⁹¹Tc ε decay (3.14 min) 1976De37

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 114, 1293 (2013)	1-Sep-2013					

Parent: ⁹¹Tc: E=0.0; $J^{\pi}=(9/2)^+$; $T_{1/2}=3.14$ min 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=6222$ 7; $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$ decay=100.0 Other measurements: 1974Ia01 (E(β^+)=5.2 MeV 3), 1956Sm96.

1976De37:Ge(Li) anti-Compton spectrometer. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$. A complete description of the experiment together with the complete set of data, is reported in 1975DeZX.

⁹¹Mo Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi \dagger}$	T _{1/2} †	E(level)	$\mathrm{J}^{\pi}^{\dagger}$
0.0	9/2+	15.49 min <i>1</i>	1639.95 8	(7/2,9/2+)
652.99 [‡] 10	$1/2^{-}$	64.6 s 6	1902.49 7	9/2+
1156.23 16	$3/2^{-}$		2233.70 9	9/2+
1362.02 8	$5/2^{+}$		2450.99 9	9/2+
1414.21 20	$13/2^{(+)}$		2492.16 17	
1532.0 <i>3</i>	$5/2^{-}$		2716.44 7	$(7/2, 9/2)^+$
1564.93 9			2781.12 8	$(7/2^+, 9/2^+, 11/2^+)$
1605.32 7			2887.54 13	$(9/2^+, 11/2^+)$

[†] From Adopted Levels.

^{\ddagger} γ feeding to 653 level: 0.69% 7.

E(decay)	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\beta^+$ ‡	Ie‡	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\ddagger}$	Comments
(3334 7)	2887.54	2.0 3	0.44 7	5.82 8	2.4 4	av Eβ=1035.7 33; εK=0.1590 13; εL=0.01921 15; εM+=0.00442 4
(3441 7)	2781.12	3.6 6	0.70 11	5.64 7	4.3 7	av Eβ=1085.0 33; εK=0.1419 11; εL=0.01714 13; εM+=0.00394 3
(3506 7)	2716.44	8.1 14	1.4 2	5.35 8	9.5 16	av Eβ=1115.1 33; εK=0.1326 10; εL=0.01601 12; εM+=0.00368 3
(3730 7)	2492.16	1.3 3	0.18 4	6.30 9	1.5 3	av Eβ=1219.8 33; εK=0.1057 8; εL=0.01275 9; εM+=0.002930 21
(3771 7)	2450.99	19 4	2.6 5	5.16 8	22 4	av Eβ=1239.1 33; εK=0.1015 7; εL=0.01225 9; εM+=0.002814 20
(3988 7)	2233.70	1.1 3	0.11 3	6.56 11	1.2 3	av Eβ=1341.0 33; εK=0.0826 6; εL=0.00996 7; εM+=0.002290 15
(4320 7)	1902.49	2.5 7	0.19 5	6.41 12	2.7 7	av Eβ=1497.3 34; εK=0.0617 4; εL=0.00744 5; εM+=0.001709 11
(4582 7)	1639.95	2.8 7	0.17 4	6.51 11	3.0 7	av Eβ=1621.8 34; εK=0.0498 3; εL=0.00600 4; εM+=0.001378 8
(4617 7)	1605.32	2.4 6	0.14 3	6.60 11	2.5 6	av $E\beta$ =1638.3 34; ε K=0.0485 3; ε L=0.00584 4; ε M+=0.001341 8
(4657 7)	1564.93	4.5 9	0.26 5	6.34 9	4.8 9	av Eβ=1657.5 34; εK=0.0470 3; εL=0.00566 3; εM+=0.001300 8
(4690 7)	1532.0	0.48 10	0.027 6	7.33 10	0.51 11	av Eβ=1673.1 34; εK=0.04577 25; εL=0.00551 3; εM+=0.001267 7
(4808 [#] 7)	1414.21	0.73 13	0.037 7	7.21 8	0.77 [†] 14	av E β =1729.2 34; ε K=0.04186 22; ε L=0.00504 3; ε M+=0.001158 7
(4860 7)	1362.02	2.3 5	0.11 2	6.75 9	2.4 [†] 5	av Eβ=1754.1 34; εK=0.04026 21; εL=0.00485 3; εM+=0.001114 6

 ε, β^+ radiations

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

				⁹¹ Τc ε	decay (3.14 n	nin)	1976De37 (continued)		
					ϵ, β^+ radi	iations	(continued)		
E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ ‡	$I\varepsilon^{\ddagger}$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^\ddagger$			Comments	
(6222 7)	0.0	41 10	0.81 19	6.10 11	42 10	av E¢ EM	8=2408.7 <i>34</i> ; εK=0.016 I+=0.0004651 <i>1</i>	84 7; εL=0.002025 8;	

[†] log *ft* is too small, given the high degree of forbiddenness; probably results from incompleteness of the decay scheme.
[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.
[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$\gamma(^{91}{ m Mo})$

I γ normalization: Calculated from intensity imbalance at excited states using theoretical $I(\beta^+)/(I(\beta^+)+I(\varepsilon))$ and $I\gamma(\gamma^{\pm}, \text{ both decays})=15400\ 2000$, assuming

 $I\gamma(\gamma^{\pm}, 3.3\text{-min decay})=3630\ 260$ as deduced from the decay scheme for that decay.

ω

Since the difference in the half-lives of the two ⁹¹Tc decays is too small to establish the assignment of γ 's to specific isomer decays, the assignments are based on the feeding of levels with previously known J^{π}. Levels with a transition to the g.s. are presumed to be fed in the 3.14-min decay from the ⁹¹Tc (9/2⁺) g.s. The γ -rays unplaced in the decay scheme could not be assigned unambiguously to either decay. Ground-state γ transitions from levels above 4600 keV could not be seen by 1976De37 because their energy exceeds the detector energy range.

Due to the large number of unplaced γ 's, weak $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ feedings should be regarded with caution.

E_{γ}	Ι _γ &	E _i (level)	J^{π}_i	\mathbf{E}_{f}	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [†]	α^{a}	Comments
205.6 4	3.1 10	1362.02	5/2+	1156.23	3/2-	[E1]	0.01572	α (K)=0.01383 21; α (L)=0.001569 24; α (M)=0.000279 5; α (N+)=4.43×10 ⁻⁵ 7 α (N)=4.20×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α (O)=2.25×10 ⁻⁶ 4
217.8 2	9.0 10	2450.99	9/2+	2233.70	9/2+			
277.9 2	39 4	1639.95	$(7/2, 9/2^+)$	1362.02	5/2+			
297.1 2	14.9 15	1902.49	9/2+	1605.32				
337.5 2	72 12	1902.49	9/2+	1564.93				
375.8 2	32 4	1532.0	5/2-	1156.23	3/2-			
483.2 6	68 5	2716.44	$(7/2, 9/2)^+$	2233.70	9/2+			
502.9 2	44 [@] 4	1156.23	3/2-	652.99	$1/2^{-}$			
548.7 <i>3</i>	105 6	2450.99	9/2+	1902.49	9/2+			
^x 562.0 5	11.4 12		,					Possibly coincident with 1639γ (1976De37).
628.4 <i>3</i>	479	2233.70	9/2+	1605.32				
652.9 1		652.99	1/2-	0.0	9/2+	M4	0.0374	α(K)=0.0321 5; α(L)=0.00440 7; α(M)=0.000800 12; α(N+)=0.0001265 18 α(N)=0.0001203 17; α(O)=6.21×10-6 9 I(γ+ce): intensity imbalance=44 4 assuming negligible ε branch to 653 level. Iγ: 28 3 in equilibrium deduced from the decay scheme.
668.8 <i>10</i> ^x 690.8 <i>12</i>	20 <i>10</i> 4.3 <i>9</i>	2233.70	9/2+	1564.93				
811.0 5	320 20	2450.99	9/2+	1639.95	$(7/2, 9/2^+)$			
813.9 5	110 20	2716.44	$(7/2,9/2)^+$	1902.49	9/2+			
844.9 <i>3</i>	76 8	2450.99	9/2+	1605.32	-			
851.8 <i>3</i>	54 7	2492.16		1639.95	$(7/2, 9/2^+)$			
878.4 <i>1</i>	69 5	2781.12	$(7/2^+, 9/2^+, 11/2^+)$	1902.49	9/2+			
^x 902.8 2	101 5							
^x 935.9 2	13.3 12							
985.0 4	13.9 9	2887.54	$(9/2^+, 11/2^+)$	1902.49	9/2+			
^x 992.7 5	13.9 9							
1076.5 2	59 4	2716.44	$(7/2,9/2)^+$	1639.95	(7/2,9/2 ⁺)			

