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$\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { Full Evaluation }} \frac{\text { Author }}{\text { Coral M. Baglin }} \quad \frac{\text { Citation }}{\text { NDS 114, 1293 (2013) }} \quad \frac{\text { Literature Cutoff Date }}{1-S e p-2013}$
$\mathrm{E}\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=80 \mathrm{MeV}$ from the HI-13 tandem accelerator at the China Institute of Atomic Energy; $96 \%$ enriched, $2.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ thick
${ }^{76} \mathrm{Ge}$ target evaporated onto $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~Pb}$ backing; 14 Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors (four at $90^{\circ}$, five at $48^{\circ}$ and five at $132^{\circ}$ ); measured $\mathrm{E} \gamma, \mathrm{I} \gamma, \gamma \gamma$ coin, $\gamma \gamma(\theta)(\mathrm{DCO})(\mathrm{DCO}$ values unstated in 2010 He 15 ).
Theoretical interpretation is given in terms of weak coupling between a $\mathrm{g}_{9 / 2}$ proton and ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr}$ core states (for low E), and multi-particle excitations for high-energy states.
${ }^{91} \mathrm{Nb}$ Levels

| $\mathrm{E}\left(\right.$ level) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{J}^{\pi \ddagger}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.0{ }^{\text {@ }}$ | 9/2+ |  |  |
| 2034.08 | (17/2-) | $3.76 \mu \mathrm{~s} 12$ | $\mathrm{J}^{\pi}, \mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ : from Adopted Levels. deexcitation of this isomer not studied by 2010 He 15 . |
| $2290.4{ }^{@} 3$ | 13/2+ |  |  |
| 3110.0 @ 5 | 17/2+ |  |  |
| 3466.4@ 6 | 21/2+ |  |  |
| 4096.57 | 19/2- |  |  |
| 4350.9@ 6 | 21/2+ |  |  |
| $4848.0{ }^{@} 7$ | 23/2+ |  |  |
| 5034.0 @ 8 | $\left(25 / 2^{+}\right)$ |  |  |
| 5183.9 \& 6 | (23/2+) |  |  |
| $5455.3{ }^{\text {@ }} 8$ | (27/2+) |  |  |
| $5543.0^{a} 6$ | (21/2-) |  |  |
| 6087.9\& 7 | $\left(25 / 2^{+}\right)$ |  |  |
| $6273.3^{a} 7$ | (25/2-) |  | $\mathrm{J}^{\pi}$ : inconsistent with proposed D $730 \gamma$ deexcitation to $\left(21 / 2^{-}\right) 5543$. $\mathrm{J}=(19 / 2$ to $23 / 2)$ if $\mathrm{J}(5543)$ is correct. |
| $6518.3{ }^{\text {@ }} 8$ | $\left(29 / 2^{+}\right)$ |  |  |
| $6918.8^{a} 8$ | (27/2-) |  |  |
| $7437.6{ }^{\text {@ }} 8$ | $\left(31 / 2^{+}\right)$ |  |  |
| 8099.2@ 9 | (33/2+) |  |  |
| 8630.2? ${ }^{\text {\# }} 13$ |  |  |  |
| 8846.2@ 13 | $\left(37 / 2^{+}\right)$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9437.2 \text { ?\# } 17 \\ & 10137.220 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{\dagger}$ From least-squares fit to $\mathrm{E} \gamma$ (by evaluator), assigning 1 keV uncertainty to all data; no uncertainty was stated by the authors.
$\ddagger$ Authors' proposed values. Based on measured DCO ratios and comparison with neighboring odd-a nuclides.
\# Order of the $531 \gamma-807 \gamma-700 \gamma$ cascade is not established, thus, alternative energy values are possible for the intermediate levels if the order differs from that shown in the level scheme in figure 1 of 2010 He 15.
${ }^{@} \operatorname{Band}(\mathrm{~A})$ : sequence based on g.s..
${ }^{\&} \operatorname{Band}(B)$ : sequence based on $\left(23 / 2^{+}\right)$.
${ }^{a} \operatorname{Band}(\mathrm{C})$ : sequence based on $\left(21 / 2^{-}\right)$.

${ }^{\dagger}$ From e-mail reply on Oct 24, 2010 from C. He (first author of 2010 He 15 ), unless otherwise stated.
${ }^{\ddagger} \mathrm{DCO}$ values correspond to $48^{\circ}\left(132^{\circ}\right)$ and $90^{\circ}$ geometry with gates on $\Delta \mathrm{J}=2, \mathrm{Q}$ transitions. Expected ratios are $\approx 1.0$ for $\Delta \mathrm{J}=2, \mathrm{Q}$ and $\approx 0.6$ for $\Delta \mathrm{J}=1$, D transitions. assignments are taken from e-mail reply of Oct 24,2010 from C. He (first author of 2010 He 15 ), except as noted; based on indicated DCO ratios, but those data cannot determine $\Delta \pi$ and many have such large uncertainties that even $\Delta \mathrm{J}$ assignments become difficult. IT should also be noted that several assignments given in the email reply
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$\underline{\gamma\left({ }^{91} \mathrm{Nb}\right) \text { (continued) }}$
are inconsistent with the authors' level scheme (as noted here for the relevant transitions).
\# From level-scheme Figure 1 of 2010 He 15 . Order of the $531 \gamma-80 \gamma 7-700 \gamma$ cascade is not established.
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Level Scheme
Legend

Intensities: Relative $\mathrm{I}_{\gamma}$
$\rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{\gamma}<2 \% \times \mathrm{I}_{\gamma}^{\max }$
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