

**$^{91}\text{Zr}(\text{n},\text{n}'\gamma)$  1979Av02,2013Pe16**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin		NDS 114, 1293 (2013)	1-Sep-2013

1979Av02: fast reactor neutrons. 63.6%  $^{91}\text{Zr}$  target. Ge(Li), FWHM=5 keV at 1.2 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ .

1974Gi06:  $E=1.27\text{-}2.37$  MeV (from  $^3\text{H}(\text{p},\text{n})^3\text{He}$ ) and  $E=3.43\text{-}6.19$  MeV (from  $^2\text{H}(\text{d},\text{n})^3\text{He}$ ). 89%  $^{91}\text{Zr}$  target. Ge(Li), NaI(Tl) anti-Compton spectrometer. Measured  $\gamma$  production cross sections.

2013Pe16:  $E(\text{n})=2.0, 2.5, 2.8, 3.5$  MeV; naturally-occurring Zr metal (99.2% purity) and oxide (99.978% pure  $\text{ZrO}_2$  In polyethylene vial) targets; high-purity Ge detector; measured  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\theta(\text{lab})=40^\circ$   $15050^\circ$ ; deduced level lifetimes using DSAM. Demonstrated 2041-level lifetime dependence on crystal domain size In  $\text{ZrO}_2$  samples, the larger domain sizes giving best agreement with results from amorphous samples.

 **$^{91}\text{Zr}$  Levels**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0 1204.93 10	$5/2^+$		
	$1/2^+$		$J^\pi$ : $1/2^+$ from $\gamma$ excit (1974Gi06).
1466.5 4	$5/2^+$	344 fs +42-33	$J^\pi$ : $5/2^+$ from $\gamma$ excit (1974Gi06). $T_{1/2}$ : 344 fs +58-42 (metal), 344 fs +68-48 (oxide) (2013Pe16); 1466 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=2.0$ MeV.
1882.21 18	$7/2^+$	73 fs +5-4	$J^\pi$ : $7/2^+, 9/2^+$ from $\gamma$ excit (1974Gi06). $T_{1/2}$ : 76 fs 6 (metal), 69 fs +6-5 (oxide) (2013Pe16); 1882 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=2.0$ MeV.
2042.38 20	$3/2^+$	11.8 fs 14	$J^\pi$ : $3/2^+$ from $\gamma$ excit (1974Gi06). $T_{1/2}$ : 11.1 fs 21 (metal), 11.8 fs +21-14 (oxide) (2013Pe16); 2042 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=2.5$ MeV.
2131.63 20	$(9/2)^+$	114 fs +12-10	$J^\pi$ : $(9/2^+)$ from $\gamma$ excit (1974Gi06). $T_{1/2}$ : 115 fs +17-13 (metal), 112 fs +17-13 (oxide) (2013Pe16); 2132 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=2.5$ MeV.
2170.03 20	$(11/2)^-$	333 fs +90-55	$J^\pi$ : $(11/2^-)$ from $\gamma$ excit (1974Gi06).
2189.6 7	$(5/2)^-$		
2200.5 3	$7/2^+$	0.33 ps +9-6	$J^\pi$ : $5/2^+$ preferred over $7/2^+$ in $\gamma$ excit (1974Gi06). $T_{1/2}$ : 344 fs +58-42 (metal), 344 fs +68-48 (oxide) (2013Pe16). 2201 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=2.5$ MeV.
2321.3 6	$(11/2)^-$		
2356.6 7	$(1/2)^-$		
2366.53 20		105 fs +18-14	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 2367 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=2.8$ MeV.
2534.71 22	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	78 fs +19-15	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 652 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=2.8$ MeV.
2558.0 5	$1/2^+$		
2578.0 5	$(3/2)^-$	73 fs +25-17	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 2578 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=2.8$ MeV.
2640.0 4	$(3/2)^-$	92 fs +32-21	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 2640 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=3.5$ MeV.
2693.6 5	$(3/2)^-$	22 fs +6-5	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 2694 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=3.5$ MeV.
2764.9 8	$(13/2)^-$		
2775.2 5	$(5/2)^-$	129 fs +50-31	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 2775 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=3.5$ MeV.
2791.6 3			
2811.9 8	$(7/2^+)$	24 fs +5-4	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 2811 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=3.5$ MeV.
2835.7? 5	$(3/2, 5/2, 7/2)^-$		
2871.0 8	$3/2^+$		
2895.8? 6			Level not adopted; the 726 $\gamma$ tentatively deexciting it in $(\text{n},\text{n}'\gamma)$ probably deexcites the adopted 2857 level, absent in 1979Av02.
2902.4 8	$(7/2)^+$		
2914.3 5	$(9/2^+)$		
2928.4 10	$(3/2, 5/2)^+$	61 fs +15-11	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 2928 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=3.5$ MeV.
2992.1 7			
3007.7 8	$5/2^-, 7/2^-$	94 fs +43-26	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 3008 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=3.5$ MeV.
3083.1 8	$3/2^+$	17 fs +6-4	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 3083 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=3.5$ MeV.
3107.9 8	$7/2^+, 9/2^+$	38 fs +8-6	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 3108 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=3.5$ MeV.
3234.8 10	$(3/2)^-$	27 fs +6-8	$T_{1/2}$ : for metal target (2013Pe16); 3235 $\gamma$ and $E(\text{n})=3.5$ MeV.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{91}\text{Zr}(\text{n},\text{n}'\gamma)$  **1979Av02,2013Pe16 (continued)** $^{91}\text{Zr}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>
3276.6? 6	
3290.4? 5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>
3331.1 15	1/2 <sup>+</sup>

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to E $\gamma$ .<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels. J $^\pi$  from Hauser-Feshbach calculations (Moldauer formulation) ([1974Gl06](#)) are given under comments.# From DSAM ([2013Pe16](#)). uncertainties are statistical only. $\gamma(^{91}\text{Zr})$ 

E $_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	E $_i$ (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E $_f$	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
151.3 5	1.1 3	2321.3	(11/2) <sup>-</sup>	2170.03	(11/2) <sup>-</sup>			
<sup>x</sup> 214.2 <sup>#</sup> 3	3 1							
<sup>x</sup> 434.7 4	0.6 2							
443.6 6	1.7 6	2764.9	(13/2) <sup>-</sup>	2321.3	(11/2) <sup>-</sup>			
<sup>x</sup> 637.9 5	0.4 2							
652.5 2	3.4 9	2534.71	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1882.21	7/2 <sup>+</sup>			
660.0 2	2.7 8	2791.6		2131.63	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>			
712.6 5	1.0 3	2902.4	(7/2) <sup>+</sup>	2189.6	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>			
725.8 <sup>&amp;</sup> 5	4.1 12	2895.8?		2170.03	(11/2) <sup>-</sup>			Probably misplaced; see comment on 2896 level.
732.4 <sup>&amp;</sup> 5	2.4 7	2775.2	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	2042.38	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
770.5 10	0.8 3	2811.9	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2042.38	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
782.7 4	5.4 16	2914.3	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2131.63	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>			
791.6 6	1.9 7	2992.1		2200.5	7/2 <sup>+</sup>			
<sup>x</sup> 795.9 8	1.3 5							
<sup>x</sup> 902.7 2	3.8 12							
1068.0 5	2.1 4	2534.71	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1466.5	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1151.7 7	2.5 6	2356.6	(1/2) <sup>-</sup>	1204.93	1/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1204.92 10	50 5	1204.93	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1248.0 <sup>&amp;</sup> 4	1.8 7	3290.4?	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	2042.38	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1369.2 <sup>&amp;</sup> 3	4 1	2835.7?	(3/2,5/2,7/2) <sup>-</sup>	1466.5	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1466.2 <sup>@</sup> 5	100	1466.5	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			A <sub>2</sub> =+0.02 7 ( <a href="#">1979Av02</a> ).
<sup>x</sup> 1619.7 2	1.8 4							
<sup>x</sup> 1689.3 5	1.4 5							
<sup>x</sup> 1752.6 3	4.1 13							
1810.1 <sup>&amp;</sup> 4	1.4 5	3276.6?		1466.5	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			A <sub>2</sub> =+0.21 9 ( <a href="#">1979Av02</a> ).
1882.2 2	55 5	1882.21	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)	+1.0 +27-4	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.21 9 ( <a href="#">1979Av02</a> ).
2042.4 2	20 2	2042.38	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1(+E2))		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.03 4 ( <a href="#">1979Av02</a> ).
2131.6 2	46 4	2131.63	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)		$\delta$ : -10< $\delta$ <+0.1 ( <a href="#">1979Av02</a> ).
2170.0 2	43 4	2170.03	(11/2) <sup>-</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E3)		A <sub>2</sub> =+0.21 6 ( <a href="#">1979Av02</a> ) (+0.21 expected for stretched Q).
2189.0 9	12 5	2189.6	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			A <sub>2</sub> =+0.50 10 ( <a href="#">1979Av02</a> ) (0.48 expected for octupole transition).
2200.5 3	23 2	2200.5	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.16 10 ( <a href="#">1979Av02</a> ). $\delta$ : -0.20 +25-80 or -2.3 +13-37 ( <a href="#">1979Av02</a> ).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 **$^{91}\text{Zr}(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}'\gamma)$  1979Av02,2013Pe16 (continued)**


---

 $\gamma(^{91}\text{Zr})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	Comments
2366.5 2	12 2	2366.53		0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
2534.8 4	4.4 8	2534.71	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
2558.0 5	2.4 4	2558.0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
2578.0 5	4 1	2578.0	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
2640.0 4	3.2 6	2640.0	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
2693.6 5	4.5 9	2693.6	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E1(+M2))	-0.3 +3-7	$\delta$ : other solution, $\delta=-1.8 +9-52$ , is improbable for E1+M2. $A_2=-0.18$ I2 (1979Av02).
<sup>x</sup> 2724.4 8	0.6 2							
<sup>x</sup> 2747.9 9	0.7 3							
2775.2 5	2.8 7	2775.2	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
2810.8 10	4.3 9	2811.9	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
2871.0 8	1.4 4	2871.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
2903.1 10	0.8 3	2902.4	(7/2) <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
2928.3 10	3.0 10	2928.4	(3/2,5/2) <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
3007.6 8	1.9 5	3007.7	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
3083.0 8	1.4 5	3083.1	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
3107.8 8	2.0 6	3107.9	7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
3234.7 10	0.9 4	3234.8	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
3331.0 15	1.2 5	3331.1	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> From 1979Av02. E $\gamma$  data from 1974Gl06 are in excellent agreement with those of 1979Av02, but are less precise.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  (1979Av02); A<sub>2</sub> from  $\gamma(\theta)$  is given in comments, A<sub>4</sub> (not given explicitly by authors) is small in all cases.  $\Delta\pi$  is assumed from adopted level scheme.

# Possibly an impurity line.

@ From 1974Gl06. 1979Av02 used E $\gamma$ =1466.24 as calibration energy.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

