
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## ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathbf{n}, \gamma) \mathrm{E}=$ thermal $1982 \mathrm{LoZT}, 1978 \mathrm{LoZX}$ (continued)

$\underline{\gamma\left({ }^{91} \mathrm{Zr}\right) \text { (continued) }}$

| $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{I}{ }^{\dagger}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{i}($ level) | $\mathrm{J}_{i}^{\pi}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{f}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{f}^{\pi}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {x } 5671.7 ~}{ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\times} 5782.0$ \# | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {x } 5842.0 \# ~}{ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5} 5893.6{ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5989.8 | 1.6 | 7194.9 | $1 / 2^{+}$ | 1204.8 | $1 / 2^{+}$ | other data: $\mathrm{E} \gamma=5990.2$ 3, elemental $\sigma=0.0011122$ (2007ChZX; Budapest data). |
| ${ }^{x} 6026.6{ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{x} 6355.1{ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{x} 6386.5^{\#}$ | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{x} 6627.9^{\#}$ | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7194.4 | 0.3 | 7194.9 | $1 / 2^{+}$ | 0 | 5/2 ${ }^{+}$ |  |

${ }^{\dagger}$ From 1982LoZT, except As noted. authors do not state uncertainties. E $\gamma$ data, quoted by authors to two decimal places, agree with adopted values to better than 0.7 keV ; evaluator has rounded $\mathrm{E} \gamma$ to one decimal place for $\mathrm{E} \gamma>4000$. The summed relative intensities are 61.8 for placed primary transitions, 25.2 for unplaced transitions and 158.0 for placed secondary transitions (tentative placements included), with 149.0 feeding the g.s.

* Reported by 1978LoZX only. since the more extensive data of 1982LoZT do not include this transition, the evaluator questions its assignment to ${ }^{91} \mathrm{Zr}$.
\# Probably a secondary $\gamma$ feeding g.s.; there is an adopted level, known to $\pm 10 \mathrm{keV}$ from (d,p), near this energy, and there is No known low-lying state that a primary $\gamma$ of this energy should populate.
${ }^{@}$ Designated As a secondary $\gamma$ In 1978LoZX. however, if the $5314.5 \gamma$ of 1982LoZT were a secondary $\gamma$ to g.s., an $\mathrm{E} \gamma=1880.4$ primary transition would be expected and could not have been resolved from a secondary $\gamma$ to g.s. from the known $7 / 2^{+}, 1882.2$ level. note that the latter level cannot be fed directly by a primary $\gamma$ from the $1 / 2^{+}$capture state.
${ }^{\&}$ Placement shown As uncertain because No secondary $\gamma$ could be identified with a level fed by a primary $\gamma$ with this E $\gamma$.
${ }^{a}$ For intensity per 100 neutron captures, multiply by $\approx 1.6$.
${ }^{b}$ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.
${ }^{x} \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.
${ }^{90} \mathbf{Z r}(\mathbf{n}, \gamma)$ E=thermal 1982LoZT,1978LoZX Legend


## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative $\mathrm{I}_{\gamma}$

| $\longrightarrow$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\gamma}<2 \% \times \mathrm{I}_{\gamma}^{\text {max }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\longrightarrow$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\gamma}<10 \% \times \mathrm{I}_{\gamma}^{\text {max }}$ |
| $\longrightarrow$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\gamma}>10 \% \times \mathrm{I}_{\gamma}^{\text {max }}$ |
| $\gamma$ Decay |  |
|  | Uncertain) |


${ }_{40}^{91} \mathrm{Zr}_{51}$
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