⁹¹ Tc ε decay (3.14 min) 1976De37 (continued)											
γ ⁽⁹¹ Mo) (continued)											
Eγ	Ι _γ &	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [†]	α^{a}	Comments			
1088.9 <i>4</i> 1111.1 <i>1</i> <i>x</i> 1146.7 <i>4</i> <i>x</i> 1244.2 <i>3</i> <i>x</i> 1255.6 <i>3</i>	36.7 23 199 7 9.1 11 6.7 9 6.7 10	2450.99 2716.44	9/2 ⁺ (7/2,9/2) ⁺	1362.02 1605.32	5/2+						
x1286.0 2 1322.6 2 1354.4 2 1362.0 1	18.1 <i>17</i> 43.9 20 45.5 <i>19</i> 273 [#] <i>12</i>	2887.54 2716.44 1362.02	(9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺) (7/2,9/2) ⁺ 5/2 ⁺	1564.93 1362.02 0.0	5/2+ 9/2+						
^x 1379.1 3 1414.2 2	5.5 <i>10</i> 49.0 <i>30</i>	1414.21	13/2 ⁽⁺⁾	0.0	9/2+	E2	0.000421 6	α =0.000421 6; α (K)=0.000323 5; α (L)=3.60×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α (M)=6.42×10 ⁻⁶ 9; α (N+)=5.60×10 ⁻⁵ 8 α (N)=9.78×10 ⁻⁷ 14; α (O)=5.56×10 ⁻⁸ 8; α (IPF)=5.49×10 ⁻⁵ 8			
^x 1491.4 4	11.7 10										
^x 1549.9 [‡] 4	5.3 7	1564.02		0.0	0/2+						
1564.9 1	438 13 495 15	1564.93		0.0	$9/2^+$ $9/2^+$						
1639.9 I x1650.4 2 x1671 1 3	583 <i>17</i> 35.9 <i>19</i>	1639.95	(7/2,9/2+)	0.0	9/2 ⁺						
1731.0 2	9.1 8 8.7 8	2887.54	$(9/2^+, 11/2^+)$	1156.23	3/2-						
$x^{x}1752.0^{\ddagger} 3$ $x^{x}1762.7 2$	6.3 8 7.1 8										
$x^{1795.4}$	7.1 17										
x1890.0 [‡] 2	6.0 9	1002 40	0/2+	0.0	0/2+						
$x^{2173.0.2}$	19.3 <i>10</i>	1902.49	9/2	0.0	9/2			Coincident with 375γ (1976De37).			
2233.8 <i>1</i> x2296.3 <i>2</i>	83.4 <i>35</i> 30.1 <i>15</i>	2233.70	9/2+	0.0	9/2+						
$x^{2397.3}$ 2	5.2 5	2450.00	0/2+	0.0	0/2+						
2492.3 2	38.6 15	2430.99	9/2	0.0	9/2 9/2 ⁺						
x2517.1 3	4.9 5	,			~1=						
^x 2527.4 3	41.6 15										
^x 2540.7 7 ^x 2580.8 3	4.4 6 7 8 6										
x2664.0 4	3.4 6										
2716.4 1	117 4	2716.44	(7/2,9/2)+	0.0	9/2+						
x2724.1 3	8.2 <i>6</i>	2701 12	$(7/2^+ 0/2^+ 11/2^+)$	0.0	0/2+						
² /81.3 <i>I</i> ^x 2793.7 2	16.0 12	2/01.12	(1/2,9/2,11/2)	0.0	9/2						
x2820.4 3	6.0 6										

4

 $^{91}_{42}\mathrm{Mo}_{49}\text{-}4$

L

 $^{91}_{42}\mathrm{Mo}_{49}$ -4

From ENSDF

				⁹¹]	Γ c ε decay (3.14	min)	1976De37
					$\gamma(9)$	¹ Mo) (co	ontinued)
E_{γ}	Ιγ &	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	$\mathbf{E}_f \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Eγ	Ιγ ^{&}	E _i (level)
^x 2859.6 2	8.6 6				^x 3645.7 15	2.6 4	
2887.8 2	88 <i>3</i>	2887.54	$(9/2^+, 11/2^+)$	0.0 9/2+	x3651.6 15	2.6 4	
^x 3009.2 2	15.9 <i>13</i>				^x 3669.8 10	1.4 2	
x3045.6 <i>3</i>	7.0 6				x3701.1 6	3.9 2	
^x 3081.4 6	2.2 4				^x 3737.0 9	7.4 5	
^x 3118.3 <i>3</i>	15.3 7				^x 3776.3 [‡] 20	1.5 3	
^x 3167.6 4	4.4 5				^x 3833.4 9	1.3 2	
^x 3197.4 4	11.5 14				^x 3887.2 8	1.8 <i>3</i>	
^x 3235.7 [‡] 4	2.0 3				^x 3907.8 [‡] 8	2.5 3	
^x 3250.1 [‡] 6	0.7 2				^x 3937.2 5	2.4 3	
^x 3279.8 3	2.6 5				^x 4033.5 [‡] 6	1.6 4	
^x 3307.8 [‡] 6	1.3 4				^x 4046.7 4	3.2 4	
^x 3374.0 10	1.1 4				^x 4056.3 4	6.0 4	
^x 3381.2 5	3.4 4				^x 4075.6 [‡] 4	5.3 6	
^x 3403.7 4	5.0 5				$x_{4086.2}$ 4	4.3 4	
^x 3419.4 4	12.8 9				^x 4118.9 4	3.7 4	
^x 3453.6 5	7.3 6				^x 4199.1 8	1.9 <i>3</i>	
^x 3474.3 [‡] 9	1.0 2				^x 4216.7 8	3.3 <i>3</i>	
x3531.0 3	13.3 7				^x 4229.8 9	1.9 <i>3</i>	
^x 3541.8 3	6.0 5				^x 4401.1 10	1.1 2	
^x 3592.9 <i>3</i>	10.5 8				^x 4445.8 15	1.7 3	
^x 3627.5 [‡] 15	0.9 <i>3</i>				^x 4592.9 9	1.1 4	

[†] From Adopted Gammas.

S

[±] γ -ray not assigned unambiguously to ⁹¹Tc ε decay. [#] Intensity from 3.3-min decay has been subtracted. I $\gamma(206)=3.6\ 10$ and I $\gamma(1362)=320\ 12$ for (3.14 min + 3.3 min) decay. [@] Calculated from intensity balance assuming there is no (ε + β ⁺) feeding to the 652 level (Δ J=4) or 1156 level (Δ J=3) in this decay. [&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0158\ 25.

^{*a*} Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

(continued)

 $x \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.

⁹¹₄₂Mo₄₉-6

⁹¹Tc ε decay (3.14 min) 1976De37

