

$^{90}\text{Tc } \varepsilon \text{ decay (50.7 s)}$     **1981Ox01**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. K. Basu, E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 165, 1 (2020)		1-Mar-2020

Parent:  $^{90}\text{Tc}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=(8^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=50.7$  s 63;  $Q(\varepsilon)=9448$  4; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay=100.0 $^{90}\text{Tc}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From the Adopted Levels.**1981Ox01**: Produced by  $^{92}\text{Mo}(p,3n)$  reaction at E(p)=43 MeV; measured  $\gamma(t)$  with Ge(Li) and Ge detectors,  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $\beta\gamma$  coincidences with Ge(Li) and magnetic spectrometer. The decay scheme is established from  $\gamma\gamma$  coincidence data.Other: [1974Ia01](#). $^{90}\text{Mo}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$	E(level)	$J^\pi$	E(level)
0	$0^+$	5.56 h 9	2859.7 9	$5^-$	3539.9 10
948.1 2	$2^+$		2947.0 5	$(6^+)$	4094.8 7
2002.4 4	$4^+$		3037.9 11		4175.9 9
2549.4 7	$5^-$		3150.3 7		4357.7 11
2812.2 5	$6^+$		3293.8 5		

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  branches are obtained from  $I(\gamma+ce)$  imbalance at each level.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon \dagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+) \dagger$	Comments
(5090 4)	4357.7	0.7 3	0.03 1	6.82 20	0.7 3	av $E\beta=1864.1$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.03410$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.004105$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=0.000943$ 3
(5272 4)	4175.9	3.5 5	0.12 2	6.20 8	3.6 5	av $E\beta=1951.2$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.03009$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.003622$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0008322$ 2
(5353 4)	4094.8	4.5 6	0.15 2	6.12 8	4.7 6	av $E\beta=1990.1$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.02851$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.003431$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0007882$ 2
(5908 4)	3539.9	1.2 4	0.028 9	6.95 16	1.2 4	av $E\beta=2257.1$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.02015$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.002424$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0005568$ 1
(6154 4)	3293.8	17.7 12	0.363 24	5.87 7	18.1 12	av $E\beta=2375.9$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.01749$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.002103$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0004830$ 1
(6298 4)	3150.3	1.7 3	0.032 6	6.95 10	1.7 3	av $E\beta=2445.3$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.01615$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.001941$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0004459$ 1
(6410 4)	3037.9	1.1 4	0.019 7	7.18 17	1.1 4	av $E\beta=2499.7$ 21; $\varepsilon K=0.01519$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.001826$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0004195$ 1
(6501 4)	2947.0	39.9 22	0.67 4	5.65 6	40.6 22	av $E\beta=2543.8$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.01447$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.001739$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0003996$ 9 Log ft: value is too small for a $\Delta J=2$ transition suggesting the decay scheme is incomplete.
(6588 4)	2859.7	2.6 6	0.041 10	6.87 12	2.6 6	av $E\beta=2586.1$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.01382$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.001661$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0003817$ 9 Log ft: value is too small for a $\Delta J=3$ transition suggesting the decay scheme is incomplete.
(6636 4)	2812.2	13.0 21	0.20 3	6.18 9	13.2 21	av $E\beta=2609.2$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.01349$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.001621$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0003724$ 8 Log ft: value is too small for a $\Delta J=2$ transition suggesting the decay scheme is incomplete.
(6899 4)	2549.4	5.3 10	0.073 14	6.66 10	5.4 10	av $E\beta=2736.8$ 20; $\varepsilon K=0.011809$ 24; $\varepsilon L=0.001419$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0003260$ 7 Log ft: value is too small for a $\Delta J=3$ transition suggesting the decay scheme is incomplete.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{90}\text{Tc } \varepsilon$  decay (50.7 s)    1981Ox01 (continued)** $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\varepsilon^{\dagger}$	Log ft	I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ ) <sup>†</sup>	Comments
(7446 4)	2002.4	<7	<0.07	>6.7	<7	av E $\beta$ =3003.1 20; $\varepsilon K$ =0.009116 17; $\varepsilon L$ =0.0010951 2; $\varepsilon M+$ =0.0002515 5

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{90}\text{Mo})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: From the assumption of no  $\varepsilon$  feeding to the g.s. ( $\Delta J=8$ ).

E $\gamma$	I $\gamma^{\ddagger}$	E $i$ (level)	J $i^{\pi}$	E $f$	J $f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>
134.6 5	5.2 8	2947.0	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	2812.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	
<sup>x</sup> 231.2 8	2.68 4					
262.5 8	1.3 4	2812.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	2549.4	5 <sup>-</sup>	E1
310.3 6	2.6 6	2859.7	5 <sup>-</sup>	2549.4	5 <sup>-</sup>	
481.7 3	13.6 9	3293.8		2812.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	
<sup>x</sup> 543.4 5	4.2 4					
546.8 8	9.3 6	2549.4	5 <sup>-</sup>	2002.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	E1
592.9 8	1.2 4	3539.9		2947.0	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	
801.2 5	2.8 4	4094.8		3293.8		
809.8 3	34.3 15	2812.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	2002.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2
944.7 4	36.6 20	2947.0	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	2002.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2
948.1 2	100	948.1	2 <sup>+</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2
<sup>x</sup> 983.5 5	5.4 5					
1035.5 10	1.1 4	3037.9		2002.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	
1054.3 3	100 6	2002.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	948.1	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2
1147.9 5	1.7 3	3150.3		2002.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	
1291.4 5	7.3 6	3293.8		2002.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	
1363.7 8	3.6 5	4175.9		2812.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	
2091.7 9	1.9 4	4094.8		2002.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	
2355.3 10	0.7 3	4357.7		2002.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	

<sup>†</sup> From the Adopted Gammas.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

**$^{90}\text{Tc}$   $\epsilon$  decay (50.7 s) 1981Ox01****Legend**

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- Coincidence

**Decay Scheme**Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays