

$^{90}\text{Zr}(\text{pol d,p}) \quad 1973\text{Ra18}$ 

| Type            | Author          | History              | Literature Cutoff Date |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Full Evaluation | Coral M. Baglin | NDS 114, 1293 (2013) | 1-Sep-2013             |

Others: [1978Ba13](#), [1979St23](#), [1984Ha26](#) (E=56 MeV, 4 levels), [1990Na34](#).

[1973Ra18](#): E=11 and 12 MeV. 98%  $^{90}\text{Zr}$  target;  $\theta(\text{c.m.}) \approx 15^\circ$  to  $165^\circ$ ; semi, FWHM=65 keV for 12 MeV data, 165 keV for 11 MeV data. Measured vector analyzing power.

[1973Ro16](#): E=10.0 MeV. 98%  $^{90}\text{Zr}$  target; semi telescope; FWHM=40 keV for  $\theta(\text{lab}) \geq 15^\circ$ , FWHM=330 keV for  $\theta(\text{lab}) < 15^\circ$ . Measured vector and tensor analyzing power for four levels;  $\theta(\text{c.m.}) \approx 5^\circ$  to  $130^\circ$ .

[1978Ba13](#): E=12.3 MeV (0, 1200, 2030 levels; analyzing power).

[1979St23](#): E=5.6-9.0 MeV (g.s. only; measured analyzing power; DWBA and resonance analysis).

[1984Ha26](#): E=56 MeV (four levels).

[1990Na34](#): E=18 MeV (two levels); measured polarization transfer coefficients.

 $^{91}\text{Zr}$  Levels

| E(level) <sup>†</sup> | J <sup>‡</sup>                        | L <sup>‡</sup>   | S <sup>#</sup>         | Comments  |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|
| 0                     | 5/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 2                | 1.09                   |   |
| 1205                  | 1/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 0                | 0.88                   |   |
| 1466                  | 5/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 2                | 0.032                  |   |
| 1882                  | 7/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 4                | 0.11                   |   |
| 2042                  | 3/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 2                | 0.78                   |   |
| 2170 <sup>@</sup>     | [11/2 <sup>-</sup> ] <sup>@</sup>     | (5) <sup>@</sup> | 0.42 <sup>@</sup>      |   |
| 2201 <sup>@</sup>     | 7/2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>@</sup>         | (4) <sup>@</sup> | 0.55 <sup>@</sup>      |   |
| 2321                  |                                       |                  |                        |   |
| 2558                  | 1/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 0                | 0.32                   |   |
| 2694                  | (3/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>      |                  |                        |   |
| 2811                  | (5/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>      |                  |                        |   |
| 2871 <sup>&amp;</sup> | 3/2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>&amp;</sup>     | 2                | 0.083 <sup>&amp;</sup> |   |
| 2928 <sup>&amp;</sup> | NOT 1/2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>&amp;</sup> |                  |                        | L: not 0.   |
| 3083                  | 3/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 2                | 0.16                   |   |
| 3290                  | 3/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 2                | 0.22                   |   |
| 3469                  | 7/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 4                | 0.58                   |   |
| 3554                  | 7/2 <sup>+</sup>                      |                  |                        |   |
| 3681                  | 3/2 <sup>+</sup>                      | 2                | 0.16                   |   |
| 3850                  | (5/2 <sup>+</sup> )                   |                  |                        | Weak transition; analyzing power data have poor statistics.   |
| 3908                  |                                       |                  |                        | L=2+5 doublet expected, but no combination of spins could reproduce the analyzing power data.                                     |
| 4007                  |                                       |                  |                        | Observed but not analyzed because this is probably a multiplet of levels whose L values are unknown ( <a href="#">1973Ra18</a> ). |

<sup>†</sup> Levels observed by [1973Ra18](#). The energies are rounded-off values from Adopted Levels. [1973Ra18](#) did not determine energies; they adopted the energies of the (d,p) measurement of [1970Bi03](#) for their angular distribution curves. These energies are about 10 keV lower at 2 MeV and 20 keV lower at 3 MeV than the adopted values.

<sup>‡</sup> From DWBA analysis of  $\sigma(\theta)$  and vector analyzing power ([1973Ra18](#)).

<sup>#</sup> From DWBA ([1973Ra18](#)). Other C<sup>2</sup>S: [1984Ha26](#) (four levels).

<sup>@</sup> The 2170 and 2201 levels form a doublet in [1973Ra18](#).  $\sigma(\theta)$  is reproduced well by a superposition of L=5 and L=4 DWBA predictions; the analyzing power determines  $J^\pi(2201)=7/2^+$ , regardless of  $J(2170)$ , but fails to determine  $J(2170)$ , possibly due to inadequacies of L=5 DWBA predictions ([1973Ra18](#)). S is deduced assuming  $J^\pi(2170)=11/2^-$ .

<sup>&</sup> The 2871 and 2928 levels form a doublet in [1973Ra18](#). Since the 2928 level is relatively weakly excited, [1973Ra18](#) were unable to determine either L or J for it, but could rule out L=0.

<sup>a</sup> Weak transition. [1973Ra18](#) assumed L=2 but adopted value differs; hence, their tentative J assignment is not valid and is not adopted.