

90Mo ε decay 1968Co05,1966Pe10

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. K. Basu, E. A. Mccutchan		NDS 165, 1 (2020)	1-Mar-2020

Parent: ^{90}Mo : E=0.0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=5.56$ h 9; $Q(\varepsilon)=2489$ 3; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0

1968Co05: $^{93}\text{Nb}(\text{p},4\text{n})$, E=50-55 MeV, chemical separation. Measured: γ singles and $\gamma\gamma$ coin with Ge(Li) and NaI.

1966Pe10: measured: ce(t) and β^+ spectra.

Others: 1955Ma31, 1965Co14, 1965Gr29, 1981KaZI, 1984Bu36.

α : Additional information 1.

 ^{90}Nb Levels

The decay scheme has been established from $\gamma\gamma$ coin data (1968Co05) and excit in $^{90}\text{Zr}(\text{p},\text{ny})$ (1972Yo03).

E(level)	$J^\pi \dagger$	$T_{1/2} \dagger$	E(level)	$J^\pi \dagger$	$T_{1/2} \dagger$	E(level)	$J^\pi \dagger$
0	8^+	14.60 h 5	382.0 4	1^+	6.19 ms 8	1344.1 5	1^+
122.370 22	6^+	63 μ s 2	651.20 21	$4^{(+)}$		1769.1 5	1^+
124.7 4	4^-	18.91 s 6	822.6 6			1844.8 6	(1^+)
285.30 10	5^+		827.4 4			2125.6 7	1^+
328.00 10	$4^{(+)}$		854.32 23	2^-		2309.0 7	3^+

\dagger From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

ε branches are obtained from I(γ +ce) imbalance at each level.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon \dagger$	Log f_t	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \dagger$	Comments
(180 3)	2309.0		2.1 6	4.51 13	2.1 6	$\varepsilon K=0.8492$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.1223$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.02846$ 10
(363 3)	2125.6		4.1 5	4.87 6	4.1 5	$\varepsilon K=0.8619$ 1; $\varepsilon L=0.11231$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.02580$ 2
(644 3)	1844.8		1.69 24	5.77 7	1.69 24	$\varepsilon K=0.8668$; $\varepsilon L=0.10841$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.024775$ 7
(720 3)	1769.1		8.0 8	5.20 5	8.0 8	$\varepsilon K=0.8675$; $\varepsilon L=0.10790$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.024640$ 5
(1145 3)	1344.1		0.73 11	6.65 7	0.73 11	$\varepsilon K=0.8694$; $\varepsilon L=0.1063$; $\varepsilon M+=0.02422$
(2107 3)	382.0	25 2	56 3	5.30 3	81 5	av $E\beta=477.9$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.6020$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.07263$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.01652$ 5

\dagger Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

⁹⁰Mo ε decay 1968Co05,1966Pe10 (continued) $\gamma^{(90\text{Nb})}$ I γ normalization: Calculated from total $\gamma+ce$ feeding to g.s. assuming no ε feeding to g.s. (0^+ to 8^+ transition). $\alpha(K)_{exp}$ values given in comments are calculated from I γ (1968Co05) and Ice (1966Pe10) assuming $\alpha(K)(122.4\gamma)=0.47$ for an E2 transition.

