

^{90}Rh ε decay (0.56 s) 2019Pa16

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. K. Basu, E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 165, 1 (2020)		1-Mar-2020

Parent: ^{90}Rh : E=0.0+x; $J^\pi=(6,7,8)$; $T_{1/2}=0.56$ s 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=13.2\times 10^3$ 3; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay=100.0

^{90}Rh -Q(ε): value is from systematics from 2017Wa10. In 2019Pa16, the Q value is measured as 13.19 MeV +150 –116 from endpoint energy measurements.

2019Pa16: ^{90}Rh produced from fragmentation of a ^{124}Xe beam at E=345 MeV/nucleon on a 740 mg/cm² ^9Be target at RIKEN, Japan. Fragments separated by $B\rho$ -ΔE- $B\rho$ method in the first stages of BigRIPS and then $B\rho$ -TOF- $B\rho$ measurements using later stage of BigRIPS and the ZeroDegree spectrometer. Ions were implanted in WAS3ABi consisting of three double-sided silicon strip detectors and ten single-sided silicon strip detectors. Gamma rays were detected using the Euroball-RIKEN Cluster Array (EURICA) consisting of 84 HPGe crystals arranged in 12 clusters. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, β spectra, $E(p)$, $I(p)$, $\beta\gamma$ -coin, βp -coin, $\gamma\beta p$ -coin, half-life, β -delayed proton emission probability.

α : Additional information 1.

 ^{90}Ru Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]
0.0	0 ⁺
738.10 10	2 ⁺
1638.31 22	4 ⁺
2524.0 3	6 ⁺
2802.2 3	(6 ⁺)
2954.9 3	(6 ⁺)

[†] From $E\gamma$.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [‡]	$I\varepsilon$ [‡]	$\log ft$ [†]	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ [‡]	Comments
(1.02×10 ⁴ 3)	2954.9	18 5	0.081 24	5.09 14	18 5	av $E\beta=4.37\times 10^3$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0039$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.00047$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.000111$ 12
(1.04×10 ⁴ 3)	2802.2	24 5	0.10 2	5.00 12	24 5	av $E\beta=4.45\times 10^3$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0037$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.00045$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.000106$ 11
(1.07×10 ⁴ 3)	2524.0	37 6	0.14 3	4.87 10	37 6	av $E\beta=4.59\times 10^3$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0034$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.00041$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=9.7\times 10^{-5}$ 10

[†] Calculated taking the isomer excitation energy to be zero.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{90}\text{Ru})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: From $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)(\text{to g.s.})=90.4$ % 10, considering % $\varepsilon p=9.6$ % 10 from 2019Pa16 and assuming no feeding to the ground state with $\Delta J>5$.

E_γ	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	α	Comments
738.1 1	100 12	738.10	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.00184	$\alpha(K)=0.001605$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.000190$ 3; $\alpha(M)=3.48\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(N)=5.60\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(O)=2.84\times 10^{-7}$ 4

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{90}Rh ε decay (0.56 s) 2019Pa16 (continued) $\gamma(^{90}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	α	Comments
885.7 2	41 7	2524.0	6^+	1638.31	4^+	E2	1.17×10^{-3}	$\alpha(K)=0.001026$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.0001197$ 17; $\alpha(M)=2.19 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(N)=3.54 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=1.82 \times 10^{-7}$ 3
900.2 2	85 11	1638.31	4^+	738.10	2^+	E2	1.13×10^{-3}	$\alpha(K)=0.000988$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.0001150$ 17; $\alpha(M)=2.11 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(N)=3.40 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=1.755 \times 10^{-7}$ 25
1163.9 2	26 6	2802.2	(6^+)	1638.31	4^+	[E2]	6.36×10^{-4}	$\alpha(K)=0.000555$ 8; $\alpha(L)=6.36 \times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(M)=1.164 \times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(N)=1.88 \times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(O)=9.89 \times 10^{-8}$ 14
1316.6 2	20 6	2954.9	(6^+)	1638.31	4^+	[E2]	5.17×10^{-4}	$\alpha(K)=0.000428$ 6; $\alpha(L)=4.88 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(M)=8.92 \times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(N)=1.444 \times 10^{-6}$ 21; $\alpha(O)=7.64 \times 10^{-8}$ 11

[†] From the Adopted Levels.[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.904 10.

$^{90}\text{Rh } \varepsilon$ decay (0.56 s) 2019Pa16**Decay Scheme**

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays