

^{91}Zr IT decay 2009Ho07

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 114, 1293 (2013)	1-Sep-2013

Parent: ^{91}Zr : E=3167.3 4; $J^\pi=(21/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=4.35 \mu\text{s}$ 14; %IT decay=100.0

^{91}Zr -E, J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels.

Isomer populated by bombarding a 99% isotopically-enriched ^{13}C foil with a 7.4 MeV/nucleon $^{86}\text{Kr}^{21+}$ beam;

achromatically-focussed evaporation residues implanted after a flight path of 520 ns into Pb foil surrounded by 14 HPGe detectors, two of which were equipped with BGO Compton-suppression shields; measured E_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ coin.

The level scheme was constructed by the evaluator to accommodate the ^{91}Zr transitions identified In fig. 2 of 2009Ho07 In accord with Adopted Levels, Gammas.

^{91}Zr Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	5/2 ⁺		
2131	(9/2) ⁺		
2288	(15/2) ⁻		
2320	(11/2) ⁻		
2857	(13/2) ⁺		
3147	(17/2) ⁺		
3167.3 4	(21/2) ⁺	4.35 μs 14	%IT=100 E(level), $T_{1/2}$, J^π : from Adopted Levels.

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ , assigning equal weight to all transitions, except As noted.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

$\gamma(^{91}\text{Zr})$

E_γ [†]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	α [@]	Comments
(20.4 [#])	3167.3	(21/2 ⁺)	3147	(17/2) ⁺	[E2]	341	$\alpha(\text{K})=72.6$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=224$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=39.7$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=4.78$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.76$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.01399$ 20
537	2857	(13/2) ⁺	2320	(11/2) ⁻	E2	0.001472 21	$\alpha=0.001472$ 21; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.001296$ 19; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0001468$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.55 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=3.84 \times 10^{-6}$ $\alpha(\text{N})=3.59 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.46 \times 10^{-7}$ 4
726	2857	(13/2) ⁺	2131	(9/2) ⁺			
859	3147	(17/2) ⁺	2288	(15/2) ⁻	[E3]	0.00200 3	$\alpha=0.00200$ 3; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.001750$ 25; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000207$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.59 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=5.38 \times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=5.05 \times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.35 \times 10^{-7}$ 5
879	3167.3	(21/2 ⁺)	2288	(15/2) ⁻			
(2131.4 [#])	2131	(9/2) ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	(E2)	0.000515 8	$\alpha=0.000515$ 8; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0001280$ 18; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.388 \times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.40 \times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000371$ $\alpha(\text{N})=3.42 \times 10^{-7}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.45 \times 10^{-8}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.000371$ 6

[†] From γ - γ coincidence spectrum In fig. 2 of 2009Ho07. Authors quote E_γ to the nearest keV and give No uncertainties.

[‡] From Adopted Gammas.

[#] From Adopted Gammas; γ expected, but E_γ is outside energy range for spectrum shown In fig. 2 of 2009Ho07.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

${}^{91}\text{Zr}$ IT decay 2009Ho07 (continued) $\gamma({}^{91}\text{Zr})$ (continued)

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

 ${}^{91}\text{Zr}$ IT decay 2009Ho07

Legend

Decay Scheme

%IT=100.0

-----► γ Decay (Uncertain)