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\ddagger @$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	δ	α	$I_{(\gamma+ce)} @$	Comments
(2.3 4)		124.7	4 ⁻	122.370	6 ⁺	[M2+E3]		3.3×10^9 89	8.2 8	$\text{ce}(M)/(\gamma+ce)=0.9$ 11; $\text{ce}(N)/(\gamma+ce)=0.10$ 35; $\text{ce}(O)/(\gamma+ce)=4.E-6$ 13 $\alpha(M)=3.0 \times 10^9$ 81; $\alpha(N)=3.3 \times 10^8$ 86; $\alpha(O)=1.4 \times 10^4$ 17
42.70 4	2.8 3	328.00	4 ⁽⁺⁾	285.30	5 ⁺	M1+(E2)	<0.18	2.9 4		E_γ : From level-energy differences. For an attempt to measure the conversion electron energy see 1988GeZV. I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: From intensity balance of 124.7 level. $\alpha(K)=2.46$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.38$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.067$ 20; $\alpha(N)=0.0095$ 26; $\alpha(O)=0.00041$ 3
122.370 22	83 3	122.370	6 ⁺	0	8 ⁺	E2		0.557		Mult.: $\alpha(K)_{exp}=2.3$ 8, K/L=9.5 40 (1966Pe10). δ : From $\alpha(K)_{exp}/\alpha(L)_{exp}$. $\alpha(K)=0.464$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.0768$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.01365$ 20; $\alpha(N)=0.00187$ 3; $\alpha(O)=6.63 \times 10^{-5}$ 10
162.93 9	7.7 7	285.30	5 ⁺	122.370	6 ⁺	M1+E2	0.24 17	0.067 13		Mult.: K/L=6.03 18 (1966Pe10). $\alpha(K)=0.059$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.0071$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.0012$ 3; $\alpha(N)=0.00018$ 4; $\alpha(O)=9.8 \times 10^{-6}$ 15
203.13 10	8.2 7	854.32	2 ⁻	651.20	4 ⁽⁺⁾			0.036 4		Mult.: $\alpha(K)_{exp}=0.059$ 6. Mult.: $\alpha(K)_{exp}=0.034$ 4.
257.34 4	100 3	382.0	1 ⁺	124.7	4 ⁻	E3(+M4)	<0.12	0.182 12		Mult.: M1+E2 with $\delta<0.36$ is inconsistent with adopted $\Delta\pi$. $\alpha(K)=0.149$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.0269$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.0048$ 4; $\alpha(N)=0.00066$ 5; $\alpha(O)=2.33 \times 10^{-5}$ 22
323.20 18	8.1 7	651.20	4 ⁽⁺⁾	328.00	4 ⁽⁺⁾	M1+E2	0.6 3	0.0122 15		Mult.: $\alpha(K)_{exp}=0.150$ 11, K/L=5.51 16 (1966Pe10). δ : From $\alpha(K)_{exp}$ and $\alpha(K)_{exp}/\alpha(L)_{exp}$. $\alpha(K)=0.0107$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.00126$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.00022$ 3; $\alpha(N)=3.2 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=1.76 \times 10^{-6}$ 18
^x 421.0 3	0.32 10									Mult.: $\alpha(K)_{exp}=0.0106$ 12.
425.1 5	0.46 10	1769.1	1 ⁺	1344.1	1 ⁺					δ : From $\alpha(K)_{exp}$.
440.5 [‡] 6	1.2 3	822.6		382.0	1 ⁺					$a(K)_{exp}=0.0038$ 14.

From ENSDF

⁹⁰₄₁Mo ε decay 1968Co05,1966Pe10 (continued)

$\gamma(^{90}\text{Nb})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger @}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α	Comments
445.37 21	7.7 8	827.4		382.0	1 ⁺			Mult.: a(K)exp=0.0050 7.
472.2 3	1.83 19	854.32	2 ⁻	382.0	1 ⁺			Mult.: a(K)exp=0.0029 4.
489.8 4	0.94 13	1344.1	1 ⁺	854.32	2 ⁻			Mult.: a(K)exp=0.0038 7.
^x 517.7 [±] 7	0.20 13							
941.5 4	7.1 8	1769.1	1 ⁺	827.4				Mult.: a(K)exp=0.00071 10.
946.4 [±] 8	0.9 3	1769.1	1 ⁺	822.6				
^x 987.3 [±] 10	0.18 7							
990.2 6	1.31 13	1844.8	(1 ⁺)	854.32	2 ⁻			Mult.: a(K)exp=0.00070 12.
1271.3 6	5.3 5	2125.6	1 ⁺	854.32	2 ⁻			Mult.: a(K)exp=0.00050 6.
1387.4 5	2.4 3	1769.1	1 ⁺	382.0	1 ⁺	M1+E2	4.06×10^{-4} 7	$\alpha(K)=0.000320$ 9; $\alpha(L)=3.53 \times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(M)=6.21 \times 10^{-6}$ 15; $\alpha(N)=9.11 \times 10^{-7}$ 23; $\alpha(O)=5.34 \times 10^{-8}$ 17 Mult.: a(K)exp=0.00044 6.
^x 1446 [±] 2	0.06 3							
1454.6 7	2.4 7	2309.0	3 ⁺	854.32	2 ⁻			Mult.: a(K)exp=0.00036 12.
1463.5 9	0.9 3	1844.8	(1 ⁺)	382.0	1 ⁺			Mult.: a(K)exp=0.00048 22.
1481.6 14	0.3 3	2309.0	3 ⁺	827.4				

[†] From 1966Pe10 (from ce spectra), except as noted.

[‡] From 1968Co05.

[#] From conversion coefficient data above and adopted J^π 's. E3/M2 and MR=0.10 for the other multipolarities. E3/M2 and MR=0.10 for the other multipolarities.

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.774 29.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{90}\text{Mo} \varepsilon$ decay 1968Co05,1966Pe10**Legend**

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay SchemeIntensